ISSN:0273-2866 Box 701 Ames, Iowa 50010 XEROX UNIV MICROFILMS SERIALS DEPT 300 N ZEEB RD ANN ARBOR MI 48106

December, 1985 Vol. 5, No. 12 Pages 465-5C4 \$6.00

Dairy and Food Sanitation[®]

A Publication of the International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians, Inc.

Using Risk Assessment to Determine Inspection Frequencies

Ultrafiltration and Low-Sodium Cheese

UCLA Extension Food Microbiology Short Course January 20-24, 1986 Aseptic Bulk Tank Milk Sampler

National Mastitis Council Meeting February 10-12, 1986

Candidates Sought for the 1986 Harold Macy Award

Call for Research Papers for the 1986 Annual Meeting. See the October Issue.

You can weigh, dissolve, wait... sterilize, wait... temper, wait... inoculate, pour, incubate.



Or, you can inoculate and incubate.

Compare 3M Petrifilm" Plates to conventional pour plates. With Petrifilm Plates, you eliminate the tedious preparation needed with conventional pour plates. Preparing a test is quick and simple—inoculate the sample onto the Petrifilm Plate and incubate. The time and labor savings are obvious.

Petrifilm Plates are much more convenient than conventional pour plates. They can be used anytime, anywhere. No media preparation is needed. You can plate a sample on-site instead of having to bring it to the lab. Petrifilm Plates save space, too.

Petrifilm Plates provide comparable results to conventional pour plates, and you can count on consistent results because

each lot is subject to the same stringent quality standard.

The Petrifilm Plate methods have been collaboratively tested and adopted by the Technical Committee of the 15th Edition of Standard Methods, A.P.H.A. The methods have also been granted A.O.A.C. Interim Official First Action.

To find out more about how 3M Petrifilm Plates can simplify your testing procedures, call (800) 328-5727 (outside MN) or (800) 742-5685 (in MN) and ask for Ext. 40.

Outside of the United States, contact the local 3M subsidiary. In Canada, contact 3M Canada, Inc., PO. Box 5757, London, Ontario, N6A-4T1, 1-800-268-9696.

Microbiology Products Medical-Surgical Division/3M St. Paul, MN 55144

3M hears you...



International Association of Milk, Food & Environmental Sanitarians, Inc.



IAMFES Sustaining Members

Alfa-Laval, Inc. Agri-Group 11100 North Congress Avenue Kansas City, MO 64153

Alpha Chemical Services, Inc. P.O. Box 431 Stoughton, MA 02072

Anderson Chemical Co. Box 1041 Litchfield, MN 55355

Angenics, Inc. 100 inman St. Cambridge, MA 02139

Associated Milk Producers, Inc. 830 N. Meacham Rd. Schaumburg, IL 60195

Babson Bros. Co. 2100 S. York Road Oak Brook, Illinois 60521

Borden, Inc. Dairy & Services Div. 16855 Northchase Houston, TX 77060

Dalrymen, Inc. 10140 Linn Station Road Louisville, KY 40223

Darigold 635 Ellioti Ave. W. Seattle, WA 98109

Dean Foods 1126 Kilburn Ave. Rockford, IL 61101

Difco Laboratories P.O. Box 1058-A Detroit, Mi 48232

Eastern Crown, Inc. P.O. Box 216 Vernon, N.Y. 13476

Dairy and Food Sanitation (ISSN:0273-2866) is published monthly by the International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians, Inc., executive offices at PO Box 701, 502 E. Lincoln Way, Ames, IA 50010. Printed by Heuss Printing, Inc., 911 Second St., Ames, IA 50010. Second-class postage paid at Ames, IA. Postmaster: Send address changes to IAMFES, 502 E. Lincoln Way, Ames, IA 50010-0701.

Manuscripts: Correspondence regarding manuscripts and other reading material should be addressed to Kathy Hathaway, PO Box 701, Ames, IA 50010-0701. 515-232-6699.

"Instructions to Contributors" can be obtained from the editor.

Orders for Reprints: All orders should be sent to IAMFES, Inc., PO Box 701, Ames, IA 50010-

FRM Chem, Inc. P.O. Box 207 Washington, MO 63090 GAF 1361 Alps Road

Gerber Products Co. 445 State St. Fremont, MI 49412

Wayne, NJ 07470

Gist-Brocades USA P.O. Box 241068 Charlotte, NC 28224

H. B. Fuller Co. Monarch Chemicals Div. 3900 Jackson St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55421

IBA Inc. 27 Providence Rd. Millbury, MA 01527

Kendall Co. One Federal St. Boston, MA 02101

Klenzade Division Economics Laboratory, Inc. 3050 Metro Drive Suite 208 Bloomington, MN 55420

Maryland & Virginia Milk Producers Assn., Inc. P.O. Box 9154 Rosslyn Station Arlington, Virginia 22209

0701. Note: Single copies of reprints are not

available from this address; address reprint re-

Business Matters: Correspondence regarding

business matters should be addressed to Kathy

R. Hathaway, IAMFES, PO Box 701, Ames, IA

Subscription Rates: \$60.00 per volume, one

volume per year, January through December.

Single copies \$6.00 each. No cancellations ac-

Sustaining Membership: A sustaining member-

ship in IAMFES is available to companies at a

rate of \$300 per year, which includes \$100 credit

toward an ad in the "annual meeting issue" of

the Journal, the July issue. For more information,

contact IAMFES, PO Box 701, Ames, IA 50010-

Mid America Dairymen, inc. P.O. Box 1837 SSS 800 W. Tampa Springfield, MO 65805

Nalge Co. P.O. Box 365 Rochester, NY 14602

quests to principal author.

50010-0701.

cepted.

Nasco International 901 Janesville Ave. Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin 53538

National Mastitis Council 1840 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22201

National Milk Producers Federation 1840 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22201

Norton Co. P.O. Box 350 Akron, Ohio 44309

Oxold USA, Inc. 9017 Red Branch Rd. Columbia, MD 21045

Penicillin Assays, Inc. 36 Franklin St. Malden, MA 02148

Reitman Manufacturing Co. 10319 Pearmain St. Oakland, CA 94603

Selberling Associates, Inc. 11415 Main St. Roscoe, IL 61073

SmithKline Animal Health Products P.O. Box 2650 West Chester, PA 19380

United Industries, Inc. 1546 Henry Avenue Beloit, Wi 53511

Universal Milking Machine Div. Universal Cooperatives Inc. 408 S. First Ave. Albert Lea, MN 56007

Walker Stainless Equipment Co. 601 State St. New Lisbon, WI 53950

0701, 515-232-6699.

Membership Dues: Membership in the Association is available to individuals only. Direct dues are \$28.00 per year and include a subscription to **Dairy and Food Sanitation**. Direct dues and both journals are \$50.00. Affiliate and International Membership include both journals for \$50, plus affiliate dues. Student membership is \$14.00 per year, with verification of student status, and includes Dairy and Food Sanitation. No cancellations accepted.

Claims: Notice of failure to receive copies must be reported within 30 days domestic, 90 days foreign. All correspondence regarding changes of address and dues must be sent to IAMFES, Inc., PO Box 701, Ames, IA 50010-0701, 515-232-6699.

| IAMFES | Dair | andF | ood Sa | anitatio | n |
|--|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------|
| OFFICERS AND EXECUTIVE BOARD | | | | | |
| sident, SIDNEY BARNARD, 9 Borland | CONTENTS | Vol. 5 | No. 12 | December, | 1985 |
| , Pennsylvania State University, Univer- Park, PA 16802. | ARTICLES | | | | |
| sident-Elect, ROY GINN, Dairy Quality | • Using Ris | k Assessme | ent as a Meth | nod of | |
| st Paul MN 55113 | Determini | ng Inspectio | on Frequence | les | . 468 |
| -President, LEON TOWNSEND, Milk | Richard | I. Briley and | d E. Fred Kla | us | |
| trol Branch, Bureau for Health Ser- | | | | | |
| 01. | • Aseptic B | ulk Tank M | lilk Sampler | | . 475 |
| retary, ROBERT GRAVANI, 8A Stock- | Hallt H. | Oz and Dani | el J. Hiliman | | |
| 53. | MEMBEDQUID | | | | ACE |
| t-President, ARCHIE C. HOLLIDAY, | MEMDERShip | AFFLICAT | | | . 405 |
| , Richmond, VA 23219. | NEWS AND I | VENTS | | | 479 |
| liate Council Chrpn., HELENE | National A | laetitle Cou | ncil Meeting | | . 4/0 |
| LMAN, 1532 W. 4th Place, Hodart, IN 42. | February | 10-12 1986 | nen meening | | |
| | • Low Sodi | um Cheese | | | |
| | Paperboa | rd Milk Cart | on Advertisi | na | |
| FDITORS | Can Cont | inue | | | |
| | | **** an | nd more * * * | * | |
| THY MOORE HATHAWAY, Editor and cutive Manager, Box 701, Ames, Iowa | NEW PRODUC | CT NEWS | | ••••• | . 482 |
| 10 | FOOD SCIEN | CE FACTS | | | . 483 |
| Ames, Iowa 50010 | Food Dete | rioration an | d Spoilage | | |
| NRY ATHERTON, Technical Editor, iversity of Vermont, Carrigan Hall, Bur- | Caused by | y Light | | | |
| nton, v1 05405. | DAIRY QUAL | TY | | | . 485 |
| | Planning | and Organiz | ing a Quality | y | |
| EDITORIAL BOARD | Managem | ent System | - Part II | | |
| | | | | | |
| BAKER | FOOD AND EN | TU | IIAL HAZAH | IDS | 407 |
| ARNARD University Park, PA | IU HEAL | | | | . 40/ |
| ENGSCH | | | | | 490 |
| RUHN Davis, CA URKETT Sioux City, IA | | WOLLITER | | | . 403 |
| ARROLL Arlington, TX HAMBERS West Lafayette, IN | AFFILIATE OI | FICERS | | | . 491 |
| CLINGMAN Orlando, FL D. COOK Rockville, MD | | | | | |
| ICKIE Madison, WI FLDSTEIN | IAMFES COM | MITTEES A | ND CHAIRP | ERSONS | . 493 |
| UQUA Mt. Juliet, TN | | | | | |
| ERBERICH | INDEX | | | | . 494 |
| ARTMAN Ames, IA | | | | | 407 |
| ELLINGS | JFP ABSTRA | crs | | | . 497 |
| LAGRANGE Ames, IA IARTIN Chicago, IL | | | | | 501 |
| NEWSLOW Orlando, FL PRICE Chicago, IL | TEADER SER | THE FACE | | | |
| ACE Camillus, NY EEDER Arlington, VA | NEW MEMBE | RS | | | . 503 |
| ADLLINS | | | | | |
| VEBSTER LeClaire, IA | CALENDAR . | | | | . 504 |
| VASAVADA Hiver Fails, WI | | | | | |

President, SID

Lab, Pennsylva sity Park, PA 1 **President-Elec**

Control Inst., 110, St. Paul, M

Vice-President Control Branch vices, 275 Eas 40601.

Secretary, ROI ing Hall, Corr 14853.

Past-President VA Dept. of A 511, Richmond,

Affiliate Cou UHLMAN, 1532 46342.

KATHY MOOR Executive Mana 50010

SUZANNE TR 701, Ames, low

HENRY ATH University of V lington, VT 054

| H. V. ATHERTON | Burlington, VT |
|----------------|---------------------|
| K. J. BAKER | Rockville, MD |
| D. K. BANDLER | Ithaca, NY |
| S. BARNARD | University Park, PA |
| H. BENGSCH | Springfield, MO |
| F. BODYFELT | Corvallis, OR |
| J. BRUHN | Davis, CA |
| J. BURKETT | Sioux City, IA |
| J. CARROLL | Arlington, TX |
| J. CHAMBERS | West Lafayette, IN |
| C. D. CLINGMAN | Orlando, FL |
| 0. D. COOK | Rockville, MD |
| R. DICKIE | Madison, WI |
| F. FELDSTEIN | Culpeper, VA |
| R. FUQUA | Mt. Juliet, TN |
| E. GADD | Jefferson City, MO |
| J. GERBERICH | Eau Claire, WI |
| R. B. GRAVANI | Ithaca, NY |
| P. HARTMAN | Ames, IA |
| C. HINZ | Le Roy, NY |
| C. JELLINGS | Clinton, IA |
| W. LAGRANGE | Ames, IA |
| P. MARTIN | Chicago, IL |
| D. NEWSLOW | Orlando, FL |
| C. PRICE | Chicago, IL |
| D. RACE | Camillus, NY |
| J. REEDER | Arlington, VA |
| D. ROLLINS | . Springfield, MO |
| R. SANDERS | Washington, DC |
| C. WEBSTER | LeClaire, IA |
| P.C. VASAVADA | River Falls, WI |

Dairy and Food Sanitation, Vol. 5, No. 12, Pages 468-474 (December 1985) Copyrighte, IAMFES, P.O. Box 701, Arnes, IA 50010

Using Risk Assessment as a Method of Determining Inspection Frequencies

RICHARD T. BRILEY¹ and E. FRED KLAUS²

¹Department of Biological Sciences East Texas State University Commerce, Texas 75428

²Hunt County Health Department 2500 Lee Street Room 412 Greenville, TX 75401

INTRODUCTION

Foodservice establishments have been linked to approximately forty-nine percent of all reported foodborne disease outbreaks in the United States between the years 1979 and 1982 (7, 8, 9). Bryan (6) developed an administrative procedure designed to measure the potential risk of foodborne disease that a foodservice establishment poses to the community. Bryan's method of numerical risk was calculated by quantifying three key characteristics of foodservice operations. These three coefficients were termed: food property risk; food operations risk; and average daily patronage risk.

This risk assessment technique evolved as a result of the budget and personnel reductions affecting already understaffed health departments. The practice of scheduling a given number of inspections per year for every establishment in the community has come under critical examination. Kaplan and El Ahraf (12) proposed that the traditional set number technique was no longer acceptable as various types of foodservice establishments were shown to possess different relative risk ratios. Bryan concurred by stating that good management was the process of using personnel efficiently and not wasting it on "time honored but no longer effective" routines. He suggested identifying the establishments with the greatest potential risk and allocating manpower to these operations in an effort to increase overall community safety.

The Hunt County Health Department was established in 1945 to serve the area of Hunt County, Texas, outside of the corporate city limits of Greenville. Approximately 33,000 people reside in the 800 sq. mile rural service area which includes the cities of Commerce (population: 8136), Wolfe City (1594), Caddo Mills (1060), Quinlan (1002), West Tawakoni (840), Celeste (716), Campbell (549), and Lone Oak (467). The environmental health services offered by the health unit are: foodservice inspections; inspections of nuisance complaints; investigation of animal bites; inspection and licensing of individual waste water systems (septic systems); and day care center inspections. All of these services are performed by two registered sanitarians.

Over the past five years, the priorities of the health unit have shifted from foodservice inspections to the inspection and licensing of septic systems. The change in the primary duties of the sanitarians was largely a result of the tremendous growth experienced by rural Hunt County and also because of the revenue produced by the septic system licensing fees. The result of this shift in priorities was the neglect of many foodservice establishments with the possibility of foodborne disease outbreaks occurring.

The city of Commerce was the exception in Hunt County. Past history has dictated that every foodservice establishment be inspected on a three month schedule. This meant a liquor store just sacking ice was inspected as often as a full-service restaurant. Intuitively, the busy restaurant should be inspected more often than the local liquor store. Bryan's system was the possible answer. By separating the low risk establishments from the high risk ones, it allowed scarce time to be used more efficiently. The three month inspection schedule was difficult to maintain because other commitments confined the staff to perform only about twenty inspections in Commerce per month.

The purpose of this study was to use Bryan's risk assessment technique in an effort to improve or maintain operational quality of the tested foodservice establishments. On February 1, 1984, a modified version of Bryan's proposal was implemented on forty-two foodservice establishments within the city of Commerce. After one year of the plan in operation, the remaining thirtyfive establishments (seven went out of business) were tested to determine if the operational quality had increased, decreased, or remained constant. The scores from the inspection reports were used as an index of operational quality. The risk assessment technique of determining inspection frequencies was feasible only if there was no decrease in the foodservice operational quality during the one year test period.

METHODS

The first step in implementing Bryan's technique was to quantify the three risk coefficients. The food property risk was designed to measure a food's probability of being a vehicle in the transmission of foodborne disease. Every food item served in the city of Commerce was given a value of 1 to 5 (Table 1). Low risk food items or foods seldom, if ever, connected to foodborne disease transmission were given the value of 1. A value of 5 was given to food items which were most often incriminated with foodborne illness. The intermediate values were assigned relative to the food item's potential risk. Determination of potential risk was made by gathering information about the food item's intrinsic qualities. Past history of the food item as a vehicle of foodborne illness was the primary criterion used to make the judgment. The list of foods in Table 1 was established from about twenty-five foods that Bryan recorded (6). Annual Summaries of Foodborne Disease Outbreaks (7, 8, 9) were used to gather data on food item incrimination. Bryan (4) also presented additional information on reported outbreaks over the last decade. When the food item in question was not listed in any publication, the risk value was determined by other inherent properties such as water activity and/or pH.

Bryan's original model was modified in quantifying the food operations risk. Bryan proposed assigning risk values of 1 to 5 to each food item in relation to that food's potential risk of being mishandled during storage, preparation, or serving. In other work (1, 2, 3, 5) he has shown how various foodservice operations contribute to foodborne illness outbreaks. These studies show that certain foods (roast beef, turkey, chicken, rice) are more often exposed to conditions that are favorable for micro-

TABLE 1. Coefficient 1: Potential risk values of food items.

| Values | Food Items |
|--------|--|
| 5 | Turkey, Ham, Roast Beef |
| 4 | Chicken, Potato Salad, Gravy, Bar B- Q Beef and Ribs, Sausage, Eggs (raw), Pork, Macaroni Salad, Tuna Salad, Chicken Fried Steak, Stew (soup), Egg Rolls, Fried Won-Ton. |
| 3 | Chili, Shellfish, Dairy Pastry, Beef Steaks, Rice, Steak Fingers, Refried Beans, Fish, Canneloni, Luncheon Meats (unpackaged), Tacos, Burritos, Tamales, Enchiladas, Shrimp, Chili Dogs, Tostada, Milk, Pudding, Chimichanga, Rigatoni, Meat Sauce, Chalupa. |
| 2 | Hamburgers, Hot Dogs, Frozen Pizza, Ice Cream Products, Frozen Yogurt, Salads, Cheese, Guacamole Dip, French Fries, Hot Sauces, Spaghetti, Vegetables, Lasagna, Polish Sausage, Pepperoni, Luncheon Meats (pre-packaged), Hot Links, Corn Dogs, Bacon (cured), Eggs (cooked), Hot Cakes, Manicotti, Onion Rings, Anchovies, Pasta. |
| 1 | Soft Drinks, Ice, Beer, Liquor, Peanuts, Potato Chips, Slaw (low pH), Popcorn, Snow Cones, Hush Puppies, Rolls, Bread, Cakes, Biscuits, Fruit Pies, Candy, Donuts, Sauerkraut, Pizza Toppings, Fruit Juices, Danish, Dried Fruit. |

bial contamination and/or growth. There is no doubt that Bryan's method of determining the second coefficient is an excellent one; however, the time and additional calculations involved in using this method created the need for a simpler, though maybe less precise, measurement.

In this study, determination of the food operations risk was established by calculating the mean of the five inspection report scores prior to February 1, 1984. The inspection report scores were used as an index to represent an establishment's history of operational sanitation. The scores came from the standard "State of Texas Foodservice Establishment Inspection Report". The report operates on ϵ 100 point system with each weighted violation being subtracted from the perfect score. A violation posing the greatest risk is weighted more heavily (4 or 5 points) and violations of relatively low risk are weighted less (1 or 2 points).

Since a low mean reflects a high potential risk, a value of 5 was assigned to a mean of 76.49 or below (Table 2). In contrast, a value of 1 was given to establishments with an inspection score mean of 94.50 or above. The six-point interval between risk values was totally arbitrary and could be manipulated to conform to any situation.

| TABLE 2. Coefficient 2: Food of | perations risk | values |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|

| | Range of Mean Inspection |
|-------|--------------------------|
| Value | Report Scores |
| 1 | 94.50 or above |
| 2 | 88.50 - 94.49 |
| 3 | 82.50 - 88.49 |
| 4 | 76.50 - 82.49 |
| 5 | 76.49 or below |

The average daily patronage risk was the third coefficient to be quantified. Brvan (6) noted that assuming a constant susceptibility of the customers exposed to a foodborne pathogen, "the risk that patrons become ill is roughly proportional to the number eating at the establishment." A pragmatic approach to evaluating the effects of foodborne illness in a community is economic loss. Bryan (2) estimated total days lost from activities because of foodborne disease outbreaks in the United States between 1970 and 1974 were 144,587. This figure is conservative since it considers only reported outbreaks. Total community risk is obviously greatest when a potentially hazardous establishment is serving many customers as opposed to such an establishment that is serving only a few customers.

The 1 to 5 risk values were again used to quantify this coefficent (Table 3). In the original model, Bryan suggested lowering the risk values on this coefficient because outbreaks will occur regardless of the number of customers. The 1-5 range of values will be maintained until an inverse correlation is shown to exist between customer number and quality of food protection. Personal TABLE 3. Coefficient 3: Average daily patronage risk values.

| Values | Average Number of Customers/Day |
|--------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 1 - 75 |
| 2 | 76 - 150 |
| 3 | 151 - 275 |
| 4 | 276 - 400 |
| 5 | 401 or above |

experience indicates that the busier an establishment is, the more careless the food handlers become.

To determine the average number of customers per day, a memorandum was sent to each foodservice establishment within the city of Commerce. Foodservice establishments were asked to keep, as accurate as possible, a count of the number of customers served in a given week (10/24/83 - 10/30/83). The numbers were gathered from a particular week because the conditions would be equal for all establishments. This sum of weekly customers was divided by the number of days an establishment was open for business each week to give the average patronage per day. The ranges of customer numbers (Table 3), much like mean inspection score ranges, are arbitrary and modifiable to communities of any size.

The final step in implementing this modified version of Bryan's technique was to determine the risk potential of each establishment (Table 4). Every food served in an establishment was listed with its corresponding risk value from Table 1. The values were totaled and the sum was termed coefficient #1. Table 4 shows how coefficients #2 and #3, from a sample establishment, were

| TABLE 4. The calculations of risk pot | ential of a sample establishment. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Coefficient #1 | | Coe | Coefficient #2 | | Coefficient #3 | | |
|--|---|-------|----------------|---------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Value | Foods | Value | Score Mean | Value | Customers | | |
| 1 | Low Risk | 1 | 94.50 or above | 1 | 1 - 75 | | |
| 2 | | 2 | 88.50 - 94.49 | 2 | 76 - 150 | | |
| 3 | | 3 | 82.50 - 88.49 | 3 | 151 - 275 | | |
| 4 | | 4 | 76.50 - 82.49 | 4 | 276 - 400 | | |
| 5 | High Risk | 5 | below 76.49 | 5 | 401 or above | | |
| ************************************** | *************************************** | ***** | ********** | ******* | ***** | | |
| Book sibe | 4 | | | | | | |
| FOR HUS | 4 | | | | | | |
| Dolich courage | 3 | | | | | | |
| Hot links | 2 | | | | | | |
| Polle | 2 | | | | | | |
| Poteto seled | 1 | | | | | | |
| Cole slaw | 4 | | | | | | |
| Pinto beans | 2 | | | | | | |
| Com | 2 | | | | | | |
| Cheese | 2 | | Scores: 80 | # | cutomers/day | | |
| Cake | 1 | | 06 | # 01 | cutomers/day | | |
| Cream pies | 3 | | 89 | | = 78 | | |
| Ice Cream | 2 | | 91 | | - 10 | | |
| Soft drinks | ī | | 88 | | | | |
| Beer | i | | Ā = 90.60 | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | $\frac{1}{2}$ = 148 Risk | | |

obtained directly from assigned risk values. The final product of the three coefficients was termed the establishment's risk potential. The risk potential of the sample establishment was 148 (Table 4). In determining risk potential, coefficient #1 is weighted most heavily. This depended on the variety of food items served and reflected the belief that the more food items served, the more food items available for mishandling and thus the greater chance of a foodborne disease outbreak. However, even with the extra weight of coefficient #1, coefficients #2 and #3 can nevertheless drastically influence the final product.

The risk potential was used to determine the inspection frequency. The foodservice establishments with the highest risk potentials were considered to pose the greatest threat of foodborne disease outbreaks to the city of Commerce. An establishment with a low risk potential was thought to pose relatively little threat. With this in mind, the inspection frequencies were set (Table 5). If an establishment's risk potential was 801 or greater, it was inspected every month. In contrast, establishments with risk potentials of 20 or below were termed "floaters." A floater was an establishment that was to be inspected twice a year, at the convenience of the inspector. This was the key to the study; if operational quality could be maintained in floaters, then perhaps operational quality could be improved in high risk establishments by increased inspections with the same amount of time being spent in the city. Risk potential ranges are arbitrary and again modifiable to any situation. These particular ranges were established (Table 5) to give Commerce approximately twenty inspections per month. Risk potentials and inspection frequencies were tabulated for each of the forty-two establishments in Commerce (Table 6).

TABLE 5. Inspection frequency based on risk potential of an establishment.

| Risk Potential | | Inspection Frequency | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| - | 801 or greater | every month | | | | |
| | 401 - 800 | every 2 months | | | | |
| | 201 - 400 | every 3 months | | | | |
| | 101 - 200 | every 4 months | | | | |
| | 21 - 100 | every 5 months | | | | |
| | less than 20 | floater | | | | |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On February 1, 1984, the inspection frequency determined by the risk assessment technique was implemented for forty-two foodservice establishments within the city of Commerce. Inspections were made routinely during the scheduled month with no prior warning given before an inspection. Inspection times varied but usually occurred between 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. After the plan was in operation for one year, the establishments were tested to determine if operational quality had improved,

| ABLE 6. 1984 risk potential and inspection | frequency |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| Establishment Number | Risk Potential | Inspection Frequency (interval in months) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1 | 12 | Floater (F) |
| 2 | 230 | 3 |
| 3 | 1065 | 1 |
| 4 | 54 | 5 |
| 5 | 18 | F |
| 6 | 14 | F |
| 7 | 1020 | 1 |
| 8 | 14 | F |
| 9 | 14 | F |
| 10 | 650 | 2 |
| 11 | 370 | 3 |
| 12 | 126 | 4 |
| 13 | 36 | 5 |
| 14 | 2 | F |
| 15 | 2 | F |
| 16 | 870 | 1 |
| 17 | 570 | 2 |
| 18 | 620 | 2 |
| 19 | 27 | 5 |
| 20 | 14 | F |
| 21 | 171 | 4 |
| 22 | 324 | 3 |
| 23 | 324 | 3 |
| 24 | 192 | 4 |
| 25 | 15 | F |
| 26 | 12 | F |
| 27 | 150 | 4 |
| 28 | 18 | F |
| 29 | 12 | F |
| 30 | 408 | 2 |
| 31 | 8 | F |
| 32 | 360 | 3 |
| 33 | 148 | 4 |
| 34 | 3 | F |
| 35 | 54 | 5 |
| 36 | 780 | 2 |
| 37 | 27 | 5 |
| 38 | 228 | 3 |
| 39 | 60 | 5 |
| 40 | 7 | F |
| 41 | 16 | F |
| 42 | 400 | 3 |

declined, or remained constant. As previously noted, inspection report scores were used as an index of operational quality.

Two sets of score means were compared to the score mean used to calculate coefficient #2. The mean of the five inspection report scores prior to February 1, 1984, was compared to the mean of the five inspection report scores given prior to February 1, 1985. The mean of the five report scores before February 1, 1984, was also compared to the mean of the scores issued during the study period (2/1/84 - 2/1/85). The number of inspection report scores issued during the study period was influenced by the inspection frequency. It fluctuated between

twelve, for an establishment inspected every month, and two, for an establishment in the "floater" class. For testing purposes, foodservice establishments were grouped into four categories. Category 1 consisted of establishments inspected every month and every two months. Category 2 was composed entirely of establishments inspected on the three month schedule. Establishments inspected every four and five months were combined in Category 3. The floaters made up Category 4. A correlated t-test (10) was used to test for significance between inspection report score means of all four categories.

Using the correlated t-test a significant increase (p <.05) was detected in both sets of score means from report scores of establishments grouped in Category 1 (Table 7). This increase in the higher risk establishment's inspection report scores was the first step in assigning validity to this method of determining inspection frequency. However, this increase must also be accompanied by either an increase or a maintenance of scores in the other three categories. Using the same correlated t-test, both groups of score means for the establishments in Category 2 were examined (Table 8).

Category 2 establishments were inspected every three months, as they were before the study was implemented. Therefore, one would not expect their scores to be significantly different. Although the sum of differences indicated a slight decrease in operational quality for both sets of scores means, these decreases were not significant (p >.05). Since differences were not significant it was concluded that operational quality was being maintained. Categories 3 and 4 were tested in the same manner as the other two categories (Tables 9 and 10).

The establishments in Category 3 showed the greatest reduction in operational quality. However, the decreases in both sets of score means were not significant (p >.05). The floaters in Category 4 also displayed no significant differences (p > .05). Once again, the absence of significant change was interpreted as maintenance of constant quality. The maintenance of operational quality in Categories 2, 3, and 4 was the second requirement that must be met to consider risk assessment as a valid method of determining inspection frequency.

Several types of establishments were excluded from the study and left on the original three-month schedule. The

| TABLE 7. Difference | in score | means of | establishments | inspected | every | month of | r two | months | (catego | ry 1). | |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|--------|---------|--------|---|
| | | | | | | | | - | | | - |

| Establishment Number | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/84 | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/85 | Difference | Means of Scores Obtained During Test Period | Difference |
|-------------------------|--|--|------------|---|------------|
| 3 | 73.60 | 82.60 | 9.00 | 82.27 | 8.67 |
| 16 | 83.00 | 88.40 | 5.40 | 88.75 | 5.75 |
| 10 | 90.20 | 89.80 | -0.40 | 90.50 | 0.30 |
| 17 | 64.80 | 76.60 | 11.80 | 75.33 | 10.53 |
| 18 | 70.00 | 83.20 | 13.20 | 82.33 | 12.33 |
| 30 | 80.00 | 78.20 | -1.80 | 77.33 | -2.67 |
| 36 | 80.20 | 85.40 | 5.20 | 84.34 | 4.14 |

| = 2.795; | 6dt; | p<.(| 00 |
|-----------|------|------|----|
| = 2. 195; | oar; | p<.0 | U. |

TABLE 8. Difference in score means of establishments inspected every three months (category 2).

| 21 | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|

t=2.712; 6df; p<.05

| Establishment Number | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/84 | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/85 | Difference | Mean of Scores Obtained During Test Period | Difference |
|-------------------------|--|--|------------|--|------------|
| 2 | 89.60 | 89.00 | -0.60 | 89.50 | -0.10 |
| 11 | 89.00 | 84.40 | -4.60 | 86.50 | -2.50 |
| 22 | 87.20 | 85.20 | -2.00 | 84.00 | -3.20 |
| 23 | 80.00 | 85.60 | 5.60 | 84.50 | 4.50 |
| 32 | 82.80 | 84.00 | 1.20 | 84.50 | 1.70 |
| 38 | 82.60 | 71.40 | -11.20 | 71.40 | -11.20 |
| 42 | 76.40 | 78.80 | 2.40 | 77.00 | 0.60 |

t = -0.639; 6df; p > .05

TABLE 9. Difference in score means of establishments inspected every four or five months (category 3).

| Establishment Number | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/84 | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/85 | Difference | Mean of Scores Obtained During Test Period | Difference |
|-------------------------|--|--|------------|--|------------|
| 12 | 87.60 | 86.20 | -1.40 | 84.00 | -3.60 |
| 24 | 78.40 | 69.60 | -8.80 | 66.67 | -11.73 |
| 27 | - 74.60 | 72.60 | -2.00 | 73.67 | -0.93 |
| 33 | 90.60 | 91.40 | 0.80 | 92.67 | 2.07 |
| 19 | 87.00 | 88.20 | 1.20 | 90.00 | 3.00 |
| 39 | 89.80 | 85.80 | -4.00 | 82.50 | -7.30 |

t = -1.573; 5df; p>.05

t = -1.330; 5df; p > .05

t = -0.770; 6df; p > .05

| TABLE 10. Difference in the score means of floater establishments (calleg |
|---|
|---|

| Establishment Number | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/84 | Mean of 5 Scores Prior to 2/1/85 | Difference | Mean of Scores Obtained During Test Period | Difference |
|-------------------------|--|--|------------|--|------------|
| 1 | 90.20 | 88.80 | -1.40 | 86.50 | -3.70 |
| 6 | 93.20 | 92.00 | -1.20 | 91.50 | -1.70 |
| 8 | 94.60 | 91.80 | -2.80 | 91.00 | -3.60 |
| 9 | 91.80 | 92.80 | 1.00 | 95.00 | 3.20 |
| 14 | 91.40 | 91.60 | 0.20 | 92.50 | 1.10 |
| 15 | 98.00 | 96.20 | -1.80 | 95.50 | -2.50 |
| 20 | 92.00 | 93.60 | 1.60 | 96.00 | 4.00 |
| 25 | 84.20 | 80.40 | -3.80 | 77.00 | -7.20 |
| 26 | 93.80 | 95.20 | 1.40 | 91.00 | -2.80 |
| 28 | 94.20 | 94.60 | 0.40 | 95.50 | 1.30 |
| 29 | 90.80 | 93.60 | 2.80 | 98.33 | 7.53 |
| 31 | 92.60 | 96.40 | 3.80 | 98.00 | 5.40 |
| 34 | 95.60 | 96.20 | 0.60 | 97.50 | 1.90 |
| 40 | 95.00 | 94.20 | -0.80 | 94.50 | -0.50 |
| 41 | 93.40 | 90.60 | -2.80 | 91.50 | -1.90 |

t=-0.335; 14df; p>.05

excellent quality of hospital and nursing home kitchens resulted in very low risk potentials. Because of the low risk potentials, the projected inspection frequencies were also very low, and in fact, too low for safety in kitchens that cater to populations tremendously susceptible to foodborne disease. As a precaution, hospital and nursing home kitchens were therefore left on the original inspection schedule. Using the same rationale, school cafeterias were also left on the three-month schedule. Grocery stores were inspected every three months because the third coefficient was impossible to determine. The number of customers in grocery stores that purchase potentially hazardous foods or foods packaged on the premises was difficult, if not impossible, to determine accurately. A city of Commerce ordinance requires mobile foodservice operations to be inspected every month. Thus, these establishments were also excluded from the study. A total of seventeen establishments remained on the original three-month schedule. The means of their inspection scores were also tested, as before, and no significant changes were noted (p > .05) over the one year test period.

New establishments were inspected every three months until five inspection report scores were on file. These establishments were included in the study as soon as coefficient #2 could be determined. On February 1, 1985, the potential risk of all establishments within the city of Commerce was recalculated (Table 11). The three coefficients were computed in essentially the same way as before. Coefficient #1 was changed only if an establishment altered its menu. The five inspection report scores prior to February 1, 1985, were used to calculate coefficient #2. In recalculating coefficient #3 for 1985, it was felt that additional time could be saved by asking establishment managers for estimates of the number of customers that frequented their businesses in a given day. Managers therefore were not requested to keep a oneweek tally sheet of the number of patrons.

t=-0.035; 14df; p>.05

The system proved to be self-regulating. A large increase or decrease in coefficients resulted in a matching increase or decrease in inspection frequency. The total inspections per month were again maintained at approximately twenty. As Category 1 establishments continue to increase in operational quality and slowly drift into Category 2, a lowering of "risk potential" ranges in Table 5 could further facilitate improvement in higher risk establishments.

Health departments with computer facilities are capable of making this inspection frequency method more efficient. Sanitation Programs Information Formulator (SPIF) is a data processing system available to aid "in planning and executing daily inspectional activities" (11). It delivers inspection summaries of each establishment and provides the sanitarian a list of establishments scheduled for inspection in a given month. Along with these basic duties, SPIF is capable of typing license renewal notices and keeping various statistical information on all inspected establishments. SPIF complements the inspection frequency method but is certainly not essential. The simplicity of this method of determining inspection frequency allows small health departments, like the one in Hunt County, to compute risk potentials and implement effective food protection programs with minimal investment of time or money.

