



## IAFP Europe Food Safety Award

The IAFP Europe Food Safety Award recognizes an active IAFP Member from Europe, that is/has been working in Europe and is/has been impacting on Food Safety in Europe, for dedicated and exceptional contributions to the profession of Food Safety in Europe.<sup>1</sup>

The award consists of a plaque and €2500 monetary support, i.e. €1000 honorarium plus €1500 (max) travel and accommodation costs for attending the IAFP Europe meeting at which the awardee will be recognized. The award is sponsored by **Vikan**.

### Qualifications for nominees

- Be a non-student Member of IAFP in good standing at the time of nomination and during the IAFP Meeting in Europe (when receiving the award),
- Be a food safety professional engaged in a relevant role by government, industry, consumer organization, academia (e.g. research and/or education) or otherwise in Europe at the time of nomination,
- Has a minimum 5 years of experience in Europe in one or more of the above food safety roles,
- Has demonstrable (by outcome rather than input) contributions to food safety in Europe, including (but not limited to) leading, sharing, innovating, disseminating, publicising, educating, training, coaching and developing expertise or awareness in Europe,
- (IAFP Executive Board Members and IAFP Awards Committee Members are not eligible)

---

<sup>1</sup> Europe: <https://www.nationsonline.org/oneworld/europe.htm> (or other reference; when needed)

## Criteria for nominations

Please provide *specific information* on the following (in maximally 30 pages):

- **Contributions to food safety professionalism in Europe**  
Provide specific examples concerning the candidate personally leading, sharing, innovating, disseminating, publicising, educating, training, coaching and developing food safety professional expertise in Europe.
- **Career highlights - length of service and positions held as food safety professional in Europe.** Provide a summary of positions impacting specifically on food safety in Europe, including activities and responsibilities that highlight the nominee's dedication to the food safety profession in Europe and that demonstrate the high personal standards pursued specifically for food safety developments in Europe.
- **Participation in IAFP activities in Europe and elsewhere**  
Provide membership history and listing of past and present involvement in European meetings and program development (e.g., speaker, symposium organizer, etc.), IAFP committees and PDGs, annual meeting and/or other relevant IAFP activities.
- **Peer assessment of nominee**  
Provide no less than two and no more than three letters of support<sup>2</sup> from professional peers **in addition to a nomination cover letter.**
- **Contributions to food safety awareness in Europe**  
Provide specific examples concerning the candidate personally sharing, disseminating, publicising, coaching and developing food safety awareness to relevant stakeholders in Europe.

---

<sup>2</sup> Nomination letter and letters of support cannot be from a current IAFP Board Member

# IAFP Europe Food Safety Award Judging Procedure

## Procedure for evaluation of each candidate

This procedure was designed with the intention of providing a matrix to help the award jury select a winner with a relatively equitable, semi-quantitative method. Nominators have been instructed to provide specific information on the candidate that reflects the expectations and specific intent of the IAFP Europe Food Safety Award: *To honor an active IAFP Member from Europe for dedicated and exceptional contributions* to the profession of Food Safety in and/or across Europe. Each criterion listed above has a weight factor considered to reflect its importance relative to the specific intent of the IAFP Europe Food Safety Award.

The jury’s task is to evaluate the nominee by measuring his or her performance against the criteria listed above, applying the 1-10 Rating Scale given below for measuring performances. To obtain each criterion’s “weighted score”, multiply the criterion’s % weight (in decimal format) times the score that was assigned from the 1-10 Rating Scale. Nominees should be ranked for each criterion on their own merit and should also be ranked in relation to other nominees. The table provided on the next page should be used to organize the evaluation data. Add the weighted scores in order to obtain the overall ranking of the candidate.

## Rating Scale

9.0 – 10.0	<b>Outstanding:</b> performance exceeds <i>judge’s</i> expectations for criterion
8.0 – 8.9	<b>Above average:</b> performance is above average expectation level for criterion
7.0 – 7.9	<b>Average:</b> performance meets average criterion expectations
6.0 – 6.9	<b>Below average:</b> performance below expectations
5.9 or less	<b>Unsatisfactory or not applicable:</b> performance does not meet criterion expectations, or the criterion does not apply to the candidate

The following is an example showing a nominee receiving a perfect score (10 in each criterion).

Award Criteria	Criterion % Weight (x Factor)	Judge’s Score	Weighted Score
Contributions to food safety professionalism in Europe	30 % (0.30)	10.0	3.0
Career Highlights	20 % (0.20)	10.0	2.0
Participation in IAFP	20 % (0.20)	10.0	2.0
Peer Assessment	20 % (0.20)	10.0	2.0
Contributions to food safety awareness in Europe	10 % (0.10)	10.0	1.0
<b>Total of scores</b>	<b>100% (1.0)</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>

*\*Weighted score = criteria score given based on rating guideline times criteria weight factor: (e.g., If contributions to food safety professionalism in Europe score is 8, then 8 x 0.30 = 2.4 weighted score)*