

Journal of

MILK and FOOD TECHNOLOGY

Official Publication

International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians, Inc.

Streamlined Milk Processing from "Cow to Consumer"

(Top): Tri-Clover fittings and tubing in milking parlor. Large view shows Tri-Clamp fittings, valves and Tri-Clover pump used in pasteurizing system.

81 1 2411 1

TRI-CLAMP Snap-Action Spring Clamp, with toggle locking devices securely attached. (Patented)

> TRI-CLAMP Tee available in 1", 1½", 2", 2½", 3" and 4" sizes.

TRI-CLAMP 90 degree Sweep Ell available in all sizes.

TRI-CLAMP

sizes.

SKY-GO Farms makes wide of TRI-CLAMP® Fittings use

After 10 years of planning, Sky-Go Farms of Fulton, Mo., has achieved the ultimate in streamlined milk processing . . . all the way from "cow to consumer" . . . and requiring only nine men for handling the production, processing, packaging and distribution of Premium Quality Grade "A" Milk through stores and vending machines.

Tri-Clover Division's snap-action Tri-Clamp Stainless Steel Fittings and Valves are widely used in the Sky-Go operation, helping to achieve high standards of efficiency by providing easily installed and easily maintained sanitary, corrosionresistant milk lines . . . all the way from milking parlor to final filling operations.

Here, then, is another good example of the way in which modern dairies depend on Tri-Clover quality fittings, valves, pumps and tubing. Let us help you with your piping and pumping problems. Write for descriptive literature.



See your nearest **Tri-Clover** Distributor Export Dept. 8 So. Michigan Chicago 3, U.S.A.

See Booth W-17 at the 1956 DAIRY INDUSTRIES EXPOSITION

\$756



How the **RAPID-FLO**° check-up for mastitis and sediment helps improve milk quality

It takes quality milk to produce quality dairy products—and quality begins on the farm.

Most producers take pride in their job and do the job well with a reminder now and then of those factors so important in quality milk production. They're eager to avoid possible rejection—to earn top quality prices. The Rapid-Flo Check-Up for mastitis and sediment is an important aid in a quality farm milk program. Here's how it works:



1. After filtering each can of milk (10 gallons or less), the producer carefully removes the used filter disk from the strainer and places it on a cardboard to dry.

2. Examination of used filters will indicate constructive measures necessary to produce clean milk.

Evidence of mastitis and extraneous matter that may get into milk in spite of precautions can be shown up on a Fibre-Bonded Rapid-Flo Filter, thus alerting the producer on how to improve his milking practices.

Only Rapid-Flo Fibre-Bonded Filter Disks can assure a reliable Rapid-Flo Check-Up. Safety and reliability are built-in by Johnson & Johnson. Ask your J & J Dairy Filters Field Representative for details.

FILTER PRODUCTS DIVISION ohnson alloh 4949 West 65th Street Chicago 38, Illinois

I

Copyright 1956, Johnson & Johnson, Chicago



Why not pick a Shortime Pasteurizer like you do your car?

"How many miles to a gallon?" is a fair question when you buy your car.

"What'll it cost to operate?" is just as important to know when you're considering a "Superplate" Shortime Pasteurizer.

What about "Superplate" operating costs? They're low far lower than you'd think. One reason, of course, is that "Superplate" regenerative cooling completely avoids use of costly city water. As a result, annual savings here often run as high as 10% of "Superplate's" purchase price!



ASK THIS MAN

— your Cherry-Burrell Representative — to show you how "Superplate" Shortime Pasteurizers can lower your operating costs. Or write for free bulletin. You can bank on other savings, too. "Superplate" regenerative heating slashes steam consumption from 75% to 80%. Cleaning by pump circulation saves cleanup time and labor.

"Superplate" Saves Plate Costs. Large plate port openings ($2\frac{1}{2}$ times larger than any other type plate) assure amazingly low pressure drop, increase product flow per plate. Consequently, you get maximum performance with a minimum of surface.



427 W. Randolph Street, Chicago 6, III.

Dairy • Food • Farm • Beverage • Brewing • Chemical • Equipment and Supplies SALES AND SERVICE IN 58 CITIES—U.S. AND CANADA

OFFICERS

President, HAROLD S. ADAMS Indianapolis, Indiana President-Elect, PAUL CORASH New York City, New York First Vice-President, HAROLD B. ROB-INSON, Washington, D. C. Secretary-Treasurer, H. H. WILKOWSKE Gainesville, Florida

Executive Board

HAROLD S. ADAMS PAUL CORASH HAROLD B. ROBINSON I. E. PARKIN JOHN D. FAULKNER H. H. WILKOWSKE

Publication Board

Dr. J. G. Olson, Jr. H. L. Thomasson H. H. WILKOWSKE

Editors

DR. J. C. OLSON, JR., Associate Editor, Dept. Dairy Husbandry, University of Minn., St. Paul 1, Minn.

H. L. THOMASSON, Executive Secretary and Managing Editor, Box 437,Shelbyville, Indiana.

Associate Editors

С.	A.	ABELE	Chicago, Ill.
М.	Ρ.	BAKER	Ames, Iowa
F.	W.	BARBER	Oakdale, N. Y.
F.	C.	BASELT	New York, N. Y
L.	A.	BLACK	Cincinatti, Ohio
F.	Α.	CLARK	Auburn, Ala.
F.	W.	FABIAN	East Lansing, Mich.
C.	R.	FELLERS	Amherst, Mass.
L.	G.	HARMON	East Lansing, Mich.
R.	W.	HART	Kansas City, Mo.
M.	D.	HOWLETT	Los Angeles, Calif.
			Topeka, Kansas
С.	Κ.	JOHNS	Ottawa, Canada
			East Lansing, Mich
			Columbia, So. Carolina
W	. S.	MUELLER	Amherst, Mass.
			Chicago, Ill.
Κ.	G.	WECKEL	Madison, Wis.
G.	H.	WILSTER	Corvallis, Ore.

The Journal of Milk and Food Technology (including Milk and Food Sanitation) is issued monthly beginning with January number. Each volume comprises 12 num-bers. Published by the International Associa-tion of Milk and Food Sanitarians, Inc., with executive offices of the Association, Blue Ridge Rd., P. O. Box 437, Shelbyville, Ind Ind

Entered as second class matter at the Post Office at Shelbyville, Ind., Mach 1952, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

EDITORIAL OFFICES: J. C. Olson, Jr., Associate Editor, Dept. Dairy Husbandry, University of Minn., St. Paul, Minn.; H. L. Thomasson, Managing Editor, P. O. Box 437, Shelbyville, Ind.

Manuscripts: Correspondence regarding man-uscripts and other reading material should be addressed to J. C. Olson, Jr., Associate Editor, Dept. Dairy Husbandry, University of Minn., St. Paul, Minn.

"Instructions to Contributors" can be ob-tained from the Editor for the use of con-tributors of papers.

Piz Madre.

Journal of MILK and FOOD TECHNOLOGY

INCLUDING MILK AND FOOD SANITATION

Official Publication

International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians, Inc.

REG. U.S. PAT. OFF.

Vol. 19	September	No. 9
. The second	Contents	Page
A Statistical Anal Reductio to Plate	n Times in Relation	
. Eugene	K. Harris, Robert C. Thomas ner A. Black	243
Status of Poultry Joe W.	Sanitation Atkinson	
Organisr	Environment cessing on Spoilage ns in Cottage Cheese armon and C. K. Smith	
Questions and An 3A Sanit Dairy E	nswers about ary Standards for quipment	
	IFS, Inc.	
	sers	

business matters: Correspondence regard-ing business matters, advertising, subscrip-tions, orders for single copies, etc, should be addressed to H. L. Thomasson (address above).

Subscription Rates: One volume per year Individual non-members, Governmental and Commercial Organization subscription, \$5.50

 1 yr.
 \$5.50

 Public, Educational and Institutional Libraries, 1 yr.
 \$3.00

 Single Copies
 \$1.00

 Orders for Reprints: All orders for re-prints should be sent to the executive office

of the Association, P. O. Box 437, Shelby-ville, Ind.

ville, Ind. Membership Dues: Membership in the In-ternational Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians, Inc., is \$5.00 per year, which in-cludes annual subscription to the Journal of Milk and Food Sanitation). All correspondence regarding membership, remittances for dues, failure to receive copies of the Journal, changes of address, and other such matters should be addressed to the Executive Secre-tary of the Association, H. L. Thomasson, Box 437, Shelbyville, Indiana.

COPYRIGHT, 1956 INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS, INC.

effective...easy to use...economical!



THIS NEW PENNSALT B-K AD

is part of an extensive campaign in farm and dairy magazines telling dairymen the many advantages of <u>clean</u>, <u>sanitized</u> equipment, thus giving a valuable "assist" to your own activities.



the time-tested bactericide for fast, easy sanitizing of dairy utensils and equipment. B-K is a *dry powder* that can't freeze, dissolves quickly! Effective in hot or cold, hard or soft water!

CHERRINE

The most effective chemical to kill these bacteria ... without leaving a taste ... is CHLORINE.

Packed in convenient jars, B-K is safe to handle, easy to mix. Get B-K Powder and other B-K dairy cleaning aids from your Pennsalt B-K dealer, dairy, or receiving station!



K FACTS





In B-K Powder you get 50% available chlorine, the highest germ-killing and deodorizing action! Economical B-K is the largest-selling dairy sanitizer on the market!



For further information, write B-K Dept. Pennsylvania Salt Manufacturing Company THREE PENN CENTER PLAZA, PHILADELPHIA 2, PA.



STANDARD STEEL'S 1800 gallon bulk pick-up tank is built for "load efficiency" in every detail . . . Its light weight construction, with mild steel wrapper sheet (stainless also available) and side cabinets, weighs only 3570 pounds complete with all pumping equipment. Lightest sturdiest on the market today. Wide sideways . . . wide heavy bumper . . . 16 gauge inner shell bonded to 2" expanded rubber insulation with a low K factor of only .21 are special features of the Standard Steel Bulk Pick-up tank. Also available with rear cabinet.

Right: Top hinged doors on motor, pump and sample compartments eliminate all interference and permit easy, quick accessibility to cabinet interior.



STANDARD STEEL Below: Large pump and sample compartments... Flash lights with automatic switch... Easily removable sample box with sampler tube conveniently mounted on side... Pump and sample compartment insulated with 2" expanded rubber insulation, including heavily insulated doors.

Left: Motor compartment: Large, accessible area permits easy motor servicing. Double "V" belt drive with plenty of belt take-up... Properly located light operates on separate switch . . . Conveniently located separate motor operating switch permits easy pump control Write for complete details on this and other Standard Steel Milk Units.

FARM PICK-UP TRUCK TANKS

STANDARD STEEL WORKS NORTH KANSAS CITY, MO.





BRUSH WASHING OF DAIRY UTENSILS

is more effective with the addition of only a small amount of TRITON-HYAMINE detergent sanitizer. Detergent wets surfaces thoroughly and aids complete rinsing; germicide kills bacteria simultaneously.

TRITON-HYAMINE Detergent Sanitizers Increase Sanitation Efficiency in Dairies

After numerous field tests by bacteriologists, there is mounting evidence that TRITON-HYAMINE detergent-sanitizers consistently improve the effectiveness of sanitizing practices on milking machines, milk cans, and pails. And it's done in one combined cleansing-sanitizing operation.

One indication of this greater efficiency is the lower thermoduric count of milk processed with treated utensils. In addition, milkstone deposits left over from previous methods have either diminished or disappeared completely. When properly formulated, TRITON-HYAMINE detergent-sanitizers retain their germicidal activity over a wide range of hard waters and over a wide range of solution temperatures.

Write today for more information about these odorless, non-irritating sanitizers.

TRITON and HYAMINE are trade-marks, Reg. U.S. Pat. Off. and in principal foreign countries.



USE OF TRITON-HYAMINE IN PRE-RINSE solution is an effective way to obtain maximum sanitation of milking machine parts.





these NEW

Milk Filter Discs with WET STRENGTH Recover More Foreign Matter ... Mean Cleaner Milk

The best prescription for cleaner milk at the farm is a good milk filter disc. For almost half a century now — Perfection discs have been a favorite with dairy farmers everywhere. NOW — we have made them better, stronger, even more efficient. Tested by a leading U. S. testing laboratory — Perfection DUBL CHEM discs were proven to have up to 41 percent greater WET STRENGTH. That obviously, means less breakage and channeling — easier handling — higher R-Q. You've never known how really "clean" farm milk can be, until you've tried Perfection DUBL CHEM.

SCHWARTZ MFG. CO., Two Rivers, Wisconsin

RECOVERY QUOTIENT is the percentage of sediment removed from milk during filtration as compared with the amount present in normal farm milk.

for à High



mojonnier dawson's new contributions to better sanitation in the dairy industry

FILLING PREFORMED PURE-PAK WITHOUT OPENING CARTONS

model "F"





The new flat nozzle of the Model 'F' filler enters the carton on the same angle as the gable so opening is not necessary. This new principle offers these advantages: No foreign material can enter carton since top remains closed — Hands of operator NEVER touch inner carton surfaces — Only the outer seam of the comb moves, ALL SEAMS OF THE GABLE REMAIN INTACT, wax seal is not broken — Carton glue seams cannot be torn — LESS FOAM because product flows down the side of the carton — CLEANING IS SIMPLE because of nozzle and filler bowl design.

electromatic filler

The design of this filler prevents operation without drip shield.

SINGLE SERVICE PLASTIC CONTAINERS FOR FRESH MILK



in both 1 gallon and 6 gallon sizes

The gallon size Mojonnier Dawson Plastic Pack single service containers consist of a plastic liner contained by a cardboard outer shell. They completely eliminate the sanitation problem of bottle washing — The bottle heretofore having been the only container available for gallon distribution. The liner is filled without the inner surfaces ever being touched by hand. There are no open seams no wax to flake off — no "leakers" and they have longer shelf life. Better sanitation is possible for storage of empties.

The six gallon size is similar in construction

to the smaller Plastic Pack. This size is intended for dispenser and institutional use. Designed to replace the can it offers these advantages:

Eliminates rusty or pitted cans — Eliminates can washing — Has no open seams — Is filled and sealed without operator ever touching inner surface — Has non-pilferable seal — Foaming is reduced — Practices such as skimming or standing open are eliminated — No cover or paper touched by operator's hand can come in contact with product — Better refrigeration is possible.

we invite your inspection at the dairy show

AT THE TOP OF THE RAMP **BOOTH 23** IN THE BALLROOM UPSTAIRS **the mojonnier-dawson company** 9151 FULLERTON AVENUE • FRANKLIN PARK, ILLINOIS • GLadstone 5-1013

A STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF REDUCTION TIMES IN RELATION TO PLATE COUNTS

EUGENE K. HARRIS, ROBERT C. THOMAS, AND LUTHER A. BLACK

Robert A. Taft Sanitary Engineering Center, Cincinnati, Ohio

Received for publication February 4, 1956

In judging the bacteriological quality of milk supplies, most laboratories use either of two general methods for estimating bacterial density: (1) counting of colonies on an agar plate or clumps in a stained microscopic film, or (2) indirect estimation from dye reduction times.

Grade limits have been recommended by the Public Health Service Milk Ordinance and Code for each type of test. The counting procedures are covered by a single set of limits, established in terms of colonies or clumps counted under conditions specified by Standard Methods for each test. In the dye reduction tests, grades are based on the hours required to reduce the dye completely to a given color standard.

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE MILK ORDINANCE STANDARDS

The 1953 edition of the Milk Ordinance and Code recommended by the Public Health Service (1) for adoption by states and communities contains the following grade standards for the alternative tests, based on an average of four samples taken during a period not to exceed six months.

The purpose of the present study was to examine the agreement in grades assigned to replicate samples of milk by plate count and reduction time tests carried out under the conditions specified in the Ninth Edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products (2). Various reduction time limits, including those recommended in the 1953 Milk Ordinance and Code (see Table 1) were examined to reveal discrepancies which might arise if the same milk were graded by total plate count and reduction time.

Statistical analysis was based on agar plate count rather than the direct microscopic count, or both, for several reasons: (a) recommended grade limits are the same for both counting procedures, (b) the direct count has been shown to be subject to considerable variation among individual readers — a source of error probably much less important in the plate count, and (c) it was desired to test agreement between reduction time and plate count when the latter was obtained after incubation at 32° and at 35° C.

EXPERIMENTAL WORK AND ANALYSIS

Data were obtained from 407 milk samples collected during fall, winter, spring, and summer seasons. A preliminary analysis of this material has been pre-



Dr. E. K. Harris has been in charge of statistical services at the Robert A. Taft Sanitary Engineering Center, Public Health Service, Cincinnati, Ohio, since 1952. A graduate of Trinity College, Hartford, Connecticut, he obtained his Ph.D. from Yale University, and served for two years as instructor in biostatistics at the University of California in Berkeley before joining the Public Health Service.

viously reported (3). Methylene blue tubes were read after thirty minutes and at hourly intervals thereafter. Resazurin tubes were examined every hour. All tubes were inverted after each reading until a completed test was obtained. The distribution of individual milk samples by reduction times and Grade as indicated by plate counts after 32°C. incubation, is summarized in Table 2 for methylene blue and Table 7 for resazurin.

Results were analyzed by calculating per cent disagreements in grade classification under various reduction time limits. Consider, for example, a lower limit of three hours for the resazurin reduction time (to Munsell P 7/4) of Grade A raw milk. A sample which did not reduce resazurin within three hours but yielded a plate count greater that 200,000 per ml. would represent a disagreement in grade classification. For convenience, let us say that a milk placed in one grade by the plate count and in a higher grade by the reduction time has been "upgraded"; conversely, if placed in a lower grade by the reduction time, the milk has been "downgraded". Our purpose

TABLE	1 - BACTERIAL AND REDUCTION TEST STANDARDS	FOR
	RAW MILK TO BE PASTEURIZED (AS DELIVERED	
	FROM THE FARM) ^a	

Grades	Log. average p direct microscop count/ml not to	late or r pic clump h	Arithmeti reduction hours to less than		
		Methylen Blue	e (to	Resazurin Munsell P	7/4)
Α	200,000	5½		$2\frac{3}{4}$	
B	1 million	3¾		2	
С	No limit			No limit	

^aSource: 1953 Milk Ordinance and Code (1), footnotes 30 and 34, pp. 12 and 17, respectively.

is to select reduction time limits which lead to the smallest total error of upgrading and downgrading.

METHYLENE BLUE REDUCTION

The following example illustrates the procedure, utilizing data in the first section of Table 2, namely spring milk samples classified by methylene blue reduction time and plate count after incubation at 32°C.

Five sets of limiting times were considered: (1) 6% - 4% - 0, implying that milk would be classified Grade A if its methylene blue reduction time were not less than 6½ hours, Grade B if between 4½ and 6½ hours, and Grade C if less than 4½ hours, (2) 5½ -4% - 0, (3) 5½ - 3½ - 0, (4) 4½ - 3½ - 0, and (5) 4% - 2% - 0, all with connotations analagous to those for the first set.

Under the first set, 6% - 4% - 0, Table 2 (Spring) shows that 20 of the 35 samples or 57.1 per cent, placed in Grade A by the plate count would be downgraded by the reduction time test. Similarly, 12 of the 29 samples, or 41.4 per cent, placed in Grade B by the plate count would be downgraded by the reduction test, while 4, or 12.8 per cent, would be upgraded. Finally, 8 of the 57 samples, or 14.0 per cent, placed in Grade C by the plate count would be upgraded by the reduction test. The overall average per cent change of grade would be 32 per cent, resulting from an average of 49 per cent downgrading change and 14 per cent upgrading change.

These results and similar calculations for the other sets are included in Table 3. A set of high reduction time limits would lead to considerable downgrading but little upgrading. The converse situation follows from a set of low reduction time limits.

Calculations such as these were carried out for each season and incubation temperature. The two temperatures yielded very similar results. The overall average per cent grade change under each set of methylene blue reduction time limits is given in Table 4 by season and temperature.

Even apart from the somewhat atypical results ob-

served during winter, which were not confirmed on more precise analysis, Table 4 does not offer much basis for choosing any one among the middle three sets of standards. The reason for this, of courses is the great variation shown in reduction times and plate counts by milk samples of apparently equivalent quality.

This unreliability of individual samples has been recognized in the Milk Ordinance and Code (1) (p. 49) which recommends grading based on the average of four successive observations. As noted in Table 1, a geometric mean plate count and an arithmetic mean reduction times are used.

Of the 67 farms from which data was obtained during fall, winter, and spring, a large majority contributed at least four samples. Farms sampled during summer were rarely represented in the other seasons; consequently, this season was eliminated from further analysis. Table 4 shows that per cent disagreements observed in summer milk samples were similar to those of fall and spring samples. An analysis of winter averages, based on two samples yielded by each 40 farms, did not confirm the atypical winter findings observed during the other seasons, namely, a minimum per cent disagreement in the neighborhood of $5\frac{1}{2}$ — $4\frac{1}{2}$ — 0 and $5\frac{1}{2}$ — $3\frac{1}{2}$ — 0 grade limits for methylene blue reduction time.

Therefore, samples from each farm during fall, winter, and spring were averaged to produce a single mean plate count and reduction time. Altogether, 51 farms were represented, each contributing 4 to 6 samples, accounting for 87 percent of all samples collected during these seasons. The resulting frequency distribution at 32° C. incubation temperature is shown in Table 5. The intervals $2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{3}{4}$ and $3\frac{3}{4} - 4\frac{1}{2}$ hours were employed to permit an exact test of the current milk Ordinance and Code (1) Grade Limit recommendations.

Table 6 gives the average per cent disagreement under various methylene blue reduction time grade limits using means of 4 to 6 samples over several seasons. These findings support the presently recommended time limits, 5% - 3% - 0, as the most suitable set for controlling discrepancies which may arise when grading by both plate count and methylene blue reduction time.

RESAURIN REDUCTION

The evaluation of resazurin followed the same pattern as that described in detail for methylene blue. Table 7 classifies individual milk samples according to resazurin reduction times and plate counts after 32° C. incubation, while Table 8 shows the distribution of means. Use of the interval 2% - 2 hours permits an TABLE 2 — DISTRIBUTION OF INDIVIDUAL MILK SAMPLES ACCORDING TO METHYLENE BLUE REDUCTION TIME AND PLATE COUNT AT 32°C., BY SEASON

		Red	uction	1 time	(hou	rs)		e	×.
Spring:		·							
P1 (1	ate Count per ml)	$<1^{1}_{2}$	$1\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$	212- 312	$3\frac{1}{2}$ - $4\frac{1}{2}$	4½ 5½	5^{1}_{2} 6^{1}_{2}	$>6^{1}_{2}$	Total
(C	200,000 Grade A) 200,000 - million				5	5	10	15	35
(Grade 'B))	1	2	9	10	3	4	29
	million Grade C) 3	16	19	11	5	1	2	57
*** ***	Total	3	17	21	25	20	14	21	121
Summer	а 1. с	$<1^{1}_{2}$	11-	212-	3 <u>1</u> -	4 <u>1</u>	5 <u>1</u> -	$>6^{1}_{2}$	Tota
	200,000	Νi ,	21	<u>31</u> 3	4 ¹ / ₂ 7	5 <u>1</u> 5	$\frac{6^{1}_{2}}{10}$	16	41
	200,000 - 200,000 -						10	10	
	1 million		2	6	4	6	6	5	29
>	1 million	12	20	12	7	2			53
	Total	/12	22	21	18	13	16	21	123
-	for any							5	
Fall:	19 10 15	<11	112- 212	2^{1}_{2} - 3^{1}_{2}	3 <u>1</u> - 4 <u>1</u>	4 <u>1</u> 5 <u>1</u>	5 ¹ / ₂ - 6 ¹ / ₂	>6	1 Tot
	200,000					6	4	5	15
	200,000 - 1 million			1	5	6	1	1	14
	l million	6	6	13	6	5	1	2	39
	Total	6	6	14	11	17	6	8	68
Winter	: 3 m	<11/2	112- 212	212- 312-	$3\frac{1}{2}$ $4\frac{1}{2}$	4 <u>1</u> 5 <u>1</u>	5 ¹ / ₂ - 6 ¹ / ₂	>61/2	Tota
1<5	200,000	aniti Sana			1	11	14	25	51
	200,000 - 1 million			2	7	2	3	2	16
>]		5	8	7.	5	1	1	1	28
						-		-	22 104

exact test of the Grade limits, 2% - 2 - 0, recommended by the current Milk Ordinance and Code.

Finally, Table 9 shows the average per cent disagreement under various resazurin reduction time grade limits. Again, the presently recommended limits appear to be most satisfactory.

DISCUSSION

It is interesting that the analysis of means revealed differences between the two incubation temperatures which were not apparent when individual samples were studied. Plates incubated at 32°C. were less likely to disagree with dye reduction time than plates incubated at 35°C., under the optimal reduction time limits. Nevertheless, even under these time limits, and despite the use of means, the probability of disagreement between resazurin or methylene blue reduction

TABLE 3 — EXAMPLE OF AVERAGE PER CENT CHANGES IN GRADE, BY TYPE OF CHANGE, WHEN VARIOUS SETS OF REDUCTION TIME LIMITS ARE COMPARED WITH PLATE COUNTS

(DATA OF TABLE 2: SPRING, METHYLENE BLUE, PLATES

INCUBATED AT 32°C.)

grade	changes in	verage per cent	Overall		ime	on t	ucti	Red
х., 	Upgraded	Downgraded	average			its	lim	
	14	49	32	0	1	41/2		6½
	19	35	27	0	_	4½		5½
	29	19	24	0		31/2		51/2
	46	12	29	0		3½		4½
	63	9	36	0	_	2½	<u> </u>	4½

TABLE 4 — AVERAGE PER CENT CHANGES IN GRADE WHEN VARIOUS SETS OF METHYLENE BLUE REDUCTION TIME LIMITS ARE COMPARED WITH PLATE COUNTS, BY SEASON AND TEMPERATURE

Reduction	Spr	ing	Summ	Summer		a	Winter	
time limits	32°C	35 ° C	32°C	35°C	32°C	32°C	35°C	
$6\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{1}{2} - 0$	32	30	31	28	34	33	31	
$5\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{1}{2} - 0$	27	25	30	28	29	30	28	
$5\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2} - 9$	24	22	30	28	24	24	23	
$4\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2} - 0$	29	. 28	32	32	25	22	/ 22	
$4\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2} - 0$	36	32	32	35	32	25	25	

aIncubation at 35°C. omitted during fall

TABLE 5 — DISTRIBUTION OF ARITHMETIC MEAN METHYLENE BLUE REDUCTION TIMES AND GEOMETRIC MEAN PLATE COUNTS AT 32°C. INCUBATION FOR SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM 51 INDIVIDUAL FARMS DURING FALL, WINTER, AND SPRING

	R	eductio	n tim	e (ho	urs)			
Plate count/ml.	0- 112-		$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{3}{4}$	3월- 4월	$4\frac{1}{2}$ $5\frac{1}{2}$	51-2 61	$>6^{1}_{2}$	Total
<200,000					2	6	5	13
200,000 — 1 million			1	5	10	5		21
>1 million	1	4	9	3				17
Total	1	4	10	8	12	11	5	51

TABLE 6 — AVERAGE PER CENT CHANGES IN GRADE WHEN VARIOUS SETS OF MEAN METHYLENE BLUE REDUCTION TIME LIMITS ARE COMPARED WITH MEAN PLATE COUNTS, BY TEMPERATURE

	· · · · · ·		Reduction	time (ho	urs)	
	ubation erature	$6\frac{1}{2}$ - $4\frac{1}{2}$ - 0	$5\frac{1}{2}$ - $4\frac{1}{2}$ -0	5 <u>1</u> - 3 <u>3</u> -0	4^{1}_{2} - 3^{3}_{4} -0	4^{1}_{2} - 2^{1}_{2} -0
32°C	<u>.</u>	23	17	15	23	36
35°C	2.	32	27	22	25	30

TABLE 7 — DISTRIBUTION OF INDIVIDUAL MILK SAMPLES According to Resazurin Reduction Time and Plate Count At 32°C., by Season

		• • •		<u> </u>		
R	educt	ion tir	ne (h	ours)		
Spring: Plate Count						
per ml.	< 1	1 - 2		3 - 4	>4	Total
<200,000		3	11	15	6	35
200,000 -						
1 million		13	10	5	1	29
>1 million	19	30	5	3		57
Total	19	46	26	23	7	121
Summer:	. ÷	3				2 2
per ml.	<1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	>4	Total
<200,000	31	8	16	9	5	41
200,000 -						
1 million	1	11	14	.3	4	29
>1 million	32	16	4	1		53
Total	36	35	34	13	5	123
en a génera ya		-				
Fall per ml.	<1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	>4	Total
<200,000		1	4	5	5	15
200,000 -						
1 million	4	1 ,	8	4	1	14
>1 million	14	16	5	3	1	39
Total	14	18	17	12	7	68
Winter:		je –		× 0	7	_
per ml.	< 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	>4	Total
<200,000		.5	13	14	19	51
200,000 -						
1 million	2	7	5	2		16
>1 million		· 11	2	4		28
	10	· 11	4			

 TABLE 8 — DISTRIBUTION OF ARITHMETIC MEAN RESAZURIN

 Reduction Times and Geometric Mean Plate Counts at

 32°C. Incubation for Milk Samples Collected from 51

 Farms During Fall, Winter, and Spring

ours)	
23-4 >4	Total
11	13
3	21
	17
14	51
	3

TABLE 9 — AVERAGE PER CENT CHANGES IN GRADE WHEN VARIOUS SETS OF MEAN RESAZURIN REDUCTION TIME LIMITS ARE COMPARED WITH MEAN PLATE COUNTS, BY TEMPEBATURE

	the second se				
1		Reduction	time (hours)		
	Incubation Temperature	4-23-0	2 3 -2-0	2-1-0	
Ц.,	32°C.	46	14	42	
	35°C.	44	21	35	

time and standard plate count remained substantial.

Other workers in this field, notably Barkworth *et al.* (4), in England, and, most recently, Johns (5), in Canada, have noted increased reduction times in cooler months, attributing this to an inhibiting effect of low temperature on the activity of individual organisms. The former authors point out, however, that an increase in average methylene blue reduction time was seen only in milk of relatively low bacterial count. A density greater than 200,000 organisms per ml., was sufficient to counteract effects of low temperature and show reduction times similar to those during summer.

The findings were confirmed in the present work, although more clearly in the case of resazurin than methylene blue. Average resazurin reduction time of individual Grade A milk samples was about 40 percent longer during winter than summer; methylene blue reduction time was only twelve per cent longer. In milks of higher bacterial count, average reduction times in winter and summer were almost indentical. Hence, no excessive upgrading error would be expected during winter, nor was one observed.

These results do not agree with those reported (in graphical form) by Johns. He observed average reduction times in high count milk between 50 and 100 percent higher during cooler months (March-April) than warmer months (May-June).

SUMMARY

1. An analysis has been made of plate counts and

dye reduction times (methylene blue and resazurin) observed in 407 split milk samples as delivered from farms during all four seasons of the year.

2. A simple procedure is introduced for estimating disagreements in grading when accepted plate count and various dye reduction time grade limits are applied to these observations.

3. Observations in individual milk samples were found too variable to permit clear selection of the set of reduction time grade limits which minimizes disagreements. Therefore, an analysis was undertaken of logarithmic mean plate counts and arithmetic mean reduction times based on groups of 4 to 6 milk samples as delivered during fall, winter, and spring from each of 51 farms.

4. Results indicate that reduction time standards recommended in the 1953 Milk Ordinance and Code for methylene blue and resazurin are most suitable for minimizing disagreements between dye reduction time and plate count in grading milk supplies. 5. Average resazurin reduction time of individual Grade A milk samples was 40 per cent longer in winter than in summer; methylene blue reduction time was 12 per cent longer. However, in milks of higher bacterial count, average reduction times in winter and summer were almost identical.

References

1. Milk Ordinance and Codes: 1953 Recommendations of the Public Health Service. Public Health Service Publication No. 229, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1953. (See footnotes 30 and 34, pp. 12 and 17, respectively.)

2. Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products: American Public Health Association. 9th Ed. 1948.

3. Thomas, R. C., Levine, B. S., and Black, L.A., Studies Showing the Effect of Changes in the New (9th) Edition of Standard Methods in Relation to the Bacteriological Analysis of Milk. Public Health, **38**:233-238. 1948.

4. Barkworth, H., Irwin, J. O. and Mattick, A.T.R. The Plate Count and Methylene-Blue Reduction Test Applied to Milk. J. Dairy Research, 11:265-314. 1940.

5. Johns, C. K. Relation Between Reduction Times and Plate Counts of Milk Before and After Pasteurization. J. Milk and Food Technol. 17:369-371. 1954.

STATUS OF POULTRY SANITATION¹

JOE W. ATKINSON, D.V.M.

Division of Sanitary Engineering Services, Public Health Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.

Three general statements describe the status of poultry sanitation today: (a) Substantial gains have been made in poultry sanitation by many progressive processors and distributors; (b) there is a definite need for even wider application of known sanitary measures throughout much of the poultry industry; and (c) there are a number of current developments which show promise of bringing about vast improvements within the next few years.

SUBSTANTIAL GAINS

Evidence of improved sanitary practice in the poultry-processing industry is apparent to all. The variety of appetizing ready-to-cook and precooked poultry products available in stores throughout the United States afford a sharp contrast to the live or New York-dressed bird commonly offered to the housewife in the past. Improved sanitary practice has made the processing and distribution of these products possible.

Much credit is due the poultry-processing industry for these advancements. Undoubtedly, selfinterest has been one prime motive of those responsible. The availability of ready-to-cook and precooked poultry and poultry products has been the basis for a 100 percent increase in poultry production since 1940. Nevertheless, the best interests of the consuming public have also been served to the extent that progress has been made by the industry in utilizing better sanitation and refrigeration practices.

In 1928, certain members of the poultry industry requested the U.S. Department of Agriculture to provide a poultry inspection and sanitation service to help assure the wholesomeness and sanitary quality of processed poultry. Such a service has been provided, on a permissive basis, since that time. More than 250 plants now operate under U.S.D.A. inspection, producing between 20 and 25 percent of the total poultry processed in the United States. The operators of these establishments are to be commended, particularly because they are not required to have the inspection service, but voluntarily apply for it and pay most of the cost involved.

Important in influencing processors to use the U.S.D.A. inspection and sanitation services are the



Dr. Atkinson is the Consultant in Poultry Inspection and Sanitation of the Milk and Food Program, Division of Sanitary Engineering Services, Public Health Service, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C. Dr. Atkinson conducted field studies of the poultry industry while assigned to the Kansas City Regional Office of the Public Health Service, prior to transfer to his present position. He has had a primary role in the preparation of the model poultry ordinance developed by the Public Health Service in cooperation with interested State and Federal agencies and the poultry industry. Dr. Atkinson is a graduate of Kansas State College. His entrance into the Public Health Service was preceded by experience as a Veterinary Poultry Inspector with the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

requirements of the Department of Defense for inspected poultry to feed military personnel. Contributory, also, is the assistance thus provided the processor in meeting the requirements of regulatory agencies, such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or State and local regulatory agencies.

Along similar lines, some State and local jurisdictions offer permissive poultry inspection and sanitation services. Furthermore, general food sanitation programs in many States and municipalities have been effective in bringing about varied improvements in poultry sanitation within the jurisdictions concerned. In a few instances, specific poultry regulations within

¹Presented at the Milk and Food Sanitarians Short Course, Columbia, Missouri, April 16-18, 1956.

a metropolitan consuming area have resulted in improved sanitary practice in processing plants supplying the market, even though located in distant jurisdictions (*e.g.*, the poultry-sanitation requirements of Cincinnati, Ohio, and the requirement for official inspection of ready-to-cook poultry shipped into New York City).

STATUS GENERALLY UNSATISFACTORY

Notwithstanding progress made, many existing conditions and practices leave much to be desired. State and local poultry-sanitation requirements vary greatly. Some poultry processors have never been advised on the essentials of good sanitary practice, or have disregarded such advice in this absence of effective, official enforcement. Full realization of the need for uniform, adequate, official enforcement programs is just becoming apparent on the part of many regulatory officials, legislators, consumers, and representatives of the poultry industry.

Furthermore, enforcement of applicable regulations may be largely ineffective because of (a) inexperience or disinterest on the part of enforcement personnel, (b) insufficient, number of personnel for enforcement, (c) lack of public, official, or industry support for the program, or (d) because the regulations do not provide the authority needed for effective enforcement.

Unsatisfactory Conditions

Some of the conditions and operations found, which are unsatisfactory from a sanitation viewpoint, are:

1. Lack of adequate separation of live poultry areas, poultry dressing operations, and eviscerating and subsequent processing operations. This is frequently associated with inadequate space for operations conducted, and lack of proper construction and maintenance of floors, walls, doors, windows, and processing equipment.

2. Lack of proper ventilation, with employees and products exposed to heavily contaminated air.

3. Lack of adequate bleeding of poultry.

4. Lack of proper bleeding and scalding facilities in small establishments.

5. Lack of proper eviscerating facilities in small establishments.

6. Lack of adequate lavatories and of facilities along the processing line for rinsing hands and utensils.

7. Lack of adequate facilities for thorough cleaning and sanitizing of small utensils and portable equipment.

8. Inadequate methods for cleaning and sanitizing equipment and plant; cleaning not performed at proper intervals.

9. Processing operations conducted at speeds which are incompatible with proper maintenance of sanitary

conditions, and which render it impossible or impracticable for employees to perform their duties consistently in a sanitary manner.

10. Inadequate cleaning and washing of dressed poultry.

11. Chilling in ice slush, or other holding of New York-dressed carcasses before evisceration.

12. Contamination of giblets and edible carcass during evisceration with material from crops or intestines.

13. Inadequate pinning, trimming, evisceration, or washing of so-called ready-to-cook poultry; improper procedures or sequence for the various steps of evisceration.

14. Inadequate facilities, methods, or temperatures for the chilling and other refrigeration of products.

15. Lack of proper sanitary precautions in the processing of precooked poultry products.

16. Water supplies insufficient, not of known safety, lacking in adequate pressure; cross-connections and submerged inlets.

17. Inadequate protection against rodents and insects.

18. Wasie-disposal facilities, methods, or schedules not adequate for consistent protection of product from contamination and for general maintenance of sanitary conditions.

19. Poor personal hygiene and habits of employees. 20. General sanitation of plant and premises unsatisfactory.

21. Products not adequately protected or refrigerated subsequent to shipment from the processing establishment.

In many instances, habit, local custom, or economic considerations may be responsible for the existence of these unsatisfactory conditions. Contributing in large measure, however, is a lack of knowledge or interest on the part of management in regard to the need for, and value of, proper sanitation. This results either in a similar lack of knowledge or interest on the part of employees, or in a feeling of frustration and helplessness among those employees who are informed and conscientious in this regard. In addition, representatives of official agencies may fail to carry out their responsibilities, thus confounding management and employees alike.

A Few Examples

Following are just a few examples which show that the inadequacies existing today in some poultry-processing plants are not confined to very small plants, or to those not under official surveillance. All of these examples were observed in relatively large establishments producing substantial to extremely large volumes of poultry. In some instances, all or part of the resulting product carried an official legend or stamp indicating official inspection for wholesomeness or production under official sanitary supervision.

1. On a visit with a local regulatory official to a large, well-constructed and well-equipped plant, it was observed that the vents of the carcasses were being pulled outward and excised, thus opening the cloaca or terminal part of the intestines and contaminating the body cavities of the carcasses with fecal matter. The "inside-bird-washer" was not being used to wash out the eviscerated carcasses because "so many girls were sick and didn't come to work that day". It was quite apparent that there was no shortage of personnel to hang birds on the line for slaughter and to remove the eviscerated carcasses from the line for chilling and shipment from the plant. No enforcement action was initiated, presumably because of the absence of an adequate municipal ordinance dealing specifically with poultry.

2. On an informational survey with State and local officials, a number of poultry-processing plants were visited. Several of these were operating under continuous inspection-for-wholesomeness services. Significant sanitation deficiencies were noted in each plant, e.g., (a) air in processing rooms heavily laden with dust from live-poultry areas; (b) surfaces of "flaps" in conveyor-line openings having thick accumulations of organic matter caused by repeated contact over many months with carcasses on the processing line, accompanied, apparently, by an absolute lack of thorough cleaning at any time; (c) head-pulling contrivances which removed the heads of carcasses but left the stick-wounds and adjacent contaminated tissues on the carcasses, and which, in some instances, appeared as if they had never been effectively cleaned of the coagulated blood and other soil; (d) frequent and unnecessary contamination of edible tissues during evisceration, with one official inspector actually pulling the suspended viscera loose from each carcass, breaking intestines and contaminating his hands, the giblets, and the carcasses with fecal matter; and (e) a general lack of adequate number of lavatories, and of utensil and equipment-cleaning facilities.

3. In an exceptionally large, new plant, one processing line was operating under continuous official inspection, with relatively sanitary eviscerating procedures. Another line operating without official inspection was running at a speed approximately four times that of the inspected line, with vents being pulled out and excised, and numerous other procedures and conditions resulting in repeated contamination of product. A very large fan blowing inward at the livepoultry receiving door forced a draft of dust-laden air down a hall at the far end of the holding room, into the eviscerating room. After a day in the plant, clothing and nose were impregnated with dust. Of course,

the eviscerated product was likewise exposed.

4. On an informational survey with State personnel, several plants were visited, and varying undesirable conditions observed. One large eviscerating plant, operating under an official inspection-for-wholesomeness program, conducted no slaughtering and dressing operations, but processed New York-dressed poultry supposed to originate in plants operating under continuous official sanitation supervision. Some carcasses had been opened, found partly frozen, and placed back in tanks of water for further defrosting, thus contaminating the incised tissues and body cavities of the carcasses with the dirty water containing fecal matter and other wastes. In one plant not operating under continuous official sanitation supervision, the owner volunteered the information that he sometimes sold lots of New York-dressed poultry to the plant mentioned above, where it was then eviscerated under inspection.

In a large plant producing New York-dressed poultry, it was observed that the carcasses were not being washed at any time. The official sanitation supervisor, and his superior who happened to be in the plant that day, explained that the wash machines had been cut off because the private water supply used by this plant was not providing enough water.. The poultry was being placed in cartons bearing labels indicating production under official sanitation supervision.

5. Scruggs (2) reported a visit to a plant in a heavy production area which was processing "inspected" poultry for a major metropolitan market. He described the conditions in this plant as being extremely bad, to the point that "both the employees and the food product that they were handling were being bathed constantly in an aerosol of intestinal contents". The official inspector in the plant was not doing a satisfactory job of inspection, resulting in this comment by Dr. Scruggs: "Such a practice, and this is not an isolated circumstance, is nothing short of prostituting the veterinary profession in order to gain a marketing advantage by furnishing "inspected" poultry".

CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS PROMISE IMPROVEMENTS

Several things indicate an increased realization of the need for definitive action by official agencies in the field of poultry sanitation.

The interest and cooperation of the poultry industry, of Federal, State, and local agencies, and of professional associations, has resulted in the develoyment by the Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, of a suggested poultry ordinance for consideration by State and local jurisdictions (1). The general provisions and sanitation requirements were published in April 1955. The provisions dealing with ante-mortem and post-mortem inspection of poultry are now ready for official clearances, and will be incorporated with the sanitation requirements for publication as a complete inspection and sanitation ordinance.

Several organizations, including the U.S. Livestock Sanitary Association, the Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, and the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen of North America, have gone on record recommending the adoption of the Public Health Service poultry-inspection ordinance when it becomes available. Much interest has been expressed in the various States. The Institute of American Poultry Industries has adopted a resolution favoring the "development and adoption of sound, mandatory inspection for wholesomeness programs for all poultry and poultry products, provided such programs are paid for from federal and state funds." The Conference of State and Territorial Health Officers has adopted a resolution calling for the evisceration, and the inspection before and after slaughter, of all poultry shipped interstate.

Finally, several bills introduced into the current session of Congress (2nd Session, 84th Congress), call for amendment of ⁷the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, so as to prohibit the movement in interstate or foreign commerce of unsound, unhealthful, diseased, unwholesome, or adulterated poultry or poultry products. As introduced, these bills would provide for mandatory official inspection-for-wholesomeness of all poultry and poultry products processed for interstate commerce. Other bills which have been introduced would provide for mandatory inspection by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of poultry and poultry products processed for interstate commerce and, furthermore, of poultry and poultry products processed, sold, received, or delivered in cities or areas designated through a prescribed procedure by the Secretary of Agriculture as being "cities or areas where poultry or poultry products are handled or consumed in such volume as to affect, burden, or obstruct the movement of inspected poultry products" in interstate commerce, granting the Secretary of Agriculture exclusive jurisdiction in the fields within the scope of the bills. Other proposed legislation would provide for the mandatory inspection of poultry by the Meat Inspection Branch, U.S.D.A., on a basis comparable to the present Federal inspection of red meats.

SUMMARY

Although significant progress has been made, there is an obvious need for more consistent and widespread application of known principles of sanitation to the poultry industry. Associated with this need, indeed inseparable from it if the consumer is to be assured of wholesome and acceptable poultry and poultry products, is the necessity for adequate official inspection for wholesomeness of poultry. Recognition of these needs has resulted in a number of current developments which are directed toward the establishment of mandatory official poultry-inspection programs at the Federal, State, and local levels.

References

1. Helvig, R. J. and Atkinson, J. W. Discussion of the New Poultry Ordinance. Paper presented at Conference of Public Health Veterinarians, Kansas City, Missouri, November 17, 1955. To be published in Am. J. Pub. Health.

2. Scruggs, John H. Dressed Poultry, Present and Future. Paper presented at 59th Ann. Meet. U.S. Livestock Sanitary Association, November 16-18, 1955. To be published in proc. of meetings.

THE INFLUENCE OF ENVIRONMENT AND PROCESSING ON SPOILAGE ORGANISMS IN COTTAGE CHEESE'

L. G. HARMON AND C. K. SMITH

Departments of Dairy and Microbiology and Public Health Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station East Lansing

(Received for publication April 20, 1956)

Microbiological analyses were performed on samples taken at various stages of processing of 17 vats of cottage cheese manufactured in 12 commercial plants. In 10 vats of fresh cheese whose shelf-life ranged from 5 to 10 days, the logarithmic averages of the counts of coliform, lipolytic and proteolytic bacteria were 50, 1,298 and 1,967 percent greater than the corresponding averages of 7 vats whose shelf-life ranged from 13 to 16 days. Spoilage organisms were found in water, coagulator, starter, air, contaminated equipment and improperly pasteurized milk and cream. Several water supplies contained high populations of lipolytic and proteolytic organisms, but were free of coliform bacteria which are commonly used as an index of acceptability for water supplies.

In recent years numerous workers have reported on the types of organisms responsible for spoilage in cottage cheese. Deane and Nelson (6) examined 79 samples from 25 commercial plants for yeast, mold, coliform, proteolytic and lipolytic organisms. Poor keeping quality at 21° C. was associated with mold counts in excess of 100 per g. and poor keeping quality at 6° C. was associated with proteolytic and lipolytic bacteria counts in excess of 100 per g. Elliker (7) and Parker et al. (12) reported that three species of bacteria, Pseudomonas fragi, Pseudomonas viscosa and Alcaligenes metalcaligenes are responsible for much of the slimy, gelatinous defect of cottage cheese. These workers isolated the above organisms from equipment, creaming mixtures, plant dust and water supplies. Collins (3) reported that prolonged incubation permitted cultures of Ps. fragi, Ps. viscosa and Alc. metalcaligenes to cause surface spoilage of cottage cheese at initial pH values as low as 4.6 and at temperatures as low as 3.5° C. Harmon and Smith (8) reported on the relationships between pH and the type of organisms dominating cottage cheese spoilage. Davis and Babel (5) found that cottage cheese curd washed with suspensions containing from 2,000,000 to 120,000,000 bacteria per ml. retained from 0.0093 to 0.40 percent of the organisms. The temperature of incubation had a greater effect on the rate of slime formation than did the number of bacteria in the wash water. Lyons and Mallmann (10) enumerated the coliforms in 150 samples of cottage cheese from 8 plants. Line samples from 6 plants contained coliforms at all sampling points. Sixty percent

¹Mich. Ag. Exp. Sta. Journal Article No. 1904



Dr. L. G. Harmon received the B. S. degree from Kansas State College in 1936; the M. S. degree from Texas Technological College in 1940; and the Ph. D. degree in Dairy Bacteriology from Iowa State College in 1954. From 1936 to 1954 Dr. Harmon was a member of the Staff of the Dairy Department, Texas Technological College and served as Chairman of the Department in 1947-48. In 1954 he joined the staff of the Department of Dairy, Michigan State University where he presently is located.

of the fresh packaged cheese from all plants contained coliforms.

The data herein reported were secured to determine the source and numerical frequency of each of the various groups of organisms detrimental to the keeping quality of cottage cheese. The relationship was determined between keeping quality and temperatures at critical points in the processing and storage of cottage cheese.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Twelve commercial cottage cheese plants were visited. The complete manufacturing procedure of 17 vats of cheese was observed and recorded. Samples were taken of all ingredients and of the cheese at each of several designated stages of the manufacturing process. Swabs of the vats and handling equipment were made according to Standard Methods (1). The samples were promptly refrigerated and returned to the laboratory for microbiological and chemical analyses.

The cheese samples were prepared for analyses by mixing 11 g. of cheese and 99 ml. of buffered sterile water for two minutes in a Waring blendor jar. The Waring blendor jars were sterilized by soaking for one hour with a 500 p.p.m. chlorine solution and rinsing with sterile distilled water. Control plates from the rinsings of jars prepared in this manner seldom showed any growth and never more than two colonies. All samples of cheese and raw materials were examined for coliform, lipolytic, proteolytic, yeast and mold organism populations. Total counts were performed on swabs, rinses from containers and on all ingredients except starter. Coliform, yeast, mold and total counts were performed according to Standard Methods (1). Lipolytic organisms were determined by the method of Long and Hammer (9). Proteolytic bacteria were enumerated on a medium containing 0.2 percent peptone, 1.5 percent agar, and 2 percent sterile skim milk added at the time the plates were poured. Air borne contamination was determined in the packaging and manufacturing areas by exposure of poured plates of tryptone glucose yeast agar and acidulated potato dextrose agar, according to Standard Methods.

One ml. or 1 g. portions of all of the samples collected were inoculated into cottage cheese which had been sterilized by autoclaving. The inoculated cheese was held four days at 21° C. to observe the type of deterioration.

Creamed cottage cheese samples were scored organoleptically, stored at 42° and 50° F. and observed for shelf-life. The pH was determined on the dry curd, creaming mixture, and on the fresh and spoiled cheese.

RESULTS

Spoilage organisms in cottage cheese at various stages of manufacturing. All of the vats of cheese observed in this study were made by the "short set" with the incubation times and temperatures varying from 4 to 6 hours and 86° to 90° F., respectively. Since this temperature is favorable for most contaminants, it is not surprising to find significant populations in the cut curd. The range of the various counts (shown in Table 1) is particularly significant and indicates that at this stage of manufacture, contaminants may be completely absent or may have attained sufficient numbers to represent a quality hazard. Most of the vats of cheese were cooked to about 120° F. which resulted in considerable reduction in coliforms, but was not effective in destroying lipolytic, proteolytic, yeast or mold organisms. The washing operation caused a substantial increase in the average counts of lipolytic and proteolytic bac-

teria, which is attributed to the high populations of these organisms in some of the water supplies. The range of counts of each of the different types of spoilage organisms in the packaged creamed cottage cheese illustrates the wide variation in the microbiological condition of the freshly made product and establishes the fact that it is possible to produce cheese containing very few contaminating organisms. Data in Table 1 also show the range and logarithmic average of the counts of various organisms in the cheese held at 50° F. until spoiled and the counts of duplicate samples held at 42° F. for the same length of time. The advantage of the lower storage temperature in reducing development of organisms is obvious.

Data in Table 1 indicate the results of microbiological analyses of "line samples" from 12 commercial plants. The minimum and maximum counts and the logarithmic average of the counts of each group of organisms determined in the line samples are indicated.

The data show that the pasteurized milk and cream represented a principal source of all types of spoilage organisms determined. Davis and Babel (5) showed that the organisms usually responsible for slime formation in cottage cheese are destroyed by pasteurization. The lack of adequate plant sanitation represented a source of contamination in several plants. Standard Methods (1) recommends that the total swab count of plant equipment should not exceed 12.5 organisms per sq. in. In several of the plants studied swab counts made on sanitized surfaces of vats and equipment revealed less than one organism per sq. in. However, the logarithmic average count on the vats and other equipment were 54 and 38 organisms per sq. in., respectively. No official recommendations exist as to the tolerance of coliform, lipolytic, proteolytic, yeast and mold organisms, but since these types are capable of spoiling cottage cheese, it seems desirable to strive for a degree of plant sanitation which will preclude the presence of these organisms on plant equipment.

In most instances, the coagulator was not a significant source of undesirable organisms, but a few samples possessed high lipolytic, proteolytic and/or yeast and mold populations: A few starter samples and several empty cartons selected at random were found which contained yeast, mold and lipolytic organisms. No coliform bacteria were found in the starters or rinses from the cartons.

Air borne contamination in cottage cheese plants. Data in Table 2 show the yeast, mold and total counts determined on standard agar and potato dextrose agar plates exposed for 15 minutes in the manufacturing

E. E. Maria	141.				Micro-organism cour	nts per g. or	ml.			
Type of	Tota Range	Log. ave.	Colifor Range	and the second se	Lipolytic		Proteoly Range		Yeast and Range	mold Log. ave.
sample -	<1 to	Log. ave.	<1 to	Log. ave.	Range	Log. ave.	Range <1 to	Log. ave.	<1 to	Log. ave.
Vat swab	13,000	54	240	1	1,900	18	1,100	10	6,500	6
Equipment swab	<1 to 11,300	38	<1 to _1,400	2	<1 to 3,600	11	<1 to 5,600	24	<1 to . 75	3
Coagulator	<1 to 50,000	46	<1	<1	<1 to 3,400	2	<1 to 10,000	9	<1 to 38,000	2
Wash water	<1 to 14,400	43	<1 to 4	<1	<1 to 1,800	5	<1 to 15,000	17	<1 to 25	2
Carton rinse	<1 to 2,400	290	<1	1	<1 to 620	30	<1 to 200	10	<1 to 600	28
Pasteurized milk	500 to 82,000	19,000	<1 to 1,080	4	10 to 5,100	200	2 to 8,000	140	<1 to 100	5
Starter	¢	•	<1 to 50	2	<1 to 500	27	<1	<1	<1 to 100	9
Cut curd	۰	•	<1 to 9,200	29	<1 to 16,000	140	<1 to 1,500,000	330	<1 to 3,000	11
Curd after draining whey	*	٠	<1 to 90	2	10 to 4,000	130	<1 to 50,000	140	<1 to 35,000	20
Washed curd	•	۰	<1 to 100	1	40 to 9,000	330	<1 to 300,000	650	<1 to 5,000	21
Cream	100 to 134,000	6,600	<1 to 1,400	14	10 to 30,000	180	<1 to 30,000	320	<1 to 800	14
Packaged cheese		•	<1 to 210	7	20 to 36,000	2:40	1 to 1 500,000	430-	<1 to 2,000	36
Cheese held at 50° F. until spoiled		•	<1 to 5,300,000	4,000	1,000 to 2,400,000,000	4,300,000	100 to 1,300,000,000	1,600,000	20,000 to 1,200,000,000	650,000
Cheese held equal time at 42° F.	•	*	<1 to 618,000	200	1,000 to 150,000,000	480,000	100 to 900,000,000	460,000	1,000 to 4,000,000	49,000

TABLE 1 - MICROBIAL POPULATIONS OF "LINE SAMPLES" FROM 17 VATS OF COTTAGE CHEESE IN 12 COMMERCIAL PLANTS

- ale

*Not determined

254

Table 2 — Air-borne micro-organism populations appearing on 15-minute exposure plates in 12 commercial cottage cheese plants

1. http://	11 A B	Plate	s exposed	in		
	Cheese ma	anufactu	ring area	Cheese	packagi	ng area
Plant source	Total	Yeast	Mold	Total	Yeast	Mold
Α	13	0	0	64	2	1
С	265	2	11	•	ø	*
É	7	2	1	140	2	5
G	17	0	1	58	1	1
R	82	3	4	99	0	4
Т	99	2	5	192	5	9
V	290	0	6	153	2	5
Y	181	0	0	84	6	4
			1 g 1 a	l di la compositione de la compo		1
AA	44	2	2	. 0	۵	۵
DD	, 99	23	9	9	0	5
EE	46	1	0	85	5	6
FF	83	14	13	64	2	3
Logarithmic	k i si W	2 C 1 2		i si		
average	62,0	2.3	2.5	76	2.2	3.7
Arithmetic						
average ,	102.0	4.1	4.3	95	2.5	4.3

*Not determined

and in the packaging areas of commercial cottage cheese plants. The variations in total count are considerably greater than the variations in yeast and molds. The results indicate that the air in all plants represents a potential source of contamination and emphasizes the need to minimize the intervals during which products are exposed.

Contamination from line samples in cottage cheese plants. The "line samples" secured from each of the 12 plants were inoculated into 25 g. portions of cottage cheese which had been sterilized by autoclaving in petri dishes. The inoculated cheese samples were incubated for four days at 21° C. The type of spoilage appearing in the sterile curd is indicated in Table 3. Nine "line samples" were secured from each plant and the number of these "line samples" causing spoilage varied from one to six. Only one sample of pasteurized milk, three samples of cream and four swabs of equipment failed to cause spoilage when 1 ml. portions were inoculated into the sterilized curd. Only one sample of starter produced spoilage in the sterile curd.

Temperatures at critical points in the manufacture of cottage cheese. In each plant the temperatures were determined at various critical stages of manufacturing the cheese and are recorded in Table 4. The plants are divided into two groups, according to the shelflife of the cheese manufactured therein. Data show that the average temperatures at the critical points in processing and packaging cottage cheese were from

Table 3 - Type of spoilage occurring when sterile cottage cheese was inoculated with line samples from 12 commercial plants and held four days at 21° C.

Source and nature of inoculating material	A	С		E E	onage	G	tern	R	cottage che T	ese_11	V	ted w	Y	line s	AA	s from	DD	its	EE		FF
Control N	No ferr	nentatio	n			2.4			1				20	- 						7.	12
Equipment													90.5								
Carton rinse	N	N		Ν		Р		Р	N		Μ		Ν		N		N		Μ		N
Equipment																					
swab	Ν	Ν	- N	И, Р		N		Ρ	Μ		M, P		F		N		Р		М		Ν
Vat swab	N	Μ		S		Ν		M	Ν		Ν		Ν		Ν		Ν		Р		N
Products	÷									-											
Coagulator	N	Ν		Μ		Р		N ¹	Ν		Ν		М		Р		М		N		Ν
Cream	Р	'N		N		Р		Р	Ρ.		Р		Ν		Ν	×.,	Р	•	Р		Р
Milk	Р, Ү	N		Р	5	S, Y		Ν	F, Y		Р	. 1	Р		F		Р		M, P		S
Starter	Ν	Ν		N		Ν		Ν	N		Ν		Ν		F		Ν		N		Ν
Whey	Ν	Ν		Ν	Ν	A, Y		М	Y		N		N		N		M		Ν		N
Water	Ν	N		N		Ν		Р	Р		М		Ν		Р		Ν		N		P

Legend:

F = fruity

M = moldy

N = none or normalP = putrid S = sourY = yeasty

					Temperat	ure in deg.	F.	10 K		
Plant ource	First water	Last water	Washed curd	Packaged cheese	Packaging room	Cheese Bulk	in storage Packaged	Storage Bulk	vaults Packaged	Shelf-life in days
	50. y <mark>(0)</mark> 51. z	С	ottage cheese	with shelf-lif	e ranging f	from 5 to 10	days at 50° F.			
4	52	52	79	52	70	42	40	38	38	10
	48	48	52	*	۵	43	42	41	• 41	7-8
	53	53	60	62	74	46	44	43	43	9
	55	40	49	48	64	47	45	44	44	5
- ⁶ , 7	45	35	50	50	72	51	37	50	35	10-10
700	54	42	51	48	64	46	40	44	37	9
	54 58	58	62	44	\$	42	44	42	42	8
ΈE	53	53	59	66	70	44	43	42	42	8
Average	52	48	58	53	69	45	44	43	40	8.4
		Ċ	ottage cheese	with shelf-li	fe rang ng	from 13 to 1	6 days at 50° F	1 ¹⁰ 4		
· · · · ·	85	44	51	56	66	39	46	34	34	13
700	54	42	51	48	64	46	40	44	. 37	13-13
2 X X 14	52	52	50	٥	¢	40	٥	33	33	. 14
AA DD	56	42	49	44	۵	٥	43	42	42	16-16
7F	70	48	51	58	68	43	40	41	41	16
Average	63	46	- 50	52	66	- 42	42	39	37	14.4

TABLE 4 - TEMPERATURES AT CRITICAL POINTS IN MANUFACTURING COTTAGE CHEESE IN 12 COMMERCIAL PLANTS

* not determined

** samples from plant V occurred in both groups.

 2° to 8° F. lower in the vats of cheese with the longer shelf-life.

Relationship between organoleptic grade, pH, microbial populations and shelf-life of commercial cottage cheese. Data in Table 5 show the initial counts of coliform, lipolytic, proteolytic, yeast and mold organisms in packaged samples from 17 vats of cheese. At 50° F. ten samples had a shelf-life ranging from 5 to 10 days and seven samples had a shelf-life of 13 to 16 days. In the fresh cheese the logarithmic averages of the counts of coliform, lipolytic and proteolytic organisms were 50, 1,298 and 1,967 percent, respectively, greater in the group of samples with shelf-life between 5 and 10 days than in the samples with shelf-life between 13 and 16 days. The yeast and mold counts were 104 percent greater in the samples with the longest shelf-life. This relationship may be attributed to the fact that the samples with the longer keeping quality possessed a lower average pH and yeasts and molds are more acid tolerant than the other groups of organisms.

When initially analyzed, seven of the 17 samples contained less than one coliform bacterium per g., two contained less than one yeast and mold per g. and seven contained maxima of 100 organisms per g. in the lipolytic and proteolytic groups. The coliform and yeast and mold counts exceeded 100 per g. in

one and three samples, respectively. The lipolytic and proteolytic counts exceeded 10,000 per g. in one and seven samples, respectively.

The initial score, initial and terminal pH, shelf-life and type of spoilage are shown by the data in Table 5. The initial score averaged slightly higher in the group of samples with the longer shelf-life. The pH of both the fresh and spoiled samples averaged lower in the group with better keeping quality. The benefit of good refrigeration is indicated by the fact that the average shelf-life of all samples was 51 percent longer at 42° F. than at 50° F. The keeping quality of the group with the shorter shelf-life was 62 percent longer at 42° than at 50° F.; in the group with the longer shelf-life the keeping quality was extended 43 percent at the lower temperature. Slime formation was the more common type of spoilage in the group of samples with the higher pH and short shelf-life; moldiness and high acidity were the common defects in the group with the lower pH and longer shelf-life.

DISCUSSION

Proper pasteurization destroys most of the organisms which were enumerated on the differential media used in this investigation. High counts occurring in milk samples taken at the cheese vat and in cream samples taken at the time the cheese was being

Plant	Initial		pH		2.5	Organis	m counts		Shelf-life	in days
source	score	Fresh	Spoiled		Coliform	Lipolytic	Proteolytic	Yeast & mold	50° F.	42° F.
	8 - <u>-</u>			0 vats with	shelf-life r	anging from 5 to 10 day	vs at 50° F.			in the second
A	39	4.62	4.88	6	0	100	10	0	10	20
C1	39	4.81	5.12		33	1,800	15,000	٥	7	12
C2	39	5.15	5.12		39	1,200	2,300	۰	8	9
Е	41	5.32	5.15		10	110	100	- 40	9	13
G	39	4.72	4.70		210	36,000	28,000	50	5	6
R1	39	5.10	5.20		10	400	300,000	230	10	13
R2	40	5.10	4.69		0	2,000	1,500,000	30	10	20
V3	39	5.21	5.25		0	1,000	300	10	9	13
Y	40	4.92	4.80		4	60	50	100	8	15
ÊE	39	5.30	5.01		20	6,000	11,000	20	8	15
Average	39.4	5.03	4.99		9	1,300	3,100	27	8.4	13.6
				7 vats with	shelf-life r	anging from 13 to 16 da	uys at 50° F.			
				7 vats with	shelf-life r					×
T	40	4.90	4.67	7 vats with	shelf-life r	100	100	190		20
V1	40	4.81	4.86	7 vats with	-	100 50	100 10	20	13	17
V1 V2	40 39	4.81 4.99		7 vats with	0	100 50 20	100	20 100	13 13	$\frac{17}{20}$
V1	40	4.81	4.86	7 vats with	0	100 50	100 10	20 100 2,000	13 13 14	17 20 18
V1 V2	40 39	4.81 4.99	$4.86 \\ 4.85$	7 vats with	0 0 0	100 50 20	100 10 62,000	20 100	13 13	17 20 18 23
V1 V2 AA	40 39 40	$4.81 \\ 4.99 \\ 4.62$	4.86 4.85 4.42	7 vats with	0 0 0 0	100 50 20	100 10 62,000 0	20 100 2,000	13 13 14	17 20 18 23 23
V1 V2 AA DD1	40 39 40 41	$4.81 \\ 4.99 \\ 4.62 \\ 5.37$	$ \begin{array}{r} 4.86 \\ 4.85 \\ 4.42 \\ 5.15 \end{array} $	7 vats with	0 0 0 40	100 50 20 * 100	$100 \\ 10 \\ 62,000 \\ 0 \\ 1,000$	20 100 2,000 20	13 13 14 16	17 20 18 23
V1 V2 AA DD1 DD2	40 39 40 41 40	$\begin{array}{c} 4.81 \\ 4.99 \\ 4.62 \\ 5.37 \\ 5.21 \end{array}$	$4.86 \\ 4.85 \\ 4.42 \\ 5.15 \\ 4.92$	7 vats with	0 0 0 40 50	100 50 20 * 100 1,000	$100 \\ 10 \\ 62,000 \\ 0 \\ 1,000 \\ 34,000$	$20 \\ 100 \\ 2,000 \\ 20 \\ 0$	13 13 14 16 16	17 20 18 23 23
V1 V2 AA DD1 DD2 FF Average	40 39 40 41 40 41	$\begin{array}{c} 4.81 \\ 4.99 \\ 4.62 \\ 5.37 \\ 5.21 \\ 4.96 \end{array}$	4.86 4.85 4.42 5.15 4.92 4.82	7 vats with	0 0 0 40 50 100	100 50 20 * 100 1,000 70	$100 \\ 10 \\ 62,000 \\ 0 \\ 1,000 \\ 34,000 \\ 0$	20 100 2,000 20 0 100	13 13 14 16 16 16	17 20 18 23 23 23 20.6
V1 V2 AA DD1 DD2 FF Average	40 39 40 41 40 41 40.1	4.81 4.99 4.62 5.37 5.21 4.96 4.98	4.86 4.85 4.42 5.15 4.92 4.82 4.81	7 vats with	0 0 0 40 50 100	100 50 20 , 100 1,000 70 93	$100 \\ 10 \\ 62,000 \\ 0 \\ 1,000 \\ 34,000 \\ 0$	20 100 2,000 20 0 100 55	13 13 14 16 16 16	17 20 18 23 23 23 23
V1 V2 AA DD1 DD2 FF	40 39 40 41 40 41	$\begin{array}{c} 4.81 \\ 4.99 \\ 4.62 \\ 5.37 \\ 5.21 \\ 4.96 \end{array}$	4.86 4.85 4.42 5.15 4.92 4.82	7 vats with	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 40 \\ 50 \\ 100 \end{array} $	100 50 20 * 100 1,000 70	$ \begin{array}{r} 100\\ 10\\ 62,000\\ 0\\ 1,000\\ 34,000\\ 0\\ 150\\ \end{array} $	20 100 2,000 20 0 100 55	13 13 14 16 16 16 16 14.4	1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2

TABLE 5 — RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORGANOLEPTIC GRADE, PH, MICROBIAL FOPULATIONS AND SHELF-LIFE OF PACKAGED SAMPLES OF 17 VATS OF COTTAGE CHEESE FROM 12 COMMERCIAL PLANTS

* Lost

SPOILAGE ORGANISMS IN COTTAGE CHEESE

creamed indicated either unsatisfactory pasteurization or post-pasteurization contamination.

The coliform bacteria and yeasts and molds are retarded at the temperatures at which cottage cheese is normally held. However, these temperatures are less adverse to members of the genus *Pseudomonas* which includes many of the lipolytic and proteolytic organisms commonly encountered in dairy products. According to Bergey's Manual (2), the optimum temperatures for growth of most of these organisms vary from 10° to 25° C., and growth is retarded at 5° C. (40° F.). The predominant defects which developed in the cheese samples held at 42° F. were mold, high acid and also stale or bitter flavors suggestive of enzyme activity. At 50° F. spoilage usually was caused by the formation of pigment and surface slime or gelatinous curd.

The amount of air-borne contamination varied considerably among plants, but there was little correlation between air-borne contamination and microbial population or keeping quality of the cheese. More information concerning the species identity of air-borne bacteria is desirable; however, the prevalence of yeasts and molds represents a hazard to quality. Many food plants have reduced air-borne contamination by ventilating with filtered, washed air and pressurizing processing rooms.

Yeasts and molds which tolerate low pH were the more comon contaminants in starter. When starters are ripened to an acidity of about 0.83 percent, the pH is sufficiently low to retard the development of most lipolytic, proteolytic and coliform bacteria; however, starters cannot be ignored as a source of these organisms, especially those of the lipolytic group, which includes the molds. Starter acidities above 0.85 percent should be avoided because of the reduced activity of *Streptococcus lactis*.

A few samples of coagulator were badly contaminated with lipolytic, proteolytic, yeast or mold organisms, with proteolytic organisms being the most frequent contaminant. These contaminants may be introduced by using non-sterile glassware in measuring coagulator and returning unused portions of coagulator to the original supply.

The number of organisms found in rinses from empty cartons picked up at cheese packaging stations indicates a need for improvement in storage and handling procedures. Cartons should be stored in a clean, dry place free from dust, moisture and air currents with particular attention to protection of opened cases.

The water supplies of many plants present a serious hazard to cottage cheese quality. Objectionable organisms may gain access to a water system in a number of ways including entrance through exposed open ends of water lines. Pseudomonas organisms are capable of moving through water lines and establishing themselves in the water system of a plant, because they are psychrophilic and are relatively non-fastidious with respect to nutrient requirements. High counts of undesirable organisms are frequently found in municipal and private water supplies which have been approved by public health inspection. There is an interesting relationship between the low coliform population and the significant lipolytic and proteolytic counts in the water samples examined in this work. Regardless of source, the dairy plant water supply should be examined frequently for all types of objectionable organisms and subjected to bactericidal treatment, if contaminated. Elliker (7) recommended the addition of 5 to 10 p.p.m. of chlorine to the water supplies of cottage cheese plants. Davis and Babel (5) reported that 8 of 15 slime producing organisms survived 1-minute exposure to 5 p.p.m. of chlorine. Marquardt (11) reported that 7.5 p.p.m. of available iodine added to water inhibited or retarded the organisms which commonly caused surface slime in cottage cheese. Collins (4) showed that pH and temperature are extremely important in the bactericidal treatment of dairy plant water supplies. The dairy plant water should be chlorinated close to the source in order to allow as much contact time as possible. Chlorination of the water at or near the vat is unsatisfactory. Davis and Babel (5) showed that chlorine is inactivated as soon as it comes in contact with the cheese. Extreme care must be exercised to avoid overchlorination because the cation of the chlorine compound may react with the curd to form a soft gelatinous surface. When the second wash water is refrigerated, the cooling equipment should be thoroughly sanitized. It is especially important that the washing process cool the curd as low as possible in order to minimize growth of spoilage and acid forming organisms during the interval prior to the time the cheese is placed under refrigeration. The introduction of insanitary water or steam hose, straining cloths, iron pipe, etc., into the vat during the cooking or washing operation should be avoided. A threaded sanitary cap should be used to cover the end of the water line when not in use.

The presence of spoilage organisms on vat surfaces and on various items of equipment was an important source of contamination. Sanitized equipment should be protected from splash and subjected to a chlorine solution of 100 p.p.m. immediately prior to use. Protection against condensate dripping from overhead pipes is necessary. Wooden equipment is virtually imposible to sanitize and should be discarded. Without exception, swabs of wooden equipment were extremely high in count.

SUMMARY

Seventeen vats of cottage cheese were manufactured in 12 commercial plants. Microbiological analyses were performed on samples of all raw materials and of the cheese at various stages of manufacture. When held at 50° F., representative samples from 10 of the vats possessed shelf-life ranging from 5 to 10 days and the shelf-life of samples from 7 vats ranged from 13 to 16 days. In the fresh cheese the logarithmic averages of the counts of coliform, lipolytic and proteolytic bacteria were 50, 1,298 and 1,967 percent greater in the group of samples with the short shelflife than in the group with the shelf-life of 13 to 16 days.

The initial organoleptic quality scores were only slightly higher in the group with the longer shelflife. The pH of the cheese and temperature at which the product is washed and handled are important factors in keeping quality. The shelf-life of samples at 42° F. averaged 51 percent longer than corresponding samples held at 50° F.

Improperly pasteurized milk and cream, coagulator, starter, water, air and contaminated equipment were found to be sources of spoilage organisms. Samples of several of the above caused spoilage when inoculated into sterile cheese and incubated at 21° C. for 4 days. Several water suppleis were found which contained high populations of lipolytic and proteolytic organisms, but were free of coliform bacteria which are commonly used as an index of acceptability for water supplies. The varied sources of spoilage organisms indicate the need for observance of proper pasteurization procedures, equipment sanitation, precaution in handling raw materials and necessity for proper bactericidal treatment of wash water.

References

- American Public Health Association. Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products. 10th ed. New York. 1953.
- Breed, R. S., Murray, E. G. D., and Hitchens, A. P. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology 6th ed. Williams and Wilkins Company. 1948.
- Collins, E. B. Factors involved in the control of gelatinous curd defects of cottage cheese. I. Storage temperature and pH. J. Milk and Food Technol. 18:189, 1955.
- Collins, E. B. Factors involved in the control of gelatinous curd defects of cottage cheese. II. Influence of pH and temperature on the bactericidal efficiency of chlorine. J. Milk and Food Technol. 18:189. 1955.
- Davis, P. A. and Babel, F. J. Slime formation of cottage cheese. J. Dairy Sci. 37:176. 1954.
- Deane, D. D., and Nelson, F. E. A study of cottage cheese quality. J. Dairy Sci. 36:573. 1953. (Abst.)
- Elliker, P. R. Fine points of sanitation that up cottage cheese quality. Food Eng. 26:79. 1954.
- 8. Harmon, L. G., and Smith, C. K. The influence of microbiological populations on the shelf-life of creamed cottage cheese. Mich. Agr. Exp. Sta, Quart. Bul. **38**:368. 1956.
- Long, H. F., and Hammer, B. W. Methods for the detection of lipolysis by micro-organisms. Ia. State Col. J. of Sci. 11:343, 1937.
- Lyons, P. R., and Mallmann, W. L. A bacteriological study of cottage cheese with particular reference to public health hazard. J. Milk and Food Technol. 17:372, 1954.
- Marquardt, J. C. Sanitary aspects of cottage cheese production. Milk Dealer. 43:82. 1954.
- Parker, R. B., Smith, V. N., and Elliker, P. R. Bacteria associated with a gelatinous or slimy curd defect of cottage cheese. J. Dairy Sci. 34:887. 1951.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT 3-A SANITARY STANDARDS FOR DAIRY EQUIPMENT

Editorial Note: Here is a discussion of the 3-A Standards program for dairy equipment which is presented in question and answer style and in greater detail than ever before attempted. This article, prepared largely through the efforts of T. L. Jones of the Dairy Industries Supply Association, Inc. staff, should serve to supply sanitarians everywhere with authentic and up-to-date information about this important program.