SUMMARY

Sanitarians have the responsibility of performing many duties essential to a community, one of which is foodservice inspections. As obligations increase and budgets remain static, the sanitarian is confronted with reducing the total number of inspections conducted per year, at the possible expense of public health. The idea of allocating manpower where it is needed most is a basic administrative task. This principle was applied to foodservice es-

| TABLE 11. 1985 risk | potential and | inspection | frequency. |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|---------------------|---------------|------------|------------|

| Establishment Number | Risk Potential | Inspection Frequency (interval in months) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1 | 14 | Floater (F) |
| 2 | 230 | 3 |
| 3 | 639 | 2 |
| 4 | O/B | O/B |
| 5 | O/B | O/B |
| 6 | 16 | F |
| 7 | O/B | O/B |
| 8 | 28 | 5 |
| 9 | 16 | F |
| 10 | 650 | 2 |
| 11 | 555 | 2 |
| 12 | 126 | 4 |
| 13 | O/B | O/B |
| 14 | 2 | F |
| 15 | 2 | F |
| 16 | 870 | 1 |
| 17 | 304 | 3 |
| 18 | 558 | 2 |
| 19 | 30 | 5 |
| 20 | 16 | F |
| 21 | O/B | O/B |
| 22 | 222 | 3 |
| 23 | 324 | 3 |
| 24 | 240 | 3 |
| 25 | 204 | F |
| 20 | 150 | Г А |
| 27 | 130 | F |
| 20 | 14 | F |
| 30 | 416 | 2 |
| 31 | 4 | F |
| 32 | 372 | 3 |
| 33 | 216 | 3 |
| 34 | 4 | F |
| 35 | O/B | O/B |
| 36 | 540 | 2 |
| 37 | O/B | O/B |
| 38 | 390 | 3 |
| 39 | 60 | 5 |
| 40 | 16 | F |
| 41 | 18 | F |
| 42 | 320 | 3 |
| 43* | 1125 | 1 |
| 44* | 1104 | 1 |
| 45* | 234 | 3 |
| 46* | 243 | 3 |

O/B - out of business

* new establishments

tablishment inspections by this study. A modified version of Bryan's method of calculating a foodservice establishment's potential risk of foodborne disease was used to determine the inspection frequency of forty-two establishments within the city of Commerce. This allowed for "high risk" establishments to be examined more often by removing "low risk" establishments from the routine inspection schedule.

474 DAIRY AND FOOD SANITATION/DECEMBER 1985

After the risk assessment method was in operation for one year, inspection report scores were compared to ascertain if this method of determining inspection frequencies was practical. A correlated t-test was used to test for significant differences between two sets of score means. The mean of the five report scores prior to the end of the study. The mean of the five report scores prior to the start of the study was also compared to the mean of report scores issued during the year-long study. The results indicate a significant increase in the operational quality of establishments inspected every month or every two months. There was no significant increase or decrease in the operational quality of establishments inspected at longer intervals. These findings indicate that a numerical risk assessment technique can be used as a valid tool in scheduling foodservice establishment inspection frequencies in under-staffed and under-financed health departments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express our sincere appreciation to East Texas State University and to the Hunt County Health Department. Deepest gratitude also to Donald Ingold and Don Royce Lee for their suggestions and editing. Special recognition is given to Jay Caudle for his advice that was supported with many years experience in public health.

REFERENCES

- Bryan, F. L. 1978. Factors that contribute to outbreaks of foodborne disease. J. Food Prot. 41:816-827.
- Bryan, F. L. 1978. Impact of foodborne diseases and methods of evaluating control programs. J. Environ. Health 40:315-323.
- Bryan, F. L. 1979. Prevention of foodborne diseases in foodservice establishments. J. Environ. Health 41:198-206.
- Bryan, F. L. 1980. Foodborne diseases in the United States associated with meat and poultry. J. Food Prot. 43:140-150.
- Bryan, F. L. 1981. Hazard analysis of foodservice operations. Food Technol. 35:78-87.
- Bryan, F. L. 1982. Foodborne disease risk assessment of foodservice establishments in a community. J. Food Prot. 45:93-100.
- 7. Centers for Disease Control. 1982. Foodborne disease outbreaks: annual summary 1979. Public Health Service, Atlanta, Ga.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1983. Foodborne disease outbreaks: annual summary 1980. Public Health Service, Atlanta, Ga.
- 9. Centers for Disease Control. 1983. Foodborne disease outbreaks: annual summary 1981. Public Health Service, Atlanta, Ga.
- Fallik, F., and B. Brown. Statistics for behavioral sciences. Homewood, Ill.: The Dorsey Press, 1983.
- Guerin, J. P., and H. Keeling. System documentation. Vol. III of Sanitation programs information formulator: User's guide. Washington, D.C.: U. S. Food and Drug Administration, 1975.
- Kaplan, O. B., and A. El-Ahraf. 1979. Relative risk ratios of foodborne illness in foodservice establishments: An aid in deployment of environmental health manpower. J. Food Prot. 42:446-447.

Dairy and Food Sanitation, Vol. 5, No. 12, Pages 475-477 (December 1985) Copyright^o, IAMFES, P.O. Box 701, Ames, IA 50010

Aseptic Bulk Tank Milk Sampler

Halit H. Oz Daniel J. Hillman

Departments of Veterinary Clinical Sciences and Veterinary Anatomy and Fine Structure School of Veterinary Medicine Louisiana State University Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

The majority of raw milk is collected by milk tanker from refrigerated bulk milk tanks or cans at road side and collection points in some other countries. Sampling the herd milk supply is routinely performed by the milk tanker operator. Contamination of the milk sample may result in a loss of the market for the producer and subsequently loss of payment for the product. Difficulty in obtaining a representative milk sample may be due to one or more of the following: 1) the necessity for the sample to be taken at the point of the collection, 2) the variety of milk storage container types, 3) semi-skilled operators (i.e. tanker drivers) collecting the samples, 4) the use of sampling procedures which may interfere with efficient milk collection (i.e. by incurring delays and extra manipulations), and 5) conditions for collecting which are far from ideal for satisfactory results. Milk samples, obtained for analysis, are taken either manually or by tankermounted automatic and semi-automatic samplers. Manual sampling procedures vary and are generally successful only if adequate agitation of the milk supply is achieved.(16)

Mechanical samplers often become contaminated and when improperly cared for are unsuitable for obtaining samples used for bacteriological testing. Errors in mechanical sampling may arise from a large carry-over effect between milk supplies. The latter may be due to faulty installation and/or maintenance shortcomings inherent in the design of the sampler. Adjustment of the sampler for each milk supply may also be a potential source of serious error.(1,4,10-12).

The farmer needs to be able to aseptically collect milk samples for central laboratory bacteriological testing when complying with a mastitis surveillance program. (2,3,6,7,9,13-15) A new method of obtaining aseptic milk samples from farm milk supplies is described in this report.

DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF THE ASEPTIC MILK SAMPLER

A standard veterinary balling gun was modified and retrofitted with a thick-wall plexiglas cylinder designed and constructed to accept a standard vacutainer tube and sterile disposable bleeding needle. (Fig 1, 2 and 3I). First, the balling gun was modified by cutting an inside thread (Fig 3D) at the open end of the reservoir part (Fig 3E) of the gun. Then, a large diameter plexiglas rod was turned to construct the receptable (Fig 3C) for the sterile vacutainer tube and disposable bleeding needle. Outside matching threads (Fig 3D) were cut on the wall of the plexiglas rod in order to be able to attach it to the reservoir part of the modified balling gun. The clear plexiglas receptacle was designed for unobstructed vision of the sample and quick removal and replacement of vacutainer tubes and disposable needles. All materials are commercially available with the exception of the plexiglas receptacle. The latter was prepared in a standard machine shop. For each sample, one sterile, disposable, 20 ml vacutainer tube and one sterile, disposable, 19 Ga. 1.5" bleeding needle are necessary.

METHOD OF SAMPLING

Between sampling the sampler is kept in a disinfectant solution. Prior to sampling it may be removed from the solution and wiped dry with a clean, single service paper towel. The plexiglas receptacle (Fig 3C) is removed from the reservoir and a prelabeled 20 ml. vacutainer tube (Fig 3G) is placed into the sampler (Fig 3E). The plexiglas receptacle is then replaced and secured in place by mak-



Figure 1. Aseptic bulk tank milk sampler with sterile and disposable vacutainer and needle.

ing it hand tight. Next, the sterile disposable bleeding needle with its protective cap is secured in place in the plexiglas receptacle. The sampler may be configured in this "ready" position for an extended period of time prior to sampling. When sampling, the lid of the bulk milk tank is opened and the protective cap of the sampler needle is removed (Fig 3III). The sampler is then submerged into the milk in the bulk tank and the plunger of the sampler is thrust forward engaging the vacutainer tube over the other sterile end of the bleeding needle (Fig 3IV). Care must be exercised so as not to place the sampler too deep into the milk to the extent that the milk is contaminated with the hand. Nine to eighteen ml of milk, depending upon the size of the vacutainer tube used, is drawn into the sterile vacutainer tube without any contamination of the sample. The sampler is removed from the bulk tank, the plexiglas receptacle unscrewed and the vacutainer tube containing the sample is disengaged from the protected end of the sampler needle. If



Figure 2. Aseptic bulk tank milk sampler with the tube and the needle (protective cap is removed) in place.

another sample is desired, the entire sampler is rinsed in tap water, dipped into the disinfectant solution and wiped dry with another single service paper towel. A new sterile vacutainer tube is then placed in the reservoir and a new needle placed in the plexiglas receptacle. Once again the sampler is configured into a "ready" position.

As previously described, approximately eighteen ml of uncontaminated milk sample from the bulk tank is directly withdrawn into a sterile, disposable, prelabeled vacutainer tube in just a few seconds. The entire operation does not require any additional training of the milkhauler operator or any person using the sampler. If new disposable vacutainer tubes and needles are used each time a sample is taken, with care, it is impossible to contaminate the sample.

ADVANTAGES OF THE ASEPTIC BULK TANK MILK SAMPLER

1. Simple and easy to use.

2. Low maintenance of reusable parts and the incorpo-



Figure 3. Details of aseptic bulk tank milk sampler and its use in bulk tank milk sampling. I. Aseptic bulk tank milk sampler. II. A. Protective cap of the sterile disposable needle., B. Sterile disposable needle., C. Sampler cap., D. Corresponding treated parts of the sampler cap and the sampler reservoir., E. Sampler reservoir. F. Plunger., G. Vacutainer label., H. Vacutainer tube. III. Assembled sampler with protective needle cap removed. IV. Sampling bulk tank milk by depressing plunger to engage vacutainer tube onto sampler needle to withdraw milk from bulk tank. V. Vacutainer containing the milk sample is withdrawn from the sampler cap. VI. Disposal of disposable needle.

ration of sterile disposable components directly in contact with the sample.

3. Samples obtained absolutely direct from any location in the bulk tank milk supply.

4. Samples (50 to 100) may be maintained in a small place during transportation and/or storage.

5. Disposable sample tubes lend themselves to prelabeling (i.e. bar codes) eliminating the possibility of loss of identification. Bar-coded tubes will lend themselves to automated laboratory analysis.

6. Standardized sample size (i.e. standard vacutainer tubes) lends itself to automation of the laboratory analytical procedure.

7. Standardized vacutainer tubes lend themselves to the incorporation of a preservative of choice to retard rapid overgrowth of organisms if needed.

8. The modified balling gun and its plexiglas receptacle may be changed to meet the specific demands such as more or less milk being required for certain tests.

In conclusion, a simple, reliable, cost-effective and aseptic bulk tank milk sampler has been described and is being introduced to the dairy industry. Because of its suggested simplicity and reliability, this new method of obtaining aseptic bulk milk tank samples warrants further evaluation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by SVM-Organized Research Fund, Louisiana State University.

REFERENCES

- Bossuyt, R. 1980. A device for sampling milk during collection. Revue de l'Agriculture 33:59-67.
- Bushnell, R. B. 1981. Mastitis: Update on recent findings. Bovine Pract. Proc. 14:73.
- Farnsworth, R. J., D. W. Johnson and J. F. Anderson. 1977. Analysis of bulk tank milk to determine the bacterial flora of the mammary gland of lactating cows in a dairy herd. Bovine Pract. Proc. 9:112-115.
- Fleming, M. G. 1978. An aid to better sampling from bulk milk tanks. Farm and Food Res. 9:73-74.
- Fleming, M. G. 1982. Achieving accuracy in milk sampling. Dairy Industry Funded Research Series. No:2:5-12.
- Guterbock, W. M. 1984. Practical aspects of mastitis control in large dairy herds. Part I. Assessing the status of mastitis. Comp. on Cont. Education 6(10):S601-S604.
- Guterbock, W. M., and P. E. Blackmer. 1984. Veterinary interpretation of bulk tank milk. Veterinary Clinics of North America 6(2):257-268.
- Intern. Dairy Fed. 1980. Prov. Internat. IDF Standards E 50 A. Milk and milk products-guide to sampling techniques.
- Johnston, S. P. 1981. Bulk tank procedures. Bovine Pract. Proc. 14:133.
- Luck, H. and B. Houbert. 1978. The suitability of five sampling devices used on road tankers for assessing the bacteriological quality of bulk milk. S. Afr. J. Dairy Technol. 10:3-10.
- Luck, H., J. Walthew, and B. Houbert. 1978. The suitability of five sampling devices used on road tankers for assessing the bacteriological quality of bulk milk. S. Afr. J. Dairy Technol. 10:3-10.
- Marshall, R. T. and D. S. Shelty. 1981. Comparison of tests of milk sample taken conventionally and with an automatic inline sampler. J. Food Protection 44:257-262.
- Pearson, J. K. L., C. L. Wright, and D. O. Greer. 1979. Factors affecting the frequency of isolating *Streptococcus agalactiae* from herd milk supplies and the control of the organism in the dairy herd. Br. Vet. J. 135:119-126.
- Postle, D. S. and H. Blobel. 1965. Studies of bulk milk screening procedures for mastitis. Am. J. Vet. Res. 26:90-93.
- Sears, D. M., M. Fettinger, and J. Marsh-Solin. 1982. Comparison of bulk tank sampling to survey dairy herds for *Streptococcus* agalactiae in Mississippi. J. Dairy Sci. 65 (suppl):168.
- Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products. 1978. E. H. Marth (editor). American Public Health Association. Washington, DC 20036. 14th Edition. pp. 33-54.
- VanDamme, D. M. 1984. Practitioners approach to mastitis microbiology-Acute and subclinical. Proc. 23rd. Ann. Mtg. National Mastitis Council, Kansas City 133-140.
- Ward, G. E., D. S. Postle, and D. T. Berman. 1969. Recovery of *Streptococcus agalactiae* from a herd of low prevelance of infection. A method of surveillance after elimination of infection. J. Milk Food Technol. 32:259-263.

National Mastitis Council Meeting to be Held February 10-12, 1986

The 25th annual meeting of the National Mastitis Council will be held February 10-12, 1986 at the Hyatt Regency Columbus in Columbus, Ohio.

The program features several outstanding speakers who will discuss topics of current interest in the field of mastitis. Included in the General Session will be a series of papers reviewing the International Dairy Federation seminar "Control of Bovine Mastitis", which was held in Kiel, W. Germany, and a panel discussion addressing the topic of diagnosing the problem herd. The featured international speaker is Dr. Frank Dodd, formerly of the National Institute for Research in Dairying, Reading, England, speaking on areas for potential progress in mastitis control and on a new concept in milking - hydraulic milking.

The meeting also features exhibits in the Technology Transfer Session along with board and committee meetings of the National Mastitis Council. All members and prospective members are encouraged to attend the meeting.

New Dairy Technology May Produce Low-Sodium Cheese

Ultrafiltration technology may play an important role in reducing the sodium content of cheese, an application that could help people on low-salt diets.

Ultrafiltration uses pumps and membranes to remove water from milk, concentrating the milk and cutting refrigeration and hauling costs. University of Wisconsin-Madison food scientists have found that ultrafiltration can also remove sodium from milk before it's processed into cheese.

"If the dairy industry switches over to membrane technology, then there's a very obvious place for this process," says UW-Madison food scientist Robert Lindsay. "We want to devise methods to use either new technology or traditional methods to meet reduced sodium levels that are either suggested or demanded by government and medical authorities."

Sodium occurs in everything from table salt (sodium chloride) to processed foods. However, many nutritionists and medical scientists think sodium consumption has become excessive, and have linked excessive consumption with high blood pressure. The link has prompted research into ways to reduce the amount of sodium - especially sodium chloride - in food.

Salt is added during cheesemaking to help remove water and regulate fermentation. Because lower salt Most efforts to reduce salt in cheese have used salt substitutes in the manufacturing process, he says. The use of ultrafiltration to reduce salt content is relatively new.

"This new information focuses on the use of membrane technology during pre-cheesemaking processing of milk," Lindsay says. "Along with the water that you remove during the concentration process, you selectively remove some salt components and let the remaining natural salts and flavor ingredients complement a modest addition of salt during cheesemaking. This departs from our earlier work on reduced-sodium cheese, where we left out some of the sodium chloride and substituted something else, such as potassium chloride, for saltiness."

The quality of low-salt cheese that is produced using ultrafiltration technology does not differ greatly from that of cheese made with salt substitutes, he says. However, this new method of making low-salt cheese may grow in significance as ultrafiltration technology spreads.

Lindsay presented a paper on this application of ultrafiltration Oct. 8 in Atlanta, Ga., at an International Dairy Federation seminar on new dairy products and cheese technology. The IDF seminar was held in conjunction with the annual Food and Dairy Exposition.

Lindsay has worked with UW-Madison food scientist Clyde Amundson on ways to reduce sodium in cheese. Amundson, who helped arrange the IDF seminar, and Carol Karahadian, graduate assistant, cowrote and presented a paper on low-sodium cheese at the IDF meeting.

Candidates Sought For 1986 Harold Macy Award

The Minnesota Section of IFT is seeking nominations for suitable candidates from all IFT sections for the 1986 Harold Macy Food Science and Technology Award.

The award, which was established in 1981, is to be given annually for an outstanding example of food technology transfer or cooperation between scientists or technologists in any two of the following settings: academic, government, and private industry. The purpose of the award is to advance the profession and practice of food technology and to honor Harold Macy, dean emeritus of the University of Minnesota and a founding member of IFT. Awardees will be invited to address the Minnesota Section. The award consists of a \$500 honorarium and travel expenses.

Nominations for the award should be made on an appropriate form and are due by January 15, 1986. Nomination forms are available from Nancy Lane, Chairperson, Macy Award Committee, The Pillsbury Company, Research and Development, 311 Second Street S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55414.

Paperboard Milk Carton Advertising Can Continue

Advertisements stating that paperboard milk cartons are superior to translucent plastic jugs in protecting milk's vitamins from light induced vitamin and flavor losses may continue, a Federal judge ruled in September.

U.S. District Court Judge Thomas A. Flannery denied the Society of Plastics Industry's (SPI) request for a broad injunction to prohibit the Paperboard Packaging Council (PPC) from continuing its consumer advertising and public relations campaign, following a seven-day trial. The judge required several minor changes in advertisements and public relations materials, but his order permits them to continue with the same nutritional message.

The PPC Milk Packaging Group's campaign promotes the nutritional advantages of paperboard milk cartons in protecting milk from vitamin and flavor losses caused by exposure to light, especially the fluorescent light in supermarket dairy cases.

The fact that the advertising campaign was welldesigned and extremely effective in persuading consumers to switch from translucent plastic jugs to light-blocking paperboard milk cartons did not warrant an injunction prohibiting the PPC advertising, the judge declared in a 36-page opinion. The judge ruled that consumers have a right to receive the nutritional information contained in the PPC advertisements.

The judge ruled that with the required modification PPC can continue its milk carton advertising campaign which has been conducted in more than 40 market areas over the past three years.

To fully comply with the judge's ruling, PPC will modify its advertising to clarify to consumers whether certain vitamin loss figures it cites relate to whole or skim milk, and will remove references to several studies which did not reflect retail conditions.

"It's a real victory not only for PPC but for the consumer," commented Spencer A. Johnson, secretary of the PPC Milk Packaging Group. "The court has upheld the right of PPC to provide consumers with accurate information from laboratory studies about the damaging effects of light on milk," he noted.

Johnson added that a single research study commissioned by SPI did not persuade the court to

ignore the results of numerous independent laboratory studies by university scientists which show that light causes losses in the vitamin content of milk.

Johnson said that members of the Milk Packaging Group are unanimously committed to continuing the PPC advertising and public relations campaign in additional market areas.

Natural Carcinogens Abound In Our Food Supply

Many naturally occurring carcinogens are present in the American food supply. This is not a cause for alarm, but it does show that there is a need to strike a more even balance in the evaluation of natural and man-made carcinogenic substances in our food, according to the report *Does Nature Know Best? Natural Carcinogens in American Food*, published by the American Council on Science and Health (ACSH), an independent scientific organization.

"Every time you eat a piece of toast, a mushroom, or a charcoal-broiled steak, you're eating carcinogens, substances that have been shown to be cancercausing when evaluated by the same criteria that are used to assess man-made chemicals in food," said ACSH Executive Director Dr. Elizabeth M. Whelan.

"There's no reason to believe that natural carcinogens in food are a significant hazard to our health in the quantities ordinarily consumed, so there's no need to avoid toast, mushrooms, charcoalbroiled meat, or any of the other foods that contain naturally occurring carcinogens," she said. "In practice, natural carcinogens *can't* be avoided, since foods that contain them are so widespread that if you stopped eating all of them you would go hungry."

"Fortunately, the variety in our diets prevents us from being exposed to truly dangerous amounts of any one potentially harmful food component. There is currently no evidence that low-level exposure to any chemicals in the U.S. food supply - either natural or man-made - poses a significant risk of cancer."

"It is time for the American people and our regulatory agencies to stop acting on the presumption that *natural* is safe and *man-made* is suspect," said Dr. William R. Havender, Scientific Advisor to ACSH and co-author of the new report. "There is no scientific evidence to support this assumption. Indeed, the evidence on carcinogens in foods completely refutes it."

"Our new regulatory emphasis should be on the potency of a chemical carcinogen and the level of human exposure to it rather than on the chemical's natural versus artificial origin," he said. "It is no longer realistic to expect that we can remove every molecule of every carcinogen from our food supply. Instead, we should focus our efforts on the few substances that pose a clear hazard to human health and try to distinguish these major risks from the multitude of tiny or hypothetical ones."

Common carcinogens in foods include hydrazines in mushrooms; allyl isothiocyanate in mustard and horseradish; pyrrolizidine alkaloids in herbs and herbal teas; ethyl carbamate in naturally fermented foods and beverages including bread, yogurt, soy sauce, beer, and wine; a variety of substances in coffee; and benzo(a)pyrene and related substances produced during the cooking (particularly charbroiling) of meats, the ACSH report states.

The American Council on Science and Health is an independent, nonprofit consumer education organization promoting scientifically balanced evaluations of food, chemicals, the environment, and health.

To obtain a complimentary copy of *Does Nature Know Best? Natural Carcinogens in American Food*, send a self-addressed, stamped (39¢ postage), business-size (#10) envelope to Natural Carcinogens Report, ACSH, 47 Maple St., Summit, NJ 07901.

UCLA Extension Presents Short Course on "Food Microbiology"

Of benefit to microbiologists responsible for food safety and quality, "Food Microbiology with an Introduction to Hazard Analysis" is a five-day UCLA Extension short course emphasizing the microbial ecology of foods. This class, meeting from January 20-24, deals with the influence of processing techniques on microflora, on safety and on the quality of various foods.

The course begins with a series of lectures on effects of physical and chemical agents on microorganisms in food, followed by a discussion of cleaning and sanitation in food operations and a lecture on the growth of microorganisms in foods. Other topics include: foodborne illnesses of microbiological origin, microbiological specifications for food, and practical aspects of microbiological control procedures.

The coordinator and lecturer is John H. Silliker, president, Silliker Laboratories, Carson, and adjunct professor, Department of Microbiology, UCLA. A consultant to the food industry for 24 years, Silliker is a member of the Salmonella Committee of the National Research Council and the FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Microbiology.

An additional lecturer is Elmer H. Marth, professor, Food Science and Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin, Madison. One of the country's foremost experts on mycotoxins and on the microbiological aspects of dairy products, Marth is co-author of *Staphylococci and Their Significance in Foods*.

"Food Microbiology" meets from 8:15 a.m. to 5 p.m. in Room G-33 West, UCLA Extension Building, 10995 Le Conte Avenue, Los Angeles. The fee is \$975, which includes course notes and textbooks. For further information, please call UCLA Extension at 213-825-1295.





Fast and simple bacterial results for the field, plant or lab

A fast, simple, reliable test for total bacteria or coliforms that anyone can use . . . anywhere.

423

Penicillin Assays Inc.

36 FRANKLIN STREET MALDEN, MA 02148 (617) 322-1523 MANUFACTURED BY HY LABS - ISRAEL Please circle No. 184 on your Reader Service Page The products included herein are not necessarily endorsed by Dairy and Food Sanitation.



New Reusable Plastic Ties Now Available

• New, reusable QUICKLIP plastic ties are available in a variety of lengths to fit and close almost every size plastic bag. QUICK-LIPS are unique in that they can be adjusted to the necessary tightness, quickly opened and reclosed without damage to beg or tie. This reusable feature more than offsets the initial cost of our product. QUICKLIP ties look like seals and actually enhance the appearance of your package. QUICKLIPS seal bags air tight over and over again, keeping the contents clean, fresh and free from contamination. All plastic construction makes QUICKLIPS ideal for packaging powders, chemicals, foodstuffs, small parts and much more. QUICKLIP ties allow the user to meter out your product. The standard color is red, but other colors are available. OUICKLIPS have space for your advertising message. Our catalog includes a free sample.

For more information contact: The Jilson Corporation, 200 Atlantic Street, Hackensack, NJ 07601. 201-488-4646.

Booklet Offers Solutions to Bakery Sanitation Needs

 "Sanitation in the Bakery", an eight page guide to cleaning and sanitation in the bakery industry, is now available from Oakite Products. The booklet describes cleaners, sanitizers, and equipment for mechanized cleaning that can help the baker better meet Good Manufacturing Practices.

A variety of Oakite application equipment is described, in addition to detergents, detergent-



Foodservice Thermometer Checks Food Preparation

• The 454 Magnum, a new Foodservice Digital Pocket Thermometer quickly checks hot or cold food preparation, processing, packaging and storage environments.

The 454 Magnum goes anywhere, is lightweight, rugged, fast acting, has 1°F accuracy from -150°F to 454°F and exceeds NSF 1976 food storage and food preparation laws and requirements. It reduces the need for service calls for temperature calibration on back-ofthe-house equipment; periodic checking will save cooking oil costs and reduce energy use while improving food quality.

The 454 Magnum comes with standard food liquid/food air probe, carrying case and optional surface and interior oven checking probes.

For further information contact: Testoterm, Inc., P. O. Box 468, Mount Freedom, NJ. 07970. 201-989-8869.

> Please circle No. 330 on your Reader Service Page

sanitizers, sanitizers, brighteners, and stain and milkstone removers. The problems of milk and lime scale and corrosion are given special attention.

The booklet also includes "Sanitation at a Glance", a convenient summary table showing cleaning and sanitizing applications or deep fat frying equipment; pans, utensils, and racks; plastic trays and boxes; automatic dough making equipment; filling equipment; stainless steel; and liquid shortening tanks.

To get a free copy of "Sanitation in the Bakery", contact Oakite Products, Inc., 50 Valley Road, Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922. 201-464-6900.

> Please circle No. 329 on your Reader Service Page

New Food Grade Conveyor Belting

• R. J. Dick, Inc. has developed and introduced a new series of conveyor belting specifically for the food, drug, dairy, and chemical processing industries and equipment manufacturers serving them.

Fully approved by the United States Department of Agriculture, the new DIX-A-TEX Food Grade Belting is made of 100% Polyester fabric with a wide range of cover types and thicknesses. Top covers are all white polyurethane or white polyvinylchoride. Bottom sides of the belting are either uncovered polyester fabric impregnated with polyurethane, or covered like the top carrying surface. Uncovered bottom sides are excellent for slider bed applications.

Outstanding features of the new DIX-A-TEX Belting are a unique Finger-Flex Splicing method and sealed belt edges. The Finger-Flex splice eliminates the need for mechanical fasteners, although these may be used if necessary. Sealing the belt edges keeps out contaminants and eliminates edge fraying. All DIX-A-TEX Belting may be steam-cleaned or washed with water.

After installation at 3/4% to 1% initial tension, no further belt stretch occurs. Available stock widths up to 59 inches; wider belts can be made using longitudonal splicing. Roll lengths up to approximately 328 feet. Further details are provided in R. J. Dick Catalog F-851. Contact R. J. Dick, Inc., 101 Queen Drive, Box 306, King of Prussia, PA 19406. 215-265-3850.

> Please circle No. 331 on your Reader Service Page

B.O.D. Tester Slide Show Available

• "Better Ways of Biomonitoring Wastewater" is the title of a new slide show available free of charge from Tech-Line Instruments. It describes the application of the B.O.D. Tester/ Wastewater Respirometer in short term (hours) B.O.D. testing, toxicity, treatability, process control and troubleshooting. Other applications such as anaerobic digestion testing, bioassay testing and pilot plant work are also explained. Instructions are given for interpreting the graphic results produced by the instruments and applying them to the testing and treatment of wastewater.

The 40 minute slide show consists of 84 color 35mm slides, a cassette tape and a copy of a written script.

For more information contact: Tech-Line Instruments, Tri Campus Park, P.O. Box 1236, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin 54935, or call Toll Free 1-800-328-7518. In Wisconsin call I-800-242-3505.

> Please circle No. 332 on your Reader Service Page

Please circle No. 328 on your Reader Service Page

Food Science Facts



Robert B. Gravani Cornell University Ithaca, NY

Food Deterioration And Spoilage Caused by Light

LIGHT

Almost all foods are exposed to light from natural and/ or artificial sources during processing, packaging, storage, shipping and marketing. The exposure of foods to light can result in the deterioration or photodegradation of these products. This photodegradation usually occurs in food constituents such as pigments, fats, proteins, and vitamins resulting in discoloration, off-flavor development and vitamin losses. The subject of light induced changes is quite complex and will be discussed in two issues of Food Science Facts.

Light is a form of radiant energy that is usually described by a term called wavelength. The light that we can see (visible light) is only a very small part of the vast spectrum of electromagnetic energy. This spectrum includes:

- · Gamma Rays
- X Rays
- Ultraviolet Rays
- Visible Light
- Infrared Rays
- · Radiowaves

Most problems that occur in foods are caused by light in the visible and ultraviolet ranges.

In the past, most light-induced changes in food were caused by sunlight. The development of incandescent lights added only a few problems because these lamps emit low amounts of ultraviolet light. Innovations in marketing have led to the merchandising of foods in transparent and translucent packaging under high intensity fluorescent lights. This situation can result in the photodegradation of food constituents.

Sources of Light

Foods are exposed to several sources of light in their production and marketing. Some common light sources and their locations are shown below.

| Light Source | Usual Location | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Sunlight | Outdoors, Store Fronts, Windows and Skylights | | |
| Incandescent Lamps | Coolers, Storage Facilities | | |
| Fluorescent Lamps | Food Processing Areas, Dis- play Cases, Food Prepa- ration Areas | | |

Incandescent lamps (regular light bulbs) have a metal filament that is heated to a glowing point. Fluorescent lights give off light when ultraviolet rays (resulting from the passage of electricity through a mercury vapor) strike certain materials called phosphors. These substances then give off visible light.

Foods are also exposed to other sources of light in the food industry. They include:

• Germicidal lamps used in walk-in coolers, food holding rooms, bakeries, and other areas to reduce bacterial and mold counts, and

 "Black lights" used to detect the presence of insects, rodent excreta and other kinds of contamination in foods.

When light strikes a package of food a number of things happen. The light is:

· Reflected off the surface of the package;

- · Absorbed by the packaging material;
- · Scattered and absorbed by the food; and
- Transmitted through the food

The diagram below shows this sequence of events.



The light that is absorbed by the food can cause deteriorative reactions of the food constituents. In most solid foods, the light only penetrates the outer layer of the product and photodegradation occurs in this surface layer. Discoloration on the surface of foods can certainly affect consumer acceptance of these products.

In liquid foods, light penetration can be greater and with mixing of the products due to agitation, larger portions of food constituents may be deteriorated.

The light sensitivity of a food depends on many factors including the:

- · Light source strength and type of light that it emits;
- Distance of the light source from the food;
- · Length of exposure;
- · Optical properties of the packaging material;
- · Oxygen concentration of the food; and
- The temperature

Light induced changes in food usually begin in one of two ways:

1) Light is absorbed by a component in the product that will directly undergo chemical reaction.

2) One component in a food causes some other component to undergo reaction because of light.

The deterioration of foods can occur when "light sensitive" constituents, like those shown below, are exposed to light.

| Nutrients | Amino Acids | |
|--|---------------|--|
| Vitamin A | Tryptophan | |
| Vitamin B ₁₂ | Phenylalanine | |
| Vitamin D | Tyrosine | |
| Folic Acid | Histidine | |
| Vitamin K | | |
| Pyridoxine | Pigments | |
| Riboflavin | Anthocyanins | |
| Vitamin E | Carotenoids | |
| | Chlorophylls | |
| Lipids | Myoglobin | |
| Unsaturated Fatty Acids Phospholipids | Hemoglobin | |

The next Food Science Facts will discuss light induced changes that occur in a variety of foods and how they can be prevented. Please circle No. 193 on your Reader Service Page



QUALITY CONTROL LABORATORY

Microbiological and Chemical Analyses

Dairy • Food • Beverages Pesticides • Waters • Wastewaters Hazardous Wastes • Inspections Priority Pollutants

> GC • HPLC • GC-MS • AA EPA & USDA Certified

1205 INDUSTRIAL HIGHWAY P.O. BOX 514 SOUTHAMPTON, PA 18966

(215) 355 - 3900

TWO DISTINCT VALVE SERVICES To Increase Productivity In Your Processing Operations

For CIP systems **CONVERT** your present plug valves to IN-LINE VALVES Hand Operated with TANACO PLASTIC PLUGS that can be thoroughly cleaned-inplace without removing plugs from bodies. Save labor and time, eliminate all maintenance and abuse. 3-A Authorized. Low conversion costs readily paid back in a few months.



2" Size 1½" size TANACO IN-LINE VALVES

Without CIP use MODERNIZING SERVICE which precision grinds TANACO PLASTIC PLUGS to fit your present line or tank valves, rebuilds the bodies, tests @ 125 psi and guarantees them NOT TO LEAK. Plugs are lightweight and SOLID throughout (not a coating). About half the cost of new valves.

Conventional TANACO PLUG VALVES

And, of course for any installation, TANACO supplies NEW VALVES with #316 Stainless steel for bodies and parts - 11/2" thru 3". Phone or write for additional information and prices from



3860 Loomis Traii Road Biaine, Washington 98230 (206) 332-6010

484 DAIRY AND FOOD SANITATION/DECEMBER 1985

Please circle No. 219 on your Reader Service Page



Dairy Quality

by Darrell Bigalke, Food & Dairy Quality Mgmt., Inc., St. Paul, MN

PLANNING AND ORGANIZING A QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

See

Part II

Last month's Dairy Quality Update defined a Quality Management System (QMS) as a quality and management program designed to achieve production of quality products and improve profits. The QMS defines quality as conformance to specifications. Specifications for a QMS include product parameters that affect consumer acceptance and regulatory compliance as well as reflect quality costs. Quality costs are defined as prevention costs, appraisal costs, and product or process failure costs.

A QMS differs from conventional quality control/quality assurance programs in that personnel from the QMS group work with production and operations to achieve quality. The QMS emphasizes doing things right the first time thus reducing the cost of quality. An effectively operating QMS requires efforts, understanding, and commitment from all personnel involved in the manufacture, sales and distribution of food products. Achieving product quality and improving profits defect prevention requires effective organization and planning.

1. Objectives

Planning the implementation and operation of a QMS or any other quality program requires establishing objectives. Measurable objectives should include goals based on product specifications and timetables for completion of tasks such as establishing policies, procedures, and process control mechanisms.

Objectives must be measurable and capable of documentation. Quality improvement can only be achieved when the degree of quality is known. In this regard the degree of conformance to specifications is the key measurement. Specifications that reflect consumer acceptance and economies of operation must be measured and documented. As pointed out in last month's article, parameters that reflect consumer acceptance (shelf-life, sensory qualities, consistency, safety, and others) can easily be measured and documented. However, parameters that reflect economies of operation are normally not easily documented. One approach to this problem is determining the "cost of quality." The "cost of quality" is defined as prevention costs, appraisal costs, and product and process failure costs. Prevention and appraisal costs include the costs associated with quality assurance and quality control. Product and process failure costs include recall costs, liability costs, scrap, reduced yields, down time, or any other cost that results from a product or process failure. Documenting the cost of product or process failures and establishing objectives of reducing these costs are essential to a properly operating QMS.

2. Organizational Structure

A QMS organizational structure is most effective when reporting directly to corporate management. A QMS department may function with a Quality Control group and a Quality Assurance group. The Quality Control group would be responsible for process control to assure conformance to specifications. The Quality Assurance group would be responsible for development of specifications based on safety, consumer acceptance, and economies of operation. Efforts from all operating departments within an organization are required to achieve production of products that conform to specifications. Specific responsibilities must be developed for each operational function. Table I suggests responsibilities for specific groups in an organization.

3. Progress Control Planning

Effective planning for the implementation and operation of a QMS requires the development of a "progress control chart." This requirement can be accomplished by development of a Gantt Chart or PERT (Program Evaluation and Review Technique) Chart or similar planning and control technique. These control techniques (1) facilitate planning and control by listing tasks to be accomplished and the time frames to complete these tasks.

TABLE 1.

1. Research and marketing determine product quality attributes critical to consumer acceptance and regulatory compliance.

 Quality Assurance develops specifications based on safety, consumer acceptance, economies of production, and minimum tolerances identified by marketing and sales.

3. Manufacturing agrees to produce products under specifications and controls.

4. Quality Control inspects and evaluates the process to control product quality and economies of processing and distribution.