If you are a sanitarian, do you remember when you had to make Solomon-like rulings on the sanitary performance of dairy equipment — without having had the assurance that the manufacturer was fully aware of all the sanitary considerations involved in design and construction and without knowing whether the design was in accord with the views of other experienced men in your field?

If you are a dairy equipment manufacturer, do you remember when it was necessary to modify your equipment designs and unsettle your production schedules in order to meet differing sanitary specifications – often enforced in adjacent localities?

If you are a dairy processor, do you remember when you had to set aside or return to the manufacturer equipment which you had ordered in good faith, because once you received it, you found — for the first time — it did not meet all sanitary requirements for your area?

If you are a teacher of dairy technology, do you remember when you omitted teaching what constituted sanitation in design of dairy equipment because, generally, there was no uniformity of design?

You may not remember these things; for in recent years, thanks to the standards formulation procedures of the 3-A Sanitary Standards for Dairy Equipment program, sanitarians and dairy equipment manufacturers and dairy processors have met jointly to decide on standards of sanitary performance for numerous items of equipment.

If you've been active in the dairy industrial field for two decades or more, however, you probably remember these things only too well — and the memories are not pleasant ones, either! The industry veterans, in all branches, generally have a healthy respect and admiration for the 3-A Sanitary Standards program, because they know what it has done, what it continues to do, and how much better the future looks because of the hundreds of thousands of man-hours devoted over the years to the voluntary 3-A program. Because many of the new personnel entering the dairy industries today may not be fully aware of the 3-A program and its history; and because some manufacturers newly come to the equipment field may not know fully of the significance of the 3-A Symbol, the following questions and answers may make interesting – and worthwhile – reading for numerous men and women now engaged in or serving the dairy industries!

- Q. What is a 3-A Sanitary Standard?
- A. A 3-A Sanitary Standard for Dairy Equipment is a voluntary standard, developed by conferees representing sanitarians, equipment fabricators, dairy processors, and the U. S. Public Health Service. It covers features of sanitary design for the particular item of machinery or process referred to in the standard.
- Q. Why is it called "3-A"?
- A. The 3-A designation is a contraction of three associations. In the 1920's, two trade associations and one professional association formulated uniform standards for fittings used on milk pipe lines. The trade groups are now known as Milk Industry Foundation and Dairy Industries Supply Association; the professional group is now known as International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians. The standards for fittings evolved in those early days became popularly known as "3-A" standards. Since 1944, every major dairy processing group and the U. S. Public Health Service have participated in the formulation of the voluntary standards, which are still referred to, however, as 3-A Sanitary Standards.
- Q. Who develops a 3-A Sanitary Standard?
- A. Standards are formulated by the 3-A Sanitary Standards Committees — which regularly meet twice a year. They are:
 - 1. The Committee on Sanitary Procedure of International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians.
 - 2. The Sanitary Standards Subcommittee of Dairy Industry Committee, representing the following associations of processors – American Butter Institute, American Dry Milk Institute, Evaporated Milk Association, International Association of Ice Cream Manufacturers, Milk Industry Foundation, National Creameries Association and National Cheese Institute – and also representing the association of equippers and suppliers, Dairy Industries Supply Association.

¹For further information see J. Milk and Food Technology, 19: 188-191, 1956.

3. Representatives of the Milk and Food Program, Division of Sanitary Engineering Services, B. S. S., U. S. Public Health Service. Invited to a regular meeting of all the Committees, moreover, are representatives of *all* manufacturers of record (regardless of association affiliation) of equipment of the type or types under consideration there.

O. How are 3-A Sanitary Standards formulated?

A. The primary suggestion for a 3-A Sanitary Standard may come from anyone - public health officials, dairy processors, or equipment manufacturers. The suggestion may be communicated to any of the groups participating in the 3-A program which will pass it on to the Executive Committee of the 3-A Sanitary Standards Committees. If the suggestion is considered by the Executive Committee to have merit and timeliness, it is passed on in due course to the Technical Committee of Dairy Industries Supply Association. DISA's Technical Committee appoints a Task Committee of representatives of all known manufacturers of the equipment involved in the suggestion. The Task Committee develops a tentative draft of a standard which is sent, in suitable stages, to the appropriate committees and officers of Dairy Industry Committee, International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians, and U.S. Public Health Service. It should be noted that in these and the subsequent stages of the formulation procedure DISA does not act through, or as a part of, the Sanitary Standards Subcommittee of Dairy Industry Committee but separately as the medium through which the views of the equipment fabricators are presented. The three groups to which the tentative draft of a standard is presented by the DISA Task Committee suggest ehanges - often many changes! Sometimes, they even request a complete re-draft of the tentative standard which they have received. Their suggestions and recommendations are returned to the DISA Task Committee which adopts them or seeks a common ground for further consideration by all the groups of the matters that are involved.

Usually many re-writings are necessary before a tentative standard is drafted which merits discussion at a semi-annual meeting of the 3-A Sanitary Standards Committees. Frequently, even after a tentative sanitary standard has progressed that far, it may be sent back to a Task Committee for further work. If the tentative standard is agreed to by all participating parties at a semi-annual meeting then it is formally approved by:

1. The Chairman of the Committee on Sanitary Procedure of International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians;

- The Chief of the Milk and Food Program, Division of Sanitary Engineering Services, B. S. S., U. S. Public Health Service;
- 3. The Chairman of the Sanitary Standards Subcommittee of Dairy Industry Committee; and
- 4. The Chairman of the Technical Committee of Dairy Industries Supply Association.

Immediately following this, the 3-A Sanitary Standard is published in The Journal of Milk and Food Technology, and thousands of re-prints are circulated to all persons involved. Additionally, copies of each 3-A Sanitary Standard are maintained on file in the national headquarters of the major trade groups, and are always available to any interested party.

- Q. For what equipment are there currently 3-A Sanitary Standards?
- A. Seventeen standards have been approved and published, as follows:

Fittings Used on Milk Products Equipment Thermometer Fittings and Connections Storage Tanks (Revised) Milk Pumps Weigh Cans and Receiving Tanks Homogenizers Electric Motors and Motor Attachments Can-Type Milk Strainers Filters Using Disposable Filter Media Determining Holding Time of High-Temperature Short-**Time Pasteurizers** Plate Type Heat Exchangers Internal Return Tubular Heat Exchangers Installation and Cleaning of Cleaned-In-Place Pipelines Holding and/or Cooling Tanks Automotive Milk Transportation Tanks for Bulk Delivery and/or Farm Pick-up Service (Revised) Inlet and Outlet Leak Protector Plug Valves for Batch Pasteurizers Manually Operated Bulk Milk and Milk Products Dispensers, Multi-Service Milk Containers, and Dispensing Mechanisms Additionally, standards for numerous other items are now under consideration, including: Milk Evaporators Paper Bottle Fillers and Sealers Separators & Clarifiers Farm Holding and/or Cooling Tanks (Revised) Rubber and Rubber-like Materials

- ubber and Kubber-
- Ice Cream Freezers
- Coin-Operated Bulk Milk Vending Machines

Suggested Procedure for Installing HTST Pasteurizers

- Q. How can a prospective buyer or inspecting sanitarian determine whether a piece of equipment complies with existing 3-A Sanitary Standards?
- A. There are two possible ways: (a) the buyer or sanitarian can look for a 3-A Symbol, which may be applied or affixed by the manufacturer to equipment now covered by existing standards, provided the manufacturer has received authorization from

the 3-A Sanitary Standards Symbol Administrative Council to apply or affix the symbol; or (b) if the symbol is not readily discovered he can inquire of the equipment manufacturer whether the equipment does comply with the existing pertinent 3-A standard, and he can obtain copies of the relevant standard or standards against which carefully to check the equipment himself.

- Q. What is the 3-A Sanitary Standards Symbol Administrative Council?
- A. (Before answering, the editors wish to remark that colloquially, and for correspondence purposes, the name of the Council is frequently shortened to "The 3-A Symbol Council.") The 3-A Symbol Council is the body of eight persons which authorizes the use of the 3-A Symbol on complying dairy equipment, or acts appropriately in an unlikely instance of abuse of the Symbol's purpose. Four of the Council members are representatives of International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians; two are representatives of processors, chosen by the Sanitary Standards Subcommittee of Dairy Industry Committee; and two are representatives of equipment manufacturers, chosen by the Technical Committee of Dairy Industries Supply Association. C. A. Abele, 2617 Hartzell Street, Evanston, Illinois, currently is Secretary-Treasurer of this body.
- Q. How in greater detail does the 3-A Symbol Council proceed?
- A. Under carefully developed by-laws it:
 - 1. Receives and processes applications from equipment manufacturers desiring to use the 3-A Symbol,
 - 2. Grants authority for the use of the 3-A Symbol on dairy equipment which is acceptably certified by the manufacturer to comply with applicable 3-A Sanitary Standards,
 - 3. Publishes the names of manufacturers to whom, and for which types of equipment, such authority has been granted,
 - 4. Investigates, and takes appropriate action, in instances of alleged improper or unauthorized use of the 3-A Symbol.
- Q. What does the 3-A Symbol look like?
- A. Like this:



- Q. What is the procedure to be followed in obtaining permission to use the 3-A Symbol?
- A. This is the procedure to follow:
 - 1. Manufacturers desiring to apply the 3-A Symbol to their equipment will request from the Secretary of the Council (C. A. Abele, 2617 Hartzell Street, Evanston, Ill.) the proper application forms, on the reverse side of which will appear detailed instructions for each manufacturer to follow.
 - 2. Application can then be made, on the supplied forms, for authorization to use the 3-A Symbol. A separate application is made for each type of equipment on which it is desired to place the symbol. Each application must be accompanied by full data and sworn certification, and also by an initial annual fee for the authorization (\$25.00 for each type of equipment).
 - 3. Within thirty days of receipt of applications which are in order, authorization for use of the 3-A Symbol will be issued. This authorization will be valid for one year.
 - 4. Authorizations may be renewed four times without re-filing applications, except in the event that the existing 3-A Sanitary Standards have been amended; in that case, a new application must be filed.
 - 5. Names of manufacturers to which authorizations have been issued are published in The Journal of Milk and Food Technology.
- Q. Once a 3-A Sanitary Standard has been developed, does that mean that no further sanitary refinements in it are possible, probable or expected?
- A. No! A 3-A Sanitary Standard does not purport to fix a "ceiling" on sanitary effectiveness of design or performance in equipment.

Moreover, even though a 3-A Sanitary Standard exists, it may, as sanitary science, equipment designing and manufacturing efficiencies and dairy processing techniques advance, be amended or modified at any time, through the same channels of procedure along which the original standard has taken form. Naturally, in a vital, living field of industry, science and technology, progress is a constant goal. Progress causes, or comes from, change. The 3-A Sanitary Standards are not limiters of progress.

- Q. Has the 3-A program proved its worth?
- A. Definitely! Many endorsements of it have been willingly given by responsible industry leaders.
- Q. What is the nature of these "endorsements"?
- A. Here are some representative quotes from letters in the files of the 3-A Sanitary Standards Committees.

A processor writes: "A processor desires the assurance the equipment he is buying will, first of all, be acceptable to sanitarians and other authorities governing sanitary requirements. The 3-A approval puts some stability into what is accepted and what is not. The money outlay for equipment these days is tremendous and as operators, we have to have some assurance that the equipment will not be obsolete from the sanitary standpoint after a short period of use. The 3-A seal gives the operator the assurance that the equipment has been designed and built using a breadth of experience."

Another *processor* writes: "Milk dealers, in buying equipment, know that if it bears a 3-A Symbol, it will be approved by their local sanitarians. They also know that they are buying equipment which has been built in accordance with standards that have received close scrutiny and approval by the finest engineers and technologists, in their own industry. In many cases, the equipment has been improved, performance-wise, by this means. The medium which 3-A provides for the interchange of ideas among sanitarians, processors and equipment manufacturers cannot do otherwise than to result in better equipment."

Another *processor* writes: "There are many reasons why processors should look for assurance that equipment they buy should *at least* meet 3-A Sanitary Standards. The 3-A program has tended to take the burden of faulty sanitary design of equipment 'off the backs' of the processing industry where equipment design problems were involved. It has placed responsibility on the fabricators where it belongs . . . I feel it has created a tendency to reduce the manufacture of poor equipment . . . To sum up, the processor can't help getting a better break if he insists on 3-A standardized equipment."

Another *processor* writes: "The purchasing departments and engineering representatives of dealers and processors should be acquainted with the purposes of the 3-A Sanitary Standards Committees and the recognition of the 3-A Symbol which is emblematic of compliance to standards of sanitary design. The 3-A Committees, acting as a body, have minimized confusion relative to accepted standards of sanitary design."

A large city's *Department of Health officer* writes: "The 3-A Symbol on an item of dairy equipment serves as an index or guide to its acceptability. This is particularly true in the case of new types of equipment, or newer models of recognized equipment. The 3-A standards represent the collective thinking of people from all parts of the country and from every segment of the dairy industry and regulatory groups. While standards are not absolute, they serve as a good guide for all concerned."