5. Management enforces policies with guidance from Quality Assurance.

6. Quality Assurance measures cost of quality, determines effectiveness of the QMS, and revises strategies to maximize profits.

Tasks that would be included on a control chart may include the following:

(1) Establishment of product ingredient specifications

(2) Development and implementation of procedures for determining the cost of quality

(3) Development of policies

(4) Development and implementation of specific process control procedures

(5) Development of documentation and reporting systems

(6) Development of written production, sanitation, distribution, procurement, and other manuals

(7) Development of auditing procedures

(8) Development of written contingency plans

(9) Other tasks necessary to achieve production of quality products at optimum profit.

In summary, the key to an effectively operating Quality Management System is proper planning and organization. Planning starts with defining quality as conformance to specifications. Specifications must include product parameters that reflect consumer acceptance, safety and economies of operation. Measurement and documentation of conformance to specifications establish the objectives and determine their achievements necessary to operate the QMS. Organizational efforts must include clearly defining responsibilities for all departments and department members within an organization.

(1) Koontz, H. and O'Donnell, C. (1976), Management, A Systems and Contingency Analysis of Managerial Functions, Fifth Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, N.Y.



When Bacteria Get into the Udder

Mastitis-causing bacteria enter the udder during machine milking, between milkings and during the dry period. Transmission during milking occurs when contaminated milk droplets impact against the teat orifice. Impacts result from reverse air flow in the short milk tube of the inflation caused by erratic system vacuum changes and flow of air into teat cups during fall-off or teat cup squawk. Impacts of droplets containing *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Streptococcus agalactiae* may be jetted through the entire length of the teat duct into the cistern or partially into the teat duct.

During the intermilking period, bacteria colonizing the teat duct may move upward into cisternal areas by multiplication, physical movement or hydrostatic pressure. That pressure is caused by milk accumulation before milking that may dilate the teat duct nearest the udder and shorten the duct.

Between milkings, environmental streptococci and coliforms found in contaminated water, bedding and manure can contaminate teat ends and are a potential source of infection. Bacteria that are colonizing the teat duct between milkings may be moved farther up the teat during milking, possibly into the teat cistern, by impacts of sterile milk droplets.

The frequency of new intramammary infections is greatest during the early dry period. At drying off, the flushing effect of milk on bacteria in the teat duct is stopped and teat sanitization is discontinued. Therefore, not milking increases the potential source of infection. Both factors increase the changes of bacterial colonization of the teat duct which may persist for months and serve as a source of new infections when the cow freshens.

This article is one of a continuing series made available by the National Mastitis Council. For additional information contact: the NMC, 1840 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22201.

> 1840 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22201 703-243-8268

TUBERCULOSIS - UNITED STATES, 1984

In 1984, 22,255 cases of tuberculosis were reported to CDC, for a rate of 9.4 cases per 100,000 population. Compared with 1983, this is a 6.7% decrease in the number of cases reported and a decline of 7.8% in the case rate.

Case rates for the 50 states ranged from 21.0/100,000 in Hawaii to 1.0/100,000 in Wyoming. The rate increased in eight states, remained unchanged in two, and decreased in 40.

The case rate for persons living in 57 cities with populations of 250,000 or more was 19.3/100,000 - more than twice the national rate. Urban rates ranged from 49.9/100,000 in Miami, Florida, to 2.3/100,000 in Omaha, Nebraska. Eight cities had rates at least three times the national rate. Miami, Florida; Newark, New Jersey; Atlanta, Georgia; San Francisco, California; Tampa, Florida; Oakland, California; Honolulu, Hawaii; and Washington, DC.

In 1984, 1,236 tuberculosis cases were reported among children under 15 years of age, including 759 cases among children under 5 years of age; in 1983, there were 1,360 and 818 such cases, respectively.

Official tuberculosis mortality statistics for the United States are compiled by the National Center for Health Statistics. Final tuberculosis mortality data for 1982 show 1,807 deaths. This is a 6.7% decrease from 1981 in the number of deaths reported.

Editorial Note: The 6.7% decrease in new reported tuberculosis cases in 1984 continues the downward trend noted for 1982 and 1983. Contributing factors include: (1) the participation of almost all states in a new national case reporting system requiring more accurate verification of cases and (2) a decline in the actual number of indigenous cases. During the past 3 years, health departments have implemented expanded outreach programs in high-incidence areas to ensure complete treatment of diagnosed cases and to strengthen contact investigation and follow-up activities.

When antituberculosis drugs were first introduced over 35 years ago, there was hope that the diaease would soon be elimiaated in the United States, even though over 100,000 new active cases and about 40,000 deaths from tuberculosis were reported annually. Given the current rate of decline, the elimination of tuberculosis appears unlikely before the year 2100. Over 20,000 new cases and 1,800 deaths still occur each year. Transmission of infection also continues, as evidenced by the continued occurrence of hundreds of cases in young children, most of whom are under 5 years of age. An accelerated rate of decline must be achieved if tuberculosis is to be fully controlled in this century.

Control of tuberculosis has been hampered by a number of factors. Unfortunately, many public and private sector healthcare providers do not consider tuberculosis a problem. This perception has been fostered in part by the closing of tuberculosis sanatoriums and the institution of outpatient treatment programs.

Another problem that hampers control efforts for state and local health departments - which have the major responsibility for controlling this disease in the community - is non-compliance with prescribed therapy. Most patients require a minimum of 9 months' treatment, with monthly monitoring for drug toxicity, compliance, and response to therapy. Many patients are unwilling or unable to complete a self-administered course of therapy and may require directly observed therapy or other special assistance from the health department. An estimated 34,000 persons in health department registers are currently under medical supervision for tuberculosis, and each year, an estimated 200,000 persons exposed to new cases must be examined. Many of these persons, as well as other high-risk individuals, are placed on isoniazid preventive treatment for up to 12 months and also require monthly monitoring for drug toxicity and compliance.

A third obstacle to the effective control of tuberculosis is the emergence of tuberculosis organisms that are resistant to antituberculosis drugs, especially isoniazid and streptomycin. Such resistance is relatively more common among persons from Asia, Africa, and Central and South America. However, the problem of drug resistance is not limited to the foreign-born. Community outbreaks of drug-resistant tuberculosis have occurred in Mississippi, Montana, New York, and more recently, Massachusetts and North Carolina.

Preventing the majority of new tuberculosis cases is difficult to achieve in a short period of time with currently available technology. An estimated 10 million persons in this country are infected with tubercle bacilli and carry a life-long risk of developing tuberculosis. Even if health departments could identify all the infected individuals in the country who are at high risk of developing disease and provide them with preventive therapy, tuberculosis would still occur in some infected individuals over the age of 35 years for whom preventive therapy is not recommended because the risk of isoniazid toxicity outweighs the benefits of therapy.

An acceleration of the decline can be achieved with (1) full implementation of existing prevention and control methodology, (2) development of new treatment, diagnostic, and prevention technologies; and (3) rapid implementation of these new technologies in all areas of the country as they are developed.

CDC, state and local health departments, and other public agencies and organizations will continue to work together to achieve the first step in June 1985, a small group of scientists will meet in Pittsfield, Massachusetts, to explore obstacles to tuberculosis elimination and to identify feasible new technologies that could be developed and used to accelerate the elimination of tuberculosis. This effort is sponsored by the U.S. Public Health Service, including CDC and the National Institutes of Health, the American Thoracic Society, and the Pittsfield Antituberculosis Association. Within the next few months, CDC will also identify a group of outside experts who will advise on the further development and implementation of a tuberculosis elimination plan. Successful accomplishment of the three action steps could bring about the elimination of tuberculosis in the United States a century earlier than is now projected. MMWR Vol. 34, No. 21, May 31, 1985.

HELP!...AND WHERE TO FIND IT

Few individuals have the broad range of expertise to avoid or resolve every business crisis. Even with specific management and production expertise, external assistance is frequently necessitated by the sheer magnitude of regulations and dynamics of growth. Fortunately, a little digging in the right places can provide an escape from man_predicament.

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the federal agency empowered to enforce food safety regulations, also provides assistance programs chiefly to help food manufacturers anticipate and sidestep problems before they arise.

The programs fall into three categories:

Industry information materials and assistance. These are directed toward specific problem areas, such as food sanitation, or topics that have generated many inquiries. The materials, which elaborate on FDA policies, procedures, guidelines, and regulations, come in four forms: trade/industry memos and booklets; summaries of regulations and guidelines; workshops; and slide shows and table top exhibits.

Industry quality assurance assistance. According to FDA, "a quality assurance program is a company's principal consumer protection insurance policy." The agency provides a flow diagram that outlines procedures from receiving raw materials through production and storage of finished product. Details are available from the Industry Programs Branch.

Foreign government assistance. To assure conformity of imported foods to U.S. standards of safety, quality, and purity, FDA conducts this program which involves problem identification, technical assistance, certification, foreign inspections, and foreign visitor training.

Information on all programs is available from *Industry Programs Branch (HFF-326)*; Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition; Food and Drug Administration; 200 "C" Street, S.W.; Washington, D.C. 20204.

The Federal Register covers all proposed Federal regulations. Actions of the Food and Drug Administration appear in Tuesday and Friday editions. Subscriptions cost \$300 per year. Individual copies can be purchased for \$1.50. Local libraries, county courthouses, and Federal buildings generally have collections too.

Copies of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; sections of the Public Health Service Act pertaining to biological products; the Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act; and the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act are compiled in a single booklet available for \$5.00 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, D.C. 20402.

Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) contains codified regulations over which FDA has jurisdiction. General regulations for the enforcement of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act are available for 6.00. Food standards, good manufacturing practice for food, low-acid canned foods, acidified foods, and food labeling are priced at 6.50; food additives for 6.50 also. Prepared Foods, April 1985.

FOOD ANIMAL RESIDUE AVOIDANCE DATABASE (FARAD)

The Food Animal Residue Avoidance Database (FARAD) is a Residue Avoidance Program pilot project that involves the cooperative efforts of research and Extension specialists in five States: California (University of California), North Carolina (North Carolina State University), Florida (University of Florida), Illinois (University of Illinois), and Idaho (University of Idaho).

FARAD is designed to serve as an information resource for the entire Residue Avoidance Program (RAP) research-education-regulation effort. Development of this database was recommended by the RAP State project leaders and representatives of animal commodity and associated industry groups. It receives funds from the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS-USDA). The National Agricultural Library (NAL) has contributed literature searches and delivery of documents to the project. The objective of FARAD is to identify, extract, assemble, review, and distribute reviewed information about residue avoidance programs throughout the United States. The types of information available through FARAD include basic drug registration information, withdrawal times, indications for use, as well as more complex technical information about pharmacoand toxicokinetics of chemicals in food animals.

Data on 100 chemicals selected by FSIS as being the greatest source of residue problems have been included in FARAD the first year. North Carolina serves as the Data Analysis and Support Center (DASC). Information for FARAD is gathered from a number of sources including FSIS, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and drug companies; but most is from research journals. Computerized literature searches are conducted by FARAD States and by the National Agricultural Library and provided to North Carolina. The scientific papers and articles that may contain data useful to FARAD are identified. These documents are delivered to North Carolina through the auspices of NAL and are further distributed to California and Florida. These three States serve as the data extraction sites.

One unique aspect of FARAD is the extraction and evaluation of toxicokinetic information from these published literature sources. There are numerous papers published which contain residue information that have never been analyzed kinetically. FARAD extracts these usable data and converts them into parameters that can be used to predict decontamination times and other withdrawal times. This literature evaluation and analysis will also be especially helpful in identifying areas that need further research. Another unique aspect is that FARAD investigators will meet twice a year to validate all entries for accuracy and prepare summaries of the data for inclusion in FARAD.

The information for FARAD is assembled by North Carolina and is peer-reviewed by three validation sites - California, Illinois, and Idaho. FARAD consists initially of five separate files. These are: (1) Trade name, (2) Generic Drug, (3) Species, (4) Pharmacokinetic, and (5) Bibliography. A separate FARAD file also lists RAP State projects, educational materials, and project contact persons. The complete refereed, peer-reviewed database is available at three Regional Access Centers (RAC's) which are California, Florida and Illinois.

Direct computer access to FARAD, although technically available, is not planned initially but is being considered for future years for portions of the database. The complete FARAD program and data files from North Carolina will be provided to NAL and are available to Federal agencies that want the complete system for their internal use. FARAD will also provide updates to these agencies as well as to the RAC's as additions and the updates are developed. FARAD veterinary pharmacologists and toxicologists at the RAC's will use FARAD to answer questions received by telephone from veterinarians, producers, Extension specialists, County agents, and others. Use information will be developed for assessment of the effectiveness of FARAD. Telephone numbers for the three RAC's are as follows:

West - University of California - 916-752-7507 Central - University of Illinois - 217-333-3611 East - University of Florida - 904-392-4085

The FARAD information service is now available for use to assist food animal producers and those who work with them to avoid illegal residues in meat, milk, and eggs. - May 2, 1985, Dairy News 17 USDA-Extension Service, May, 1985.

1985 PA Dairy Sanitarian's -Laboratory Director's Conference

The sixth joint conference of the two groups was held at the Pennsylvania State University, May 13-15. Actually it was the 46th annual meeting for laboratory directors and 43rd meeting for fieldmen, now called sanitarians. More than 225 persons heard over 40 speakers on topics or as panel members. The six panels, having from two to four speakers each, provided the most popular and useful information. Judging from the 60 comment forms completed, the conference was well accepted. Much of this is the result of increased input by planning committees and their cooperation with Penn State. More than 60 different topics were suggested for future meetings.

The Sanitarians Award was presented to Alfred Gottfried, Hershey Chocolate Co. and the Distinguished Service Award of the sanitarians to Gene Lauver, Maryland - Virginia Milk Producer's Association. The Laboratory Director's Association presented an Honorary Life Membership Award to Harry Behney, Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture.

The 1986 meeting will be May 12-14 at the Keller Conference Center at Penn State.







1985-1986 officers of the Pennsylvania Dairy Sanitarians Association. Left to right, Gerald Shick, Chairman Awards Committee and Vice President; Arthur Freehling, President; Audrey Throne, Secretary-Treasurer; and Donald Lerch, President-Elect.



Gerald Shick, left, presenting the Distinguished Service Award for 1935 to J. Gene Lauver, of Maryland-Virginia Milk Producers Association.

W.A.M.F.S. Meeting Highlights

Approximately one hundred and sixty people attended the Sixth Annual Joint Educational Conference co-sponsored by the Wisconsin Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians, the Wisconsin Environmental Health Association, the Wisconsin Association of Dairy Plant Field Representatives and the Wisconsin Dairy Technology Society held September 25 and 26, 1985 at the Valley Inn in Neenah, Wisconsin.

The meeting featured topics on dairy, food and environmental health. The keynote address, discussing Wisconsin's Economy in the 80's, was given by Dr. Jon G. Udell of the University of Wisconsin. The general session speakers were Jerri Linn Phillips of the Wisconsin Division of Health, discussing Cancer and the Environment, and Dr. P. C. Vasavada of the University of Wisconsin, River Falls, talking about Microorganisms that Cause Problems in the Food Industry.

Speakers on dairy topics included Randy Daggs, Wisconsin Division of Health; Andy Johnson, D.V.M.; John Malcheski, Morning Glory Farms and Robert Cropp, University of Wisconsin - Platteville.

Speakers featuring food topics included Charles E. Phillips, F.D.A; Dr. Elmer Marth, University of Wisconsin, and Norm Kirschbaum, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture.

Environmental topics were discussed by Ron Buege, West Allis Health Department; Dr. Bob Nelson, University of Wisconsin - Eau Claire; Kris Hansen, RMT, Inc.; and J. Lyell Clarke, Clarke Outdoor Spraying Company.

The Wisconsin Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians presented the Sanitarian of the Year Award to Norm Kirschbaum, Administrator, Food Division, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection.

The W.A.M.F.S. Business Meeting featured a discussion on committees and how they can be improved and made more meaningful. Dave Myers, Wisconsin Dairies, Inc., served as president the past year and was given the Past President's Plaque. Eugene Lindauer, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Tr le and Consumer Protection, Green Bay was installed as president for the 1985-1986 term.



Norm Kirschbaum, left, presented the Sanitarian of the Year Award by Don Konsoer, right, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture.

Anderson Named AIMFES President

Kenneth Anderson of Harold Wainess & Associates was named president of the Associated Illinois Milk, Food, and Environmental Sanitarians (AIMFES) at the association's annual business meeting. The meeting, held jointly with the Illinois Dairy Fieldman Conference, in Champaign, Illinois at the Chancellor Inn, was a two-day session held September 9-10, 1985.

Other officers moved up were: Robert Crombie, The Crombie Company, and Joe Byrnes, Dart-Kraft to president-elect and first vice-president, respectively. This is according to the AIMFES constitution.

Phil Hermsen, Associated Milk Producers, Inc., was elected as second vice-president. Clem Honer, *Dairy Record*, was re-elected secretary-treasurer.

Sondra Schrank, Illinois Department of Public Health, was elected sergeant-at-arms. Marlene Bordson, Illinois Department of Public Health, and Dan Biggins, McDonald Corporation were elected as auditors.

Speakers on the program included: Dr. John Nelson, Dart-Kraft, and Donald Voeller, FDA, discussing how industry and regulatory agencies can work together.

Roger Capps, Prairie Farms Dairy, Inc., and Mary Grigsby Stangel, Lorraine Cheese Company, addressed the effect of farm milk quality on plant processing.

Dr. George Muck, Dean Foods Company, described new dairy foods products in the marketplace. Robert Anderson, FDA, presented a PMO and salmonella update. Ken Anderson, Harold Wainess & Associates, related extended product shelf life with the use of "clean filling" machines. Dr. Tony Luksas, United Dairy Industry, addressed ultrafiltration of milk at the farm level.



Assoicated Illinois Milk, Food, and Environmental Sanitarians (AIMFES) new Board of Directors: Front, left to right, Sondra Schrank, Sergeant-At-Arms; Robert Crombie, President-Elect; Ken Anderson, President; Jerry Kopp, Past-President. Back row, Phil Hermsen, Second Vice President; Clem Honer, Secretary-Treasurer; and Joe Byrnes, First Vice President.



AIMFES Past Presidents: left to right, Jerry Kopp, Carl Ziesemer, Dr. George Muck, and Ray Moldenhauer. Not pictured, Howard Ferreira.



Merry Christmas from your IAMFES staff, front row left to right, Suzanne Trcka, John Keninger, Julie Heim, Mary Myers. Back row left to right, Kate Wachtel, Kelm Brueschke, Jacki Parrish, and Kathy Hathaway.

| ALBERTA ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOO AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS |
|--|
| Pres., Dr. M. E. Stiles Edmonton Past Pres., Dr. Harry Jackson Edmonton Pres. Elect, Lorne Clarke Edmonton Sec'y., Cherise Foster Edmonton Treas., Jim Eisen Edmonton Directors: Sam Sohal Sam Sohal Edmonton Dr. Gordon Greer Lacomb Rick Leyland Red Dee |
| Mail all correapondence to: AAMFES PO Box 8446 Station F Edmonton, Alb. CN T6H 5H3 403-436-9450 |

CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY AND MILK SANITARIANS

Pres., Joe M. Cardoza, 280 Salsbury, Santa Clara, CA 95051

1st Vice Pres., Bill Bordessa Merced 2nd Vice Pres., Austin D. Olinger Upland Recording Sec'y., William L. Howder . Martinez Psst Pres., W. J. Pollock . . Manhattan Beach

Mail sil correspondence to: **Richard C. Harrell** CADMS Executive Sec. 1554 West 120th St. Los Angeles, CA 90047 213-757-9719

CONNECTICUT ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY & FOOD SANITARIANS, INC.

| Pres., Lou | s Palumbo Hamde | an |
|------------|------------------------------|----|
| Vice Pres | Nicholas Macelletti Unionvil | le |
| Sec'y., Pa | I Gottheff Bozra | ah |
| Treas., W | liam Peckham Killingwor | th |

Board of Governors:

| Dr. Benjamin Cosenza | Dr. Jesse Tucker |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| George Norman | Philip Vozzola |
| William Geenty | Lucy Bassett |
| Dr. Lester Hankin | Henry Fournier |
| David Herrington | J. Frank Martin |
| C. Rodney Banks | John Karolus |
| Paul Danilowicz | |
| Mail correspondence to: | |
| Dr. Lester Hankin | |
| The Conn. Agric. Exper. Sta. | |
| PO Box 1106 | |
| New Haven, CT 06504 | |
| 203-789-6912 | |
| | |

FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS, INC.

Pres., Cliff Muncy Miami Pres. Elect, Dick Jolley Bradenton Past Pres., Jim Strange Tallahassee Sec'y. Treas., Dr. Franklin Barber

Board:

Dave Fry Dr. Jim Jezeski Dr. Oliver Kaufman **Chester Marsh** Marian Ryan

Mail sil correspondence to: Dr. Franklin Barber 1584 Cumberland Ct. Fort Myers, FL 33907 813-936-4769

IDAHO ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION

Pres., Tom Turco, 1455 N. Orchard, Boise, ID 83706 Vice Pres., Ken Lustig Sec'y. Treas., Jaren Tolman Mail sil correspondence to: Jaren Tolman Rt. 3, Box 293 Burley, ID 83318 208-678-7524

ILLINOIS MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS

Pres., Ken Anderson, Harold Wainess & Assoc., 464 Central Ave., Northfield, IL 60093 Pres. Elect, Robert Crombie Joliet 1st Vice Pres., Joe Byrnes Glenview 2nd Vice Pres., Phil Hermsen . . Schaumburg Sec'y. Treas., Clem Honer Glen Ellyn Mail all correspondence to: Clem J. Honer 1 S. 760 Kenilworth Ave. Glen Ellyn, IL 60137 312-693-3200

INDIANA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS,

INC.

| Pres., Robert L. Hackett | | Indianapolis |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Pres. Elect, William L. Morgan | | Muncie |
| Psst Pres., C. Stephen Creech | | Bloomington |
| Vice Pres., Henry M. Griffin | 1 | Michigan City |
| Sec'y., Donna C. Oeding | | Jaspar |
| Treas., Barbara M. Halter | | Indianapolis |
| Directors: | | |
| Dennis E. Williamson | | |
| Kent D. Querry | | |
| Thomas G. Atkinson | | |
| Russell Mumma | | |
| Rosemarie Neimeyer Hansell | | |
| Helene Uhlman | | |
| Gary Rogers | | |
| Mail all correspondence to: | | |
| Indiana Assoc. of Sanitarians | | |
| Attn: Ms. Tami Barrett | | |
| 1330 West Michigan St | | |

OWA ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS, INC.

Indianapolis, IN 46206

Pres., Derward Hansen Exira Pres. Elect, Ralph Sanders Waterloo 1st Vice Pres., Monty Berger Decorah 2nd Vice Pres., Wilbur Nielson . Independence Sec'y. Treas., Karen Scherer Monticello Mail all correspondence to: Dale Cooper **Box 69** Manchester, IA 52057 319-927-3212

KANSAS ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS

Pres., Alan Gremmel, Milk Control, 1900 East 9th St., Wichita, KS 67214

1st Vice Pres., Loren Brock 2nd Vice Pres., Mary May Sec'y. Treas., John M. Davis Mail all correspondence to: John M. Davis Wichita - Sedgewick Co. Dept. of Comm. Health 1900 E. 9th Wichita, KS 67214 316-268-8351

KENTUCKY ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD & ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS, INC.

Pres., Bland Doris Vice Pres., Dale Marcum Sec'y. Treas., Betty Kelly Directors: Region I Max Weaver Region II Wiliam Montgomery Region III Ross Stratton Kenny Yeager Joseph Schureck Region IV D. S. Hughes Region V David Atkinson William Murphy Brenda Ward Region VI Berford Turner Region VII Gary Coleman Mail sil correspondence to: **Betty Kelly** Rt. 7, Box 55A Shelbyville, KY 40065 502-564-7647

> MICHIGAN ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION

Pres., Stephen R. Tackitt, Macomb Co. Health Dept., 43525 Elizabeth Rd., Mt. Clemens, MI 48043 Pres., Elect, Terry Anderson Lansing Sec'y., Joseph Hibberd Lansing Treas., Debra L. Vande Bunte Holland Mail all correspondence to: Joseph Hibberd Michigan Dept. of Public Health P.O. Box 30035 Lansing, MI 48909 Directors: James Draze Glenn Beers Guy Estep

Dick Courson William DeHaan

MINNESOTA SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION INC.

Pres., Michael Pullen, 5530 Peterson Rd., White Bear Lake, MN 55110 Pres. Elect, William Coleman ... Apple Valley Vice Pres., Larry Hemmingsen Albert Lea Sec'y. Treas., Roy Ginn Mall all correspondence to: Roy Ginn Dairy Quality Inst. 2353 N. Rice St., Suite 110 St. Paul. MN 55113 612-484-7269

MISSISSIPPI ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS, INC.

Pres., Andy Cotton, Oktibbeha County Health

Dept., Lamkin St., PO Box 108, Starkville, MS 39759 Pres. Elect, L. B. Barton Lucedale 1st Vice Pres., John Campbell . . Vicksburg 2nd Vice Pres. Charles Blakely . . . Grenada

| and the trees onenes building | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Sec'y Treas., Maurice Herington | Gulfport |
| Mail all correspondence to: | |
| Maurice Herrington | |
| 2400 14 Street | |
| Suite 203 | |
| Gulfport, MS 39501 | |

MISSOURI MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMEN TAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION

| Pres., Barry Drucker Clayton |
|---------------------------------------|
| Pres. Elect, David Welde Springfield |
| Vice Pres., Douglas Dodson St. Louis |
| Sec'y., Reid Stevens Jefferson City |
| Treas., John G. Norris Jefferson City |
| Mall sli correspondence to: |
| John Norris |
| Division Health |
| Box 570 |
| Jefferson City, MO 65101 |
| 314-751-3696 |

NEW YORK STATE ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., Joseph Ferrara, NYS Dept. of Ag. & Markets, Bldg. 8, State Campus, Albany, NY 12235 Pres. Elect, Gaylord Smith Schenectady Past Pres., John R. Bartell Alfred Members: John Baxter Canajoharie Paul Dersam Leroy Robert J. Gales Hancock Mail all correspondence to: **David Bandler 11 Stocking Hall Cornell University** Ithaca, NY 14853 607-256-3027

> NORTH DAKOTA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION

Pres., Keith Johnson, Mercer Co. Courthouse, Stanton, ND 58571 Sec'y. Treas., Scott E. Holmes Bismarck Mail all correspondence to: Scott E. Holmes 11091/2 North 15th Street Bismarck, ND 58501

OHIO ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS

Pres., Dean Devore, Fieldman - Famarack Farm, 1701 Tamarack Rd, Newark, OH 43055 Vice Pres., Edward Leavitt Dayton 2nd Vice Pres., Emil Mikolajcik Columbus

Intl. Advisor, Harry Haverland Cincinnati

Mail all correspondence to:

Donald L. Barrett 6727 Deepwood Ct. Reynoldsburg, OH 43068 614-222-6195

ONTARIO FOOD PROTECTION ASSOCIATION

| Pres., G | ary Hu | ber | | | | | | | | | | | Toronto |
|----------|--------|------|----|---|---|----|----|---|----|--|--|--|---------|
| Vice Pre | s., Do | ug C | ur | m | n | gł | na | m | ۱. | | | | Guelph |

Sec'y, Trees., J. Willekes Scarborough Past Pres., Reinhart Purfurst Guelph **Directors:** Michael Brodsky Toronto I. R. Patel Teeswater James Roth Niagara Falls Jackie Crichton Weston Fred Echel Waterloo Patrick Kwan Toronto Mall all correspondence to: Ontario Food Protection Assoc. % Suite 304 5233 Dundas St. W.

Islington, Ontario, Canada M9B 1A6

OREGON ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS, INC.

Pres., Al T. Rydmarck, 1165 North Locust, Canby, OR 97013 Vice Pres., Robert Williams Salem Sec'y Treas., Floyd W. Bodyfelt Corvallis Directors: Robert Gerding Philomath Mail all correspondence to: Floyd Bodyfelt Wiegand Hall 240 Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331 503-754-3463

PENNSYLVANIA DAIRY SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION

Pres., James R. Barnett Strasburg Pres. Elect, Arthur C. Freehling Vice Pres., Donald Lerch Assoc. Advisors: Stephen Spencer Sidney Barnard George W. Fouse Mail all correspondence to: **Audrey Hostetter** Hershey Choc. Co. 19 E. Chocolate Ave. Hershey, PA 17033 717-534-4031

SOUTH DAKOTA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION

Pres., Robert McGrath Brookings Pres. Elect, Morris Forsting Sioux Falls Sec'y. Treas., Stanley A. Iwagoshi . Sioux Falls For more information contact: Stanley A. Iwagoshi South Dakota Dept. of Health 1320 S. Minnesota, Suite A Sioux Falls, SD 57105 605-339-7113

TENNESSEE ASSOCIATION OF MILK, WATER AND FOOD PROTECTION

Pres., Ray Rottero Past Pres., Carl Moore Pres. Elect, David Mayfield Vice Pres., Dr. B. J. Demott Sec'y-Treas., Cecil White Archivist, Ruth Fugua

Mail all correspondence to: **Cecil White** Dept. Agriculture Ellington Agri. Center Bos 40627 Melrose Station

Nashville, TN 37204 615-360-0155

| Pres., | Joe Goddard, Texas Tech Univ., Envir |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Health 79409 | and Safety, PO Box 4369, Lubbock, TX |
| Vice F | res., James Roberson San Antonio |
| Sec'y., | Ron Richter College Station |
| Treas. | , Chris Woelfel College Station |
| Mall a | I correspondence to: |
| Prof. F | Ion Richter |
| Klebur | g Center |
| Texas | A&M University |
| Colleg | e Station, TX 77843 |
| 400.8 | 45-4409 |

Pres., Greg Snow Past Pres., Joe Satterfield 1st Vice Pres., Ray Hall 2nd Vice Pres., Donna izac Sec'y, Treas., W. J. Farley Staunton Mall all correspondence to: W. J. Farley Route 1, Box 247 Staunton, VA 24401

AND DAIRY FIELDMEN

703-434-3897

WASHINGTON MILK SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION

Pres., Donald Penders, WSDA, N. 222 Havana, Spokane, WA 99201 Pres. Elect, Paul Nelson Seattle Sec'y. Treas., Lloyd Luedecke Pullman Mail all correspondence to: Lloyd Luedecke NW 312 True St. Pullman, WA 99163 509-335-4016

WISCONSIN ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., Eugene Lindauer, WI Dpt. of Ag, Trade & Cons. Prot., 220 N. Jefferson St., Suite 146 A, Green Bay, WI 54301 Vice Pres., Dale Hachmann 1st Vice Pres., Randy Daggs Madison Sec'y. Treas., Neil Vassau Madison Past Pres., David Meyers Arcadia Mail sil correspondence to: Neil M. Vassau PO Box 7883 Madison, WI 53707 608-267-3504

| WYOMING PUBLIC HEALTH SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Pres., Gan Health Dept 82001 | / Hickman, Cheyenne/Laramie Co. a. 1710 Snyder Ave., Cheyenne, WY | | | | |
| Pres. Elect Sec'y., Sar Treas., Sar Mall all cor Sandra Kno | , Kathy Johnson Capper idra Knop Green River idra Palmer Cheyenne respondence to: p | | | | |
| PO Box 115 Green River 307-875-58 | ерг. от неалл ;3 r, WY 82935 46 | | | | |

International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians Committees

Committee and Chairperson

SANITARY PROCEDURES

Dick B. Whitehead 304 Forest Point Drive Brandon, MS 39042 601-354-6552

FOOD EQUIPMENT SANITARY STANDARDS

Duaine B. Shaw Food Service Facilities Division of Food Protection Dept. of Environmental Resources P.O. Box 2357 Harrisburg, PA 17120 717-787-9037

BAKING INDUSTRY SANITARY STANDARDS

Martyn Ronge Martin Ronge and Associates 2400 Farnsworth Lane Northbrook, IL 60062 312-272-7626

FOUNDATION

Harry Haverland FDA Training Facility Room 8002 Federal Office Bldg. 550 Main St. Cincinnati, OH 45202 513-684-3771

JOURNAL OF FOOD PROTECTION

(publication committee) Bob Marshall Dept. of Food Science & Nutrition Room 101, T-14 University of Missouri Columbia, MO 65211 314-882-7355

DAIRY AND FOOD SANITATION

(publication committee) Harold Bengsch Springfield Health Dept. 921 W. Turner Springfield, MO 65803 417-864-1000

COMMUNICABLE DISEASE

Frank Bryan, Ph.D. 2022 Lavista Circle Tucker, GA 30084 404-938-8094

BUDGET

Leon Townsend Milk Control Branch Bureau for Health Services 275 E. Main St. Frankfort, KY 40601 502-564-3340

PROFESSIONAL AND EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

David K. Bandler 11 Stocking Hall Food Science Dept. Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853 607-256-3027

APPLIED LABORATORY METHODS

Joe Byrnes Kraft Inc. Kraft Court Glenview, IL 60025 312-998-2059

FARM METHODS

Maynard David Diversey-Wyandotte 1532 Biddle Ave. Wyandotte, MI 48192 312-281-0930

SUSTAINING MEMBERSHIP

Ruth Fuqua Dairymen, Inc. 10140 Linn Station Rd. Louisville, KY 40223 505-245-0401

NOMINATING

William Arledge 10140 Linn Station Road Louisville, KY 40223 502-245-0401

MEMBERSHIP

Ruth Fuqua Dairymen, Inc. 10140 Linn Station Rd. Louisville, KY 40223 505-245-0401

DAIRY & FOOD SANITATION VOLUME 5

AUTHORS INDEX

A

- ADAMS, J.B., raising fluid milk composition standards, 401.
- ALVAREZ, R.J., book reviews, 190, 320.
- ALTHAUS, J.J., screening load of milk for quality, 403.
- ANDERSON, M.E., (see Bell, 397).
- ANDREWS, W.H., (see Poelma, 400).
- ATHERTON, H.V., ultraviolet disinfection of water supplies, 209; the 3-A story, 402.

B

- BAKER, D., (see Atherton, 209).
- BALLIET, F.R., screening loads of milk for quality, 403.
- BARNARD, S.E., dairy farm inspections, 397; rancid flavors of milk, 402.
- BASTIAN, R., applying sewage sludge to cropland, 404.
- BELL, I., AFDO/FDA model food salvage code, 404.

BELL, M., sensory tests of beef, 397.

- BENEDETTI, P., (see Atherton, 209).
- BIGALKE, D., dairy quality, 23, 59, 107, 142, 188, 218, 269, 315, 345, 388, 437, 485.
- BLANKENSHIP, L.C., (see Craven, 398).
- BRAKE, R.J., controlling trichinosis by irradiation, 397.
- BRILEY, R.T., using risk assessment to determine inspection frequencies, 468.
- BROWN, J.L., a national food protection examination, 405.
- BROWN, W.A., screening loads of milk for quality, 403.
- BULLERMAN, L.B., (see Hastings, 399; Tsai, 401).

C.

CARROWAY, E., (see Atherton, 209).

CHARM, S.E., test for identification of antibiotics in milk, 398; test for the detection of residues in milk, 398.

- CHILDERS, A.B., control of drugs in food animals, 44.
- CLEVELAND, M., (see Charm, 398, 398).
- COLEMAN, W.W., maintaining an effective farm inspection program, 124; raising fluid milk composition standards, 401; computerized regulatory records, 404; (see Ginn, 84).
- CONNER, D.J., environmental health data processing, 404.
- COOK, F.K., ground beef storage conditions, 398.
- COOK, O.D., self-inspection of foodservice in the U.S. Park System. 87; cooling rate survey in chilling cooked foods, 204.
- CRAVEN, S.E., hydrophobic properties of C. perfringens, 398.
- CRAWFORD, L.M., bathtub mastitis remedy problems, 335.
- CRAWFORD, R.G., (see Lovett, 399).

CRIST, W.L., lowering somatic cell counts to below one million, 404.

D

DEMOTT, B.J., ratios of calcium and sodium to other nutrients in dairy products, 398.

Е

F

EARLEY, R.R., (see Hansen, 128).

ENGEL, R., public health aspects of low dose irradiation, 405.

FARNSWORTH, R.J., (see Oz, 248). FARRIER, C.E., (see Raccach, 420). FOLWELL, W.H., (see Barnard, 397). FRANCIS, D.W., (see Lovett, 399).

494 DAIRY AND FOOD SANITATION/DECEMBER 1985

G

GABLE, T., bulk merchandising of food, 405.
GIBSON, R.W., (see Guthrie, 420).
GINN, R.E., potential dairy product contamination, 84.
GRAPPIN, R., quality control in dairy labs, 168.
GRAVANI, R.B., facts for the sanitarian, 21, 57, 105, 138, 186, 216, 264, 313, 342, 386, 435, 483; a national food protection examination, 405; (see Hotchkiss, 407).
GREER, G.G., bacteriophage control of beef spoilage, 399.
GUTHRIE, R.K., recovery of Vibrio cholerae 01, 427.
GUZEWICH, J., HACCP for food service, 406.

Η.

HANKIN, L., quality of delicatessen meats, 252.
HANSEN, A.P., alkaline phosphatase in UHT milk, 128.
HARMON, R.J., (see Crist, 404).
HASTINGS, J.W., microbiological quality of foods in retail markets, 399.
HASTINGS, W.B., rancid flavors of milk 403.
HAVERLAND, H., at the podium, 47.
HENDERSON, J., (see Hilbert, 4).
HILBERT, M.S., disposables vs. reusables, 4.
HILLMAN, D.J., (see Oz, 248, 475).
HOTCHKISS, J.H., tamper evident packaging for foods, 407.
HUNT, J.M., (see Lovett, 399).

J

JOHNSON, C.H., (see Stelma, 400).
JOHNSTON, L.G., components of an effective farm inspection program, 164.
JONES, D.H., (see Childers, 44).

К_

KLAUS, E.F., (see Briley, 468).
KLEYN, D.H., U.S. standards for cheeses, 375.
KNOX, P.R., (see Cook, 398).
KOBURGER, J.A., shelf-life of lobster tails, 399.
KOEPPEL, R., screening loads of milk for quality, 403.
KOZAK, J.J., FDA report to the NCIMS, 372.

L.

LAGRANGE, W.S., Iowa's manufacturing-grade milk quality, 332. LEARY, R., (see Charm, 398). LOVETT, J., incidence of *L. monocytogenes* in raw milk, 399.

.....

MAKUKUTU, C.A., (see Guthrie, 420). MARSHALL, R.T., (see Bell, 397). MARTH, E.H., public health concerns of the foodservice industry, 292. MCGARRAHAN, E.T., raising fluid milk composition standards, 402. MILLER, G., (see Atherton, 209). MILLER, M.L., (see Koburger, 399). MORRISON, M.R., (see Raccach, 420). MUGGENBERG, B.A., (see Brake, 397). MURRELL, K.D., (see Brake, 397).

0_

P

OZ, H.H., isolating mastogenic bacteria in bulk tanks, 248; aseptic bulk tank milk sampler, 475.

PACKARD, V.S., nonprotein nitrogen factors in the dairy industry, 407; (see Ginn, 84). PIERSON, M.D., (see Cook, 398). POELMA, P.L., recovery of *Salmonellae* from dairy foods, 400.

R.

RACCACH, M., school food service operations, 420.
RAY, E.E., (see Brake, 397).
READ, R.B., JR., FDA perspective, 408.
REEDER, J., rancid flavors of milk, 403.
REYES, A.L., (see Stelma, 400).
RONALD, G.W., (see Atherton, 209).
RYAN, J.M., (see Atherton, 209).

S

SALTER, R., (see Charm, 398).

SEAMAN, K., (see Atherton, 209).

SELLERS, J.C., a national food protection examination, 406.

SEMUTA, S., (see Zindulis, 401).

SIMPSON, W.J., a national food protection examination, 406.

SIVINSKI, J.S., (see Brake, 397).

SMITH, D.E., book review, 320.

SMITH, K., (see Charm, 398, 398).

SMITH, K.C., computerization of dairy regulatory records, 407.

SMITH, T.W., effects of an automatic backflush system on milk iodine levels, 400.

SOBSEY, M.D., survival of HAV in creme filled cookies, 400; foodborne viruses, 407.

SPENCER, S.B., (see Smith, 400).

STELMA, G.N., JR., transferrin-iron as an indicator of V. vulnificus, 400.

T

TAKEGUCHI, C., the regulatory position on irradiation of foods, 407. TATINI, S., microbiological procedures for dairy products, 408. TEREK, C.J., a national food protection examination, 406. THOMAS, J.D., (see Brake, 397). TINKLEPAUGH, W.C., raising fluid milk composition standards, 402.

TODD, E.C.D., mistakes by the food industry can be expensive, 408. TRAVIS, A., (see Conner, 404).

TSAI, W.Y.J., toxicity of molds from surplus commodity cheeses, 401. TSANG, N., (see Zindulis, 401).

U

USDA, DNA comparison of molds, 298.

V

VEDAMUTHU, E.R., cultured buttermilk problems, 8.

W

WAIT, D.A., (see Sobsey, 400).
WEHR, H.M., microbiological criteria for foods, 408.
WERNER, K., (see Sobsey, 400).
WILSON, C.R., (see Poelma, 400).
WOLFF, E.T., rancid flavors of milk, 402.

Z

ZINDULIS, J., aerobic bacteria counts in ice cream, 401.

SUBJECT INDEX

Cheese

U.S. standards, 375

Dairy Industry aseptic bulk tank milk sampler, 475

bathtub mastitis remedy problems, 335 components of effective farm inspections, 164 cultured buttermilk problems, 8 dairy quality, 23, 59, 107, 142, 188, 218, 269, 315, 345, 388, 437, 485 FDA report to the NCIMS, 372 instrumental methods and quality control in dairy labs, 168 isolating mastogenic bacteria in bulk tanks, 248 maintaining effective farm inspections, 124 manufacturing grade milk in Iowa, 332 national mastitis council column, 52, 108, 189, 219, 270, 316, 346, 389, 438, 486 potential product contamination, 84 UHT milk, 128

Food

cooling rate survey of cooked foods, 204 facts for the sanitarian, 21, 57, 105, 138, 186, 216, 264, 313, 342, 386, 435, 483 hazards to health, 25, 62, 110, 144, 220, 277, 350, 395, 487 quality of delicatessen meats, 252

Foodservice

public health concerns, 292 school health hazard analysis, 420 self-inspection in the U.S. National Parks, 87 using risk assessment to determine inspection frequencies, 468

Food Industry

control of drugs in food animals, 44 recovery of Vibrio cholerae 01, 427

Human Relations

at the podium, 47

IAMFES

affiliate news Florida, 109, 273 Kentucky, 272 Illinois, 109, 272, 490 Iowa, 61, 347 Pennsylvania, 61, 109, 489 Texas, 347 Virginia, 273 Wisconsin, 489 affiliate officers, 64, 191, 318, 491 annual meeting abstracts, 397 annual meeting paper synopsis, 266 annual meeting program, 178 book reviews, 190, 320, committees and chairpeople, 493 JFP abstracts, 35, 74, 113, 155, 193, 240, 284, 321, 361, 409, 460, 497 membership hall of fame, 317 past award winners, 31 presidential address. 439 72nd annual meeting report, 441

Molds

DNA comparison, 298

Sanitation disposables vs. reusables, 4

Water Quality

ultraviolet disinfection, 209

3A

standards, 224, 228, 236 symbol holders list, 66, 353

Get Ready For The Best Meeting of 1986

IAMFES 73rd ANNUAL MEETING



August 3-7, 1986 Radisson South Minneapolis, MN

This exciting Convention will feature:

Informative Sessions. . .food, milk & environmental

Exhibits — 1st time in the history of IAMFES

Social Events

Awards

Business Meetings

Renewing & Beginning Friendships

Partner Program

Networking

Ideas

Come Away With a Wealth of New Information.

For more information contact: K. R. Hathaway IAMFES, Inc. P.O. Box 701 Ames, IA 50010 515-232-6699

Look for Registration Form and Program in the April Issue of this Journal

Abstracts of papers in the December Journal of Food Protection

To receive the Journal of Food Protection in its entirety each month call 515-232-6699, ext. A.

Effect of Ionizing Radiation on Ascospores of Three Strains of *Byssochlamys fulva* in Apple Juice, W. B. Van Der Riet and W. H. Van Der Walt, National Food Research Institute, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, P.O. Box 395, Pretoria, 0001, Republic of South Africa

J. Food Prot. 48:1016-1018

Martin Content of a de

La S. Ho the

Radiation inactivation of the ascospores of three strains (M 68-79), NRRL 1125 and NRRL 2614) of the heat resistant mold Byssochlamys fulva suspended in apple juice, was investigated. Whereas the ascospores of strain M 68-79 were significantly more sensitive to ionizing radiation, those of strains NRRL 1125 and NRRL 2614 did not differ significantly from one another in this respect. High numbers of ascospores of the more resistant strains required an absorbed dose of approximately 7.2 kGy (95% confidence interval 6.7 to 7.9 kGy) for inactivation; a decimal reduction dose (D10) of approximately 1.2 kGy was estimated for these strains. Ascospores of strain NRRL 2614 were confirmed as more radiation resistant when a small proportion survived an absorbed dose of 5 kGy and spoiled apple juice within a 3-month storage period. Although it was possible to inactivate B. fulva ascospores at absorbed doses of <10 kGy, it is probable that flavor impairment of apple juice, as well as cost currently limit the feasibility of this process.

Medium to Culture and Differentiate Coagulase-Positive and -Negative Staphylococci from Bovine Milk, Bruce R. Beatty, Ralph J. Farnsworth, Arnold J. Lund, Richard H. Lyon and Gilbert E. Ward, Mastitis Research Laboratory, Department of Large Animal Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55108

J. Food Prot. 48:1019-1021

A medium which incorporates CAMP factor produced by *Streptococcus agalactiae* (group B) into sheep blood agar was used to culture and identify coagulase-positive staphylococci from bovine milk. Of 506 staphylococcal isolates from bovine milk, 92.5% of coagulase-positive organisms produced a wide zone of complete hemolysis, whereas 98.9% of coagulase-negative organisms did not. The agreement of this one-step culture and identification test with the standard tube coagulase test was higher than that of the deoxyribonuclease test medium, Baird-Parker egg yolk medium, tellurite glycine medium and slide coagulase tests.

Bioluminescent Standard Curves for Quantitive Determination of Yeast Contaminants in Carbonated Beverages, Kenneth J. Littel and Kathleen A. LaRocco, Food Applications, Packard Instrument Company, 2200 Warrenville Road, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515

J. Food Prot. 48:1022-1024

The bioluminescent adenosine triphosphate (ATP) assay is a rapid and sensitive tool for quantitating contaminant yeast levels in beverage samples. A simple model system is described for generating standard curves relating yeast ATP to conventional colony forming units (CFUs). Bioluminescent standard curves were generated by spiking commercial cola or diet lemon-lime samples with Saccharomyces rouxii ATCC 36141. Yeast cells were concentrated onto filters under vacuum and ATP was subsequently extracted from the cells for analysis. Correlation coefficients for each S. rouxii standard curve indicated strong linear relationships between ATP and CFU levels (r> 0.90). A composite standard curve (r = 0.97) of data collected from all the S. rouxii-spiked studies predicted yeast levels from spiked cola samples in later experiments. When predicted yeast CFU values were plotted against conventional yeast CFU values for three different yeast types, a correlation coefficient of r = 0.82 was obtained.

Roles of Phosphate in Influencing Resistance to and Bacterial Uptake of Tetracycline and Streptomycin in Lactic Streptococci, R. P. Sinha, Food Research Institute, Research Branch, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0C6, Canada

J. Food Prot. 48:1025-1027

The effect of buffering growth media with an equimolar concentration of organic phosphate (B-glycerophosphate) or inorganic phosphate such as K2HPO4 or Na2HPO4 on resistance levels of lactic streptococci to tetracycline and streptomycin was tested. Addition of 88 mM K2HPO4 in the medium was most effective in increasing streptomycin resistance as compared to the same molarity of glycerophosphate or Na₂HPO₄. With tetracycline, the strain showed maximum sensitivity in a medium buffered with K₂HPO₄ as compared to a medium with Na₂HPO₄ or glycerophosphate. The uptake of ³H-tetracycline by cells increased steadily with time of incubation in the medium with K₂HPO₄, while no steady increase was found in the medium without phosphate. The increased uptake of ³H-tetracycline in the medium where cells showed maximum sensitivity suggests that in lactic streptococci, resistance to the drug develops because of a reduction in the cellular uptake which can be reversed by phosphate. The reverse may be true for streptomycin.

Isolation and Plasmid Characterization of a Lactobacillus Species Involved in the Manufacture of Fermented Sausage, Dennis A. Romero and Larry L. McKay, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, 1334 Eckles Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

J. Food Prot. 48:1028-1035

Isolation and characterization of a Lactobacillus species capable of proper acid production in a sausage environment is described. The isolate from sausage, categorized as a lactobacillus in the subgenus Streptobacterium, was designated Lactobacillus sp. DR1. Growth occurred at 5 and 42°C but not at 45°C. Frucgalactose, glucose, mannose, melibiose, Ntose. acetylglucosamine, ribose, sucrose and trehalose were fermented. Gas production from glucose was not observed. In MRS glucose broth, D(-) and L(+) lactic acid were produced. Lactobacillus sp. DR1 contained a single cryptic plasmid of approximately 30 megadaltons (Mdal). In sausage fermentation trials, both Lactobacillus sp. DR1 and plasmid-free derivative DRIC lowered the pH to below 5.3 after 8 h in the smokehouse. Conjugation was demonstrated through the transfer of plasmid pAMB1, which encodes erythromycin resistance, from Streptococcus lactis 2301B to Lactobacillus sp. DR1. Mutanolysin-generated protoplasts could be regenerated using 0.5 M ammonium chloride, lactose, maltose or sucrose as osmotic stabilizers. Regeneration frequencies ranged from less than 1.0% up to 35%; however, transformation of Lactobacillus sp. DRI protoplasts by plasmid DNA in the presence of polyethylene glycol (PEG) was unsuccessful.

Effects of Exercise, Electrical Stimulation and Vacuum Packaging on Bacterial Counts and Tenderness of Fresh Beef Primal Cuts, G. L. Nortjé, H. D. Naumann, A. Laubscher, I. Grobler, L. Naudé, W. Oosthuizen, E. Jordaan and R. T. Naudé, Animal and Dairy Science Research Institute, Private Bag X2, Irene 1675, Republic of South Africa

J. Food Prot. 48:1036-1039

Two wholesale cuts, the silverside (*M biceps femoris*) and bolo, (outside round and clod) from 8 steers were used in this study. Four steers were artificially strusted and the right side of all carcasses was electrically stimulated. Primals were cut into 3 equal portions after 72 h post slaughter, chilling at approximately 4° C, and were vacuum packaged. No microbial differences (P>0.05) were found between primals within treatments. Primals from stressed carcasses had higher pH values (P<0.01) and psychrotrophic, lactobacillus, anaerobic and aerobic counts than from nonstressed carcasses. Lactobacilli did not dominate the microbial population. Electrical stimulation (ES) and the cuts used had an influence on shear force values (P<0.05). ES cuts were significantly more tender than controls. Results suggest that animals should be well rested before slaughter.

Influence of Temperature and Water Activity on Aflatoxin Production by Aspergillus flavus in Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) Seeds and Meal, P. E. Koehler, L. R. Beuchat and M. S. Chhinnan, Department of Food Science, University of Georgia, Agriculture Experiment Station, Athens 30602 and Experiment, Georgia 30212

J. Food Prot. 48:1040-1043

Experiments were done to determine the influence of temperature (21, 30 and 37°C) and a_w (0.76 to 0.98) on aflatoxin. production by Aspergillus flavus on cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) seeds, meal and meal supplemented with onion. Larger quantities of aflatoxin were produced at 21 and 30°C than at 37°C. The highest amount of aflatoxin (2777 µg/20 g, dry weight basis) was observed in meal containing onion at a_w 0.98 after 20 d of incubation at 21°C. A level of 870 µg/20 g was detected in seeds at a_w 0.95 after 14 d of incubation at 30°C. Meal at a_w 0.96 supported production of 551 µg of aflatoxin per 20 g after 20 d at 30° C. Temperature had little influence on the optimal a_w for aflatoxin production in cowpea meal. However, an increase in temperature resulted in a decreased optimal aw for aflatoxin production on whole cowpeas. When known quantities of aflatoxin were added to cowpea meal which was subsequently steamed for 5 min, only 29% was extractable using a variety of procedures, indicating that the toxin may be bound in some manner to cowpea constituents as a result of heat treatment.

Comparison of a Dry Medium Culture Plate (Petrifilm SM Plates) Method to the Aerobic Plate Count Method for Enumeration of Mesophilic Aerobic Colony-Forming Units in Fresh Ground Beef, Lorraine B. Smith, Terrance L. Fox, and F. F. Busta, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, 1334 Eckles Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

J. Food Prot. 48:1044-1045

Mesophilic aerobic microbial populations in fresh ground beef were enumerated with a new system, PetrifilmTM SM Plates (PSM), and with the conventional aerobic plate count (APC) method using standard methods agar (SMA). Total colonyforming units were determined in 119 fresh ground beef samples (29 extra-lean, 30 lean and 60 regular) purchased at nine different retail markets over a period of 6 wk. Linear regression analysis of PSM vs. APC counts gave a slope of 0.963, an intercept of -0.027, and a correlation coefficient of 0.951. Mean \log_{10} counts on PSM were 5.86 compared to 6.11 on SMA (P<0.01) or a mean \log_{10} difference of -0.25. These analyses indicate that the Petrifilm SM method would be a possible alternative for the aerobic plate count method.

Salmonella Growth in Cooked Beef at Selected Cooling Rates, Norman J. Stern and Carl S. Custer, Meat Science Research Laboratory, Beltsville Agricultural Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, Maryland 20705

J. Food Prot. 48:1046-1049

Results of this study support the present USDA Food Safety Inspection Service (FSIS) cooling requirement for cooked meat products and remind the consumer to refrigerate such products. USDA FSIS requires food processors to cool certain cooked meat products between 4 and 49°C within 2 h. Our study evaluated the adequacy of that requirement by determining how cooling rates affected growth of salmonellae in cooked meats. Two strains of Salmonella sp. showing resistance to multiple antibiotics were compared with a susceptible strain, and were shown to be similar in growth capabilities. These antibiotic resistant strains were inoculated in ground beef or beef cubes. In experiments simulating precooking contamination, heavily inoculated (109 CFU/g) ground beef meatballs were cooked to 63°C (145°F) and cooled to either 23 or 4°C (40°F) within 2 to 6 h. Increases in the numbers of the surviving pathogen were small (ca. 0.1 log₁₀/g) when the product was cooled to 4°C within 2 h. Surviving salmonellae increased greater than tenfold when the meats were cooled over intervals of 6 h. A 4-h cooling interval permitted an intermediate growth rate. Salr tonella held in ground beef at 23°C for 6 h showed less than 1-log10 increase per gram. Experiments with Salmonella inoculated onto the surface of beef cubes after cooking also indicated that the 2-h cooling interval prevented substantive proliferation.

Growth Inhibition of Mycoplasma gallisepticum following Membrane Insertion of Cholesterol Autoxidation Products, Anne M. Herian, Noreen M. Kuehl and Ken Lee, Department of Food Science, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

J. Food Prot. 48:1050-1053

A model for studying the effect of cholesterol autoxidation products on membrane function was developed. Eight cholesterol autoxidation products were tested for their effect on growth of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. None gave better growth than cholesterol. When substituted for cholesterol in the growth medium, 6-ketocholesterol and cholesterol triol caused significant (78%) growth inhibition after 42 h. Recovery of radiolabelled ³H-cholesterol triol ([1,2,6,7-³H(N)]) indicated that 26% was incorporated into membranes, even though minimal or no growth had taken place. Inhibitory effects may have been due to assimilation of cholesterol autoxidation products into the cell membrane.

Estimation of Potential Shelf Life of Cottage Cheese Utilizing Bacterial Numbers and Metabolites, J. R. Bishop and C. H. White, Department of Dairy Science, Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station, LSU Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802-4404

J. Food Prot. 48:1054-1057

A study was conducted to investigate the use of bacterial numbers and their metabolites as estimators of the potential shelf life of cottage cheese. Dry cottage cheese curd and cream dressing were obtained on the day of processing. Portions of the cream dressing were inoculated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* P27 to result in approximate levels of 0, 1,000 and 100,000 bacteria per g in finished cottage cheese after combining the curd and cream. Samples, stored at 7°C, were sensorially evaluated on a daily basis and analyzed every 7 d for up to 35 d. On days of analysis each sample was subjected to preliminary incubation (PI) as follows: none, 21°C for 7 h, 21°C for 14 h, 13°C for 18 h and 18°C for 18 h. For each PI, samples were enumerated by aerobic plate count, modified psychrotrophic bacteria count and gram-negative (CVT) count. Samples were enumerated for the standard psychrotrophic bacteria count without PI. Samples were also exposed to 18°C for 18 h PI in plate count broth for impedance detection measurements. Endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide) concentration and proteolysis were determined by the Limulus amebocyte lysate assay and the o-phthaldialdehyde method, respectively. Bacterial enumerations proved to be of little estimative value as the highest correlation coefficient obtained was -0.61. Endotoxin, proteolysis and impedance detection methods resulted in high correlation coefficients as related to potential shelf life of cottage cheese, with values of -0.81, -0.87 and -0.90, respectively. A prediction equation was formulated from the data.

Residue Levels of Daminozide in Apple Trees Sprayed the Preceding Spring and Summer, W. A. Dozier, Jr., K. S. Rymal, J. W. Knowles, J. A. Pitts and R. B. Reed, Department of Horticulture Chilton Area Horticulture Substation and Research Data Analysis, Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn University, Alabama 36849

J. Food Prot. 48:1058-1061

Daminozide residue levels in fruit and vegetative parts of apple trees were determined the year following foliar spray treatments with daminozide at recommended rates and times and at excessive rates and times closer to harvest than recommended. Trees were sampled in December, March and August following spraying. Daminozide residues were found in all vegetative plant parts, with the highest residue levels found in the buds, bark and xylem of spurs, and in terminal and lateral buds; the lowest residue levels were found in the bark and xylem of stems. Residue levels were affected by both rate and time of application. Residue levels increased as application rates increased, but the response to rate was less when treatments were applied 21 d after bloom (125 d before harvest) than when treatments were applied closer to harvest. The highest residue levels were from treatments applied the day of harvest. Higher residue levels were found in March samples than December samples. Residues had been dissipated to low levels by the August sampling date. No daminozide residues were found in apple fruit from trees treated the previous year with recommended levels of daminozide applied at the recommended time. However, low residue levels were found in fruit treated with 2× and 4× rates of daminozide at times closer to harvest than recommended.

Salmonella typhimurium Phage-Type 10 from Cheddar Cheese Implicated in a Major Canadian Foodborne Outbreak, J.-Y. D'Aoust, D. W. Warburton and A. M. Sewell, Bureau of Microbial Hazards, Health Protection Branch, Health and Welfare Canada, Sir Frederick G. Banting Research Center, Tunney's Pasture, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

J. Food Prot. 48:1062-1066

Levels of Salmonella typhimurium phage type 10 in Cheddar cheese implicated in a major Canadian foodborne outbreak ranged from 0.36-9.3 salmonellae/100 g. Such a low level contamination likely accounted for the uneven distribution of the organism among subsamples of individual lots. Coliform and Escherichia coli counts were within acceptable limits, whereas three of the 11 lots tested contained $\geq 10^5$ Staphylococcus aureus per gram but no staphylococcal enterotoxins. Campylobacter and Yersinia spp were not detected in any of the 12 lots examined. Ability of S. typhimurium to survive up to 8 months in Cheddar cheese stored at refrigerator temperature (5°C) underlines the inadequacy of current regulations requiring a 60-d storage of cheese manufactured from heat-treated (unpasteurized) milk before sale. Results underlined the greater sensitivity of selective enrichment in tetrathionate brilliant green (43°C) than in selenite cystine (35°C) for detection of Salmonella in cheese.

Relationship Between Extracellular Neutral Protease Production and Appearance of Bleb-Like Evanginations in Pseudomonas fragi, S. S. Thompson, Y. M. Naidu and J. J. Pestka, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1224 J. Food Prot. 48:1067-1070

Pseudomonas fragi is one of several pseudomonads known to produce proteolytic enzymes. During growth of *P. fragi* in brain heart infusion broth (BHI) at 10°C, the bacterial population increased from 10^7 to over 10^{10} CFU/ml after 130 h, with a concurrent increase in pH from 7.4 to 8.5. Maximal extracellular protease activity occurred after 60 to 72 h. Ultrastructural examination of cells grown in BHI showed the presence of bleb-like evaginations of the cell wall. Similar structures were not detected when *P. fragi* was grown in Koser citrate broth, a medium which was unsuitable for supporting protease production by *P. fragi*.

Foodborne and Waterborne Disease in Canada - 1979 Annual Summary, E. C. D. Todd, Bureau of Microbial Hazards, Food Directorate, Health Protection Branch, Health and Welfare Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0L2, Canada J. Food Prot. 48:1071-1078

Data on foodborne disease in Canada in 1979 are compared with data for 1978. A total of 825 incidents, comprising 650 outbreaks and 175 single cases, causing illness in 5503 persons was reported for 1979. The number of incidents and cases decreased by 1.3% and 7.7%, respectively, from 1978 to 1979. Like the previous year, *Salmonella* spp. were responsible for more incidents (62) and cases (1754) than any other agent. Other incidents were caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* (29),

suspect mold and yeast (18), Bacillus cereus (16), Clostridium perfringens (11), Bacillus subtilis (1) and Hafnia alvei (1). No Clostridium botulinum cases were reported. Five incidents of trichinosis, three of paralytic shellfish poisoning, two involving insect infestation and one each of scombroid, lupin alkaloid and broom tea poisoning and an allergic reaction from beef adulterated with pork were reported. Chemicals implicated in causing illness included tin, rancid compounds, monosodium glutamate and extraneous matter. The deaths of three persons were attributed to salmonellosis and probable mushroom poisoning. About 33% of incidents and 38% of cases were associated with meat and poultry. Vegetables, fruits, bakery products and marine products were also important vehicles in causing foodborne disease. Mishandling of food took place mainly in foodservice establishments (38.9% of incidents, 59.3% of cases) and homes (13.3% of incidents, 7.0% of cases). However, mishandling by manufacturers caused some problems including salmonellosis from a cake and staphylococcal intoxication from canned fish and sausages. Over 53% of reported foodborne disease incidents occurred in Ontario and more than 18% in British Columbia, but the number of incidents per 100,000 population was highest in the Northwest Territories. Narrative reports of selected foodborne incidents are presented. Four waterborne disease outbreaks were reported in 1979 with a total of 73 cases. Lack of adequate water treatment led to the illnesses in at least three of the outbreaks.



A Happy Halloween was had by all at the Ames office. Tradition calls for everyone to dress up on that day. Winners were the witch (Suzanne) and the bag lady (Julie).

Front row, left to right, Mary Myers, clown (3-A Standards, DAIRY AND FOOD SANITATION); Kathy Hathaway, Minnie Mouse (Executive Mgr);

Back row, left to right, Suzanne Trcka, witch (Assoc. Editor, DAIRY AND FOOD SANITATION); Kate Wachtel, just weird (Advertising Manager); John Keninger, woman (Advertising Sales); Julie Heim, bag lady (part time office help); Jacki Parrish; invisible ghost (Membership Services as well as answering the phone). Hope you all had a good Halloween!

READER SERVICE INFORMATION



International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians, Inc.

To receive information on membership in the International Association (IAMFES) Circle 360 on this page.

Use this Reader Service Page to receive information on products and services in this issue. . .

| Please complete all information. Circle numbers and mail today Limit 10 inquiries Name Company Name | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
|--|--|
| AddressState/Province | Reader requests for information are sent to the ap- propriate company. Follow-up on reader requests are the responsibility of the company advertising. |
| ZipCountry | |
| Occupation | _ |

Tear out, fold, staple and mail - self addressed on opposite side

Place Stamp Here 1

IAMFES, Inc. Reader Service Information P.O. Box 701 Ames, IA 50010 Patty W. Krmpetich Richfield, MN

Elliot T. Ryser Dept. of Food Science Madison, WI

John P. Upton, Jr. Durham County Health Dept. Durham, NC

URS Schneeberger VNE Corporation Janesville, WI

Charles W. Henry Howard Johnsons Bedford, PA Young-jae Kang 207 Dairy Science Bldg. Athens, GA

Suzanne L. Maloney Freedom, WI

Ron Beutler Holsum Foods Waukesha, Wi

Scot Prale St. Paul, MN

T. Wyatt Smith University of Calif. Davis, CA Nathan Timm WI Dept. of Pub. Inst. Mazomanie, WI

Sang Jin Park Dept. D & R Vilac Milk Co. Ltd. Korea

Josette M. Lauze Cheyenne, WY

Steven M. Tittl Beatrice Cheese, Inc. Marshfield, WI

Robert J. Jeffers, Jr. Beloit, WI

Deborah Lewis Orono, ME



LMI chemical metering pumps are the result of three decades of pump design expertise, and offer features that will keep your water sparkling:

- Accurate and efficient feeding of water treatment chemicals
- Outputs of .0058 to 70 GPH (.022 to 265 LPH)
- Pressures to 300 PSI (20.7 Bar)
- Dual adjustability for 200:1 output range
- Corrosion proof housings and inherent pressure relief
- Easy adaptability to automatic control

Call us about your requirements or write today.



19 Craig Road Acton, MA 01720-5495 U.S.A. Telephone: (617) 263-9800 Telex: 95-1781

When you treat your water with LMI...the results are crystal clear.

1986

January 14-16, 11TH ANNUAL MEETING TROPICAL AND SUBTROPICAL FISH-ERIES TECHNOLOGISTS, to be held at Holiday Inn, International Airport, Tampa, FL. For more information contact: John Koburger, 449 Food Science Building, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611: 904-392-1991.

January 20-24, FOOD MICROBIOLOGY WITH AN INTRODUCTION TO HAZARD ANALYSIS, to be held at the UCLA Extension Building, Los Angeles, CA. For more information contact: UCLA Extension, 10995 Le Conte Avenue, Los Angeles, CA. 213-825-1295.

January 27-29, BAKING PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY SEMINAR, to be held at the Sheraton Anaheim, Anaheim, CA. For more information contact: Mrs. Donna Mosburg, Registrar, American Institute of Baking, 1213 Bakers Way, Manhattan, KS 66502.

February 5-6, FOOD PROCESSORS' SANITATION WORKSHOP, Presented by the University of California Cooperative Extension, Food Processors' Sanitation Association, and Golden Gate Chapter of the Environmental Management Association, along with representatives of various food trade associations. For more information contact: Kathryn Boor, Food Science and Technology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616. 916-752-1478.

February 10-12, 25TH ANNUAL MEET-ING OF THE NATIONAL MASTITIS COUNCIL, to be held at the Hyatt Regency Columbus, Columbus, OH. For more information contact: John Adams, National Mastitis Council, 1840 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22201. 703-243-8268.

February 12-13, DAIRY AND FOOD IN-DUSTRY CONFERENCE, to be held at Ohio State University. For more information contact: John Lindamood, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, 2121 Fyffe Road, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210.

February 24-26, 12TH ANNUAL TECHNI-CAL SEMINAR, to be held at the Holiday-Inn University Center, Gainesville, FL. For more information contact: ABC Research Corporation, 3437 SW 24th Avenue, Gainesville, FL.

February 27, MEAT PROCESSING CON-FERENCE, to be held at the Hyatt Regency, Oakland, California. For more information contact: A. W. Brant or Shirley Rexroat, Department of Food Science & Technology, University of California, Davis, CA. 95616. 916-752-2191. March 16-19, AMERICAN CULTURED DAIRY PRODUCTS INSTITUTE ANNUAL MEETING AND CONFERENCE, to be held at Hilton Palacio Del Rio, San Antonio, TX. For more information contact: Dr. C. Bronson Lane, ACDPI, P. O. Box 7813, Orlando, Florida 32854. 202-223-1931.

March 19, INDIANA DAIRY INDUSTRY CONFERENCE, to be held at Stewart Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN. For more information contact: James V. Chambers, Food Science Department, Smith Hall, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN. 47907. 317-494-8279.

March 24-28, MID-WEST WORKSHOP IN MILK AND FOOD SANITATION, to be held at Ohio State University. For more information contact: John Lindamood, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, 2121 Fyffe Road, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH. 43210.

March 25 & 26, WESTERN FOOD IN-DUSTRY CONFERENCE, to be held at University of California, Davis, CA. 95616. For more information contact: J. C. Bruhn or Shirley Rexroat, Department of Food Science & Technology, University of California, Davis, CA. 95616. 916-752-2191.

April 14-18, FRUIT AND FRUIT TECH-NOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE INTER-NATIONAL CONFERENCE to be held at the CSIR Conference Centre, South Africa. For more information contact: Symposium Secretariat S.341, CSIR, P.O. Box 395, Pretoria 0001, South Africa. Telephone: 012 869211 x 2063. Telex: 3-630 SA.

April 23, SANITATION WORKSHOP FOR THE FOOD PROCESSING AND FOOD SERVICE INDUSTRIES, to be held at Inn at the Park, Anaheim, CA. For more information contact: Kathryn Boor, Food Science and Technology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616. 916-752-1478.

April 29-May 1, WORKSHOP ON TRACE ANALYSIS OF FOODS. For more information contact: G. Reineccius, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, 1334 Eckles Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55108. 612-373-1438.

May 5-7, 6TH INTERNATIONAL FOOD & WINE SHOW, to be held at the Civic Auditorium and Brooks Hall, San Francisco, CA. For more information contact: Sandra Call, National Fairs Inc., 1902 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94109. 415-474-2300.

May 12-15, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING WORKSHOP, to be held at Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN. For more information contact: James V. Chambers, Food Science Department, Smith Hall, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907. 317-494-8279.

May 12-14, PENNSYLVANIA DAIRY SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION MEETING, to be held at Pennsylvania State University. For more information contact: Sidney Barnard, Pennsylvania State University, 8 Borland Lab, University Park, PA 16802. 814-863-3915.

May 26-31, 2ND WORLD CONGRESS FOODBORNE INFECTIONS AND INTOXI-CATIONS will take place in Berlin (West) at the International Congress Centre (ICC). For more information contact: FAO/WHO Collaborating Centre for Research and Training in Food Hygiene and Zoonoses, Institute of Veterinary Medicine (Robert von Ostertag-Institute), Thielallee 88-92, D-1000 Berlin 33.

June 29-July 2, 29TH CONFERENCE OF THE CANADIAN INSTITUTE OF FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, to be held in Calgary, Alberta, Canada. For more information contact: Terry Smyrl, Ph.D., Alberta Horticultural Research Center, Brooks, Alberta, Canada, TOJ 0J0. 403-362-3391.

July 12-19, SIXTH INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON RAPID METHODS AND AUTOMATION IN MICROBIOLOGY, to be held at Kansas State University. For more information concerning Program contents contact: Daniel Y.C. Fung, Call Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS. 66506. 913-532-5654. For registration information contact: Joe Pittle, Conference Center, Wareham building, Anderson Avenue, Manhattan, KS 66502. 913-532-5575.

July 15-19, PURDUE CANNERS TECHNI-CIANS MOLD COUNT SCHOOL. For more information contact: Dr. James V. Chambers, Food Science Department, Smith Hall, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907. 317-494-8279.

AUGUST 3-7, IAMFES ANNUAL MEETING to be held at the Radisson South, Minneapolis, MN. For more information contact: Kathy R. Hathaway, IAMFES, Inc., P.O. Box 701, Ames, IA 50010. 515-232-6699.

AUGUST 2-6, IAMFES ANNUAL MEETING to be held at the Disneyland Hotel, Anaheim, CA. For more information contact: Kathy R. Hathaway, IAMFES, Inc., P.O. Box 701, Ames, IA 50010. 515-232-6699

¹⁹⁸⁷

WASTEWATER FACTS. FREE FROM NSF.

Even if you're only remotely concerned about treating wastewater, or water conser-

vation, here's a pair of vital publications you should have.

Both of the above are from the National Sanitation Foundation (NSF), devel-



• Wastewater Technology
 • NSF Listing Facts

oped with the • NSF Listing Facts participation from regulatory, manufacturing, and user groups — a good way to eliminate biased thinking or inconclusive results. And both are offered without charge or obligation to encourage usage and promote better public health.

NSF *Standard* 41 covers devices intended for "processing blackwater and greywater wastes from plumbing fixtures and appliances in places of human occupancy." This *Standard* also establishes evaluation guidelines for determining the effectiveness of devices designed to recycle treated wastewater and conserve water.

So, whether you are a manufacturer of such devices, an end-user, or in the regulatory community, *Standard 41* provides criteria and a protocol for measuring of equipment performance.

NSF's *Criteria C-9* is a basic guide which addresses evaluation requirements and analytical parameters for special processes,



components, or devices that are outside the scope

of other NSF standards or criteria. These devices are used in handling, treatment, or disposal of wastewater. The purpose of C-9 is to evaluate the performance of devices such as grinder pumps, package wastewater treatment plants, septic solids retainers, etc.

If you wish any of the publications illustrated, or more information about our testing and Listing programs, please write to National Sanitation Foundation, P.O. Box 1468, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 USA. Telephone: (313) 769-8010; Telex: 753215 NATSANFND UD.



Please circle No. 172 on your Reader Service Page

The Antibiotics Issue Is Crystal Clear

There's only one FDAapproved antibiotic test. The 2½ hour B. stearothermophilus disc assay

And there's only *one* fast, reliable way to predict it. The 6-minute SPOT TEST.

The SPOT TEST screens, an average of 50,000 milk tankers each month – – with disc correlation unmatched by any That's why dairymen across the country count on it for low-cost, trouble-free screening. And now, with a reformulated reagent system, SPOTTEST results are clearer than ever

Your choice is just as clear When you need to spot antibiotics fast, run The SPOT TEST. And get results in 6 minutes.

ANGENICS

100 Inman Street Cambridge, MA 02139 617 876 · 6468

SPOT TEST