A state Department of Agriculture official writes:

"Published 3-A Sanitary Standards have been of tremendous aid in identifying new or replacement equipment as to sanitary design and proper installation. They have helped us avoid equipment or type of installation which may have been impractical or of poor design or of unsatisfactory material. The 3-A program eliminates duplication and promotes uniformity as representatives of all phases of the dairy industry, equipment manufacturers and official personnel work together. The program prevents confusion and delay, and lends valuable aid to all groups in the field."

A southern city's *Health Department Officer* writes: "A 3-A Symbol on an item of dairy equipment immediately tells the sanitarian that the equipment is probably satisfactory — that it 'conforms to the requirements of the state and the recommendations contained in the USPHS Milk Ordinance and Code. All sanitarians agree that the published standards are a great guide for them to follow in inspecting equipment."

An *extension specialist* at a major dairy school writes: "To a public health interested person, the 3-A Symbol on dairy equipment assures him of quality merchandise, easy cleanability, and standards of perfection, as we now know them, of equipment."

A Deputy Commissioner of Agriculture of a state writes: "During the years just before and after the 3-A program started, there existed wide differences of opinion among sanitarians and others as to what constituted an approved piece of dairy equipment. Some sanitarians or health departments objected to the use of equipment which others had approved. There might be chaos in a producing area that supplied milk or cream to different cities or states. The 3-A Committees became the one body which was able to agree, or to resolve their differences if at first they disagreed, so that standards for material, fabrication and usefulness were satisfactory to all concerned."

A deputy health commissioner of a major midwestern city writes: "To me, the 3-A Symbol on a piece of dairy equipment indicates that the equipment has been made by a responsible company which is interested in marketing equipment that can be readily cleaned and that is made according to sanitary standards. The symbol means to me that this equipment has been produced in compliance with the best thinking in the manufacturing company, the industry that uses the equipment and public health engineers. The symbol means to me that I as a sanitarian can accept this equipment without reservations and without conducting lengthy investigations. It means to me that men better qualified than I have studied the equipment and that its construction is in compliance with the best judgment of these men which qualifies it for use within my jurisdiction. The 3-A Symbol

also means to me the protection of the industry from the idiosyncrasy of the individual sanitarian. The will of the majority of recognized expert sanitarians governs, rather than the wishes of a well-meaning but misguided minority."

A milk consultant to a state Board of Health writes: "The 3-A program represents the best collective thinking and action on the part of the group of individuals, both from industry and control agencies. The 3-A Symbol is beginning to create a confidence in the minds of the public health officials concerned for the item of dairy equipment which bears the symbol. Public health officials realize that a terrific amount of time and study has been devoted to dairy equipment bearing this symbol, which individual officials might have neither the knowledge nor the time to give such study to themselves."

An official in the Milk and Food Program, U. S. Public Health Service writes: "Dairy equipment designed and constructed in accordance with 3-A Sanitary Standards conforms with the criteria set forth in item 10p of the Milk Ordinance and Code – 1953 Recommendations of the Public Health Service, which has been adopted by more than 2000 local jurisdictions. The 3-A Symbol provides a means whereby State and local public health officials can immediately recognize equipment which has been manufactured in compliance with such standards and which may be accepted with confidence."

A dairy technologist on the staff of an international trade group writes: "The 3-A Symbol assures recognition by regulatory officials that a piece of equipment meets a standard in the formulation of which they have shared, either directly or indirectly, through their professional organization. The 3-A Symbol is the basis for reciprocity of acceptance by health officials throughout the country, by virtue of which further costly inspection of equipment fabrication at the source is eliminated.",

A manufacturer of dairy equipment writes: "The 3-A Symbol to the dairy equipment manufacturer is, in part, an insurance policy. It assures the manufacturer that by manufacturing to the set standards, his equipment will be acceptable from the sanitary standpoint by both the user and the sanitarian. The use of the 3-A Symbol also acts as an incentive to the dairy equipment manufacturer to produce equipment having even higher standards than those established by the 3-A Standard, assuring continued improvements in the sanitary aspects of dairy machinery. Undoubted-

ly, the 3-A program has been of real value to the dairy industry. It has tended to standardize machinery along sound sanitary lines, which mean lower manufacturing costs. The days of custom-built, extremely expensive machinery to suit individual sanitarians' whims and fancies are probably now a thing of the past."

Another manufacturer of dairy equipment writes: "The 3-A program has been of definite economic value to the dairy industry but has in no way reached its ulitmate potential in this respect. The 3-A program should be of the most definite economic value to the user or purchaser of equipment and should allow him to obtain completely satisfactory equipment quickly and at the lowest possible price. To the manufacturer, there is value in standardization in lower cost of manufacture and administration throughout his operation. Finally, the 3-A program should make possible quicker, more effective and cheaper regulatory control. All three of these factors may eventually result in a cheaper product at the consumer level."

Another *dairy equipment manufacturer* writes: "The 3-A program has meant standardization, and there is probably no one other thing that is of greater importance to a manufacturer in his factory operation. It means efficient use of time and engineering talent; it provides production efficiency; it reduces inventories. Standardized methods result in the production of better equipment that provides the processor with better equipment at lower service and up-keep costs."

- Q. If a person desires more information about specific aspects of the 3-A Sanitary Standards for Dairy Equipment program, where should he turn?
- To the headquarters of any national dairy indus-А. trial trade association; or, more specifically, to C. A. Abele, Secretary-Treasurer of the 3-A Symbol Council, 2617 Hartzell Street, Evanston, Ill., on matters relating to the use of the 3-A Symbol; to the Secretary of the Technical Committee of Dairy Industries Supply Association, 1145 19th Street, N. W., Washington 6, D. C., on matters pertaining to equipment design or fabrication; to the Chairman of the Sanitary Standards Subcommittee of Dairy Industry Committee, Barr Building, Washington, D. C. on matters of especial pertinence to equipment users; and to the Executive Secretary of International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians, P. O. Box 437, Shelbyville, Indiana, to purchase published copies of existing 3-A Sanitary Standards.

AFFILIATES OF International Association of Milk and Food Sanitarians

American Indian Sanitarians ASSOCIATION

Pres., Joseph MedinaBernallilo, N. M. 1st. Vice-Pres., Thomas J. Stevens

Packer, Arizona 2nd. Vice-Pres., John Adams

Sec.-Treas., Frank C. Estes

Auditor: Verlyn Owen

...... Rosebud, South Dakota ARIZONA ASSOCIATION OF

ARIZONA ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS Pres., Claude C. Cox Cave Creek Pres.-Elect, George R. Griffin.....Douglas Sec.-Treas., Henry Ware, 2237 N. 14th Place, Phoenix. Executive Board

Glen C. Lester John W. Beakley

Associated Illinois Milk Sanitarians Pres., Harry Cohen Chicago Pres.-Elect, Paul N. Hanger, Springfield

1st. Vice Pres., Stephen J. Conway 2nd. Vice Pres., Robert W. Coe Rock Island Sec.-Treas. P. Edward Bile

Sec.-Treas., P. Edward Riley, Illinois Dept. of Public Health, 1800 W.

Fillmore St., Chicago. Sergeant-at-Arms, John C. Flake,

Evanston Executive Board Members

C. A. Abele Evanston Harold B. Richie Chicago

Auditors

Mrs. Betty Cunningham Chicago Howard McGuire Champaign CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY

- AND MILK SANITARIANS Pres., Saul Gavurin, City of L. A. 1st-Vice- Pres., E. R. Eickner, State Agriculture
- 2nd-Vice-Pres., W. Wedell, Riverside County
- Sec.-Treas., Elmo Moen, County of L. A. Auditors:
 - Bernard Pfaff, Orange County T. Therp, Santa Clara County

Regional Officers:

.U. Hart, Yolo County H. Sartori, State Department of Agriculture

CONNECTICUT ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY & FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., G. Emerson Sartain Hartford Vice-Pres., Eaton E. Smith Hartford Sec., H. Clifford Goslee,

DAIRY SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION OF THE DEL-MAR-VA PENNINSUALA

Pres., Stephen RaczGoldsboro, Md. Vice Pres., Dr. Harry G. Ricker, Jr.

Sec. R. J. Weaver Oxford, Pa.

Treas., Dr. J. M. Jaqueth Betterton, Md. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., H. H. Rothe Gainesville Vice-Pres., S. O. Noles Jacksonville Sec.-Treas., H. H. Wilkowske, Dept. of Dairy Science Univ. of Florida,

Gainesville.

Past Pres., C. O. Stoy Miami

irectors:

J. H. Baker	Ft. Pierce
W. A. Krienke	Gainesville
I. D. Robinson	Plant City

J. S. Massey Pensacola

L. A. Scribner Orlando Laboratory Section Chairman: Mary F. Schmoker, Borden's Dairy, Orlando

GEORGIA CHAPTER OF THE

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS, INC.

Pies. Garnett DeHart,Macon Vice-Pres. Raymond Summerlin.....

.....Swainsboro Sec.-Treas. J. J. SheuringAthens Board of Directors:

N. E. Region....Charles Bryant, Winder N. W. Region....Elco Morris, Atlanta Central Region....O. B. Davis

LaGrange S. W. Region....R. O. Duitman, Albany

S. E. Region....C. C. Russell, Waycross

IDAHO SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION

Pres., C. J. HammondBoise Ist Vice-Pres., Orlando DalkeBoise Sec.-Treas., C. E. Cotton, Idaho Dept.,

Public Health, Box 640, Boise

INDIANA ASSOCIATION OF

MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS Pres., Edmund H. Stoy,

.New Albany Pres.-Elect, David E. Hartley

..... Indianapolis 1st Vice-Pres., William Komenick,

.... Gary

2nd Vice-Pres., Harold S. Adams, Indianapolis

Sec., Karl K. Jones, 1330 W. Michigan St., Indianapolis

Auditors:

Robert W. Webb Bloomington J. Wendell Ballard New Albany IOWA ASSOCIATION OF

MILK SANITARIANS

Pres., Burt Haglan Cedar Rapids Vice-Pres., Lyle Cunningham

Sec.-Treas., Ray Belknap, State Health Dept., Des Moines, Iowa.

Executive Board:

Dr. M. P. Baker Ames Grover Seeberger Mason City

KANSAS ASSOCIATION OF MILK SANITARIANS

Pres., Kenneth Tichnor Topeka

Vice-Pres., Dean Duke Marion Sec.-Treas., Frank L. Kelley, Kansas State Board of Health, Topeka

Auditors: Sam HooverJunction City

David MonkWichita KENTUCKY ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., Louis SmithLouisville Vice-Pres., Frank K. BallNewport Sec.-Treas., Frank H. Osborn, 1051 East Main St., Louisville.

Directors:

J. M. DurbinLouisville Phil WilsonLexington

T. R. FreemanLexington A. P. BellLouisville L. E. MayhughLouisville

MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF SANITABIANS

Pres., Dr. Clyde Smith East Lansing

Room 207, Lansing. Corresponding Sec., L. G. Harmon Mich. State U. Dairy Dept. East Lansing

Directors:

Past Pres., Dale Brooks Flint
Kenneth Kerr Grand Rapids
Harold Skeoch Coral
Ferris Smith Kalamazoo
Armiu Roth Wyandotte

MINNESOTA MILK SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION

Ires., E. C. OmundsonAlbert Lea Vice-Pres., J. J. JezeskiSt, Paul Sec.-Treas., G. H. Steele, Minnesota Department of Agriculture, 515 State Office Building, St. Paul, Minnesota

Directors:

Herman BirdsallSt. Paul Merton HeadAlbert Lea Chester NessLitchfield R. A. NovakNew Ulm O. M. OstenSt. Paul Alfred RatzlaffRochester

MISSOURI ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., Bernie Hartman Kansas City

1st Vice- Pres., Vernon Cupps .. Lebanon

2nd Vice Pres., O. D. Niswonger, Jr.

Sec.-Treas., John H. McCutchen, Director Bureau of Food and Drugs Division of Health, Jefferson City, Mo.

Auditors:

Gerald Cook Fredericktown, Mo Tom Baker 38 Topping Lane,

Kirkwood, Missouri

NEW YORK STATE ASSOCIATION OF MILK SANITARIANS

Pres., James C. White Ithaca Pres.-Elect, George H. Hopson

William O. Skinner White Plains Robert W. MetzgerSycracuse

Walter H. Grunge New York City

....Salem

OREGON ASSOCIATION OF MILK

SANITARIANS

Vice-Pres., Roy SteinCorvallis

Sec.-Treas., Archie Miner, 568 Olive Street, Eugene, Oregon, Eugene

PENNSYLVANIA DAIRY SANITARIANS

ASSOCIATION Pres., Clarence MossPhiladelphia

Vice-Pres., Walter E. Arnold ... Vanderbilt Sec., William H. Boyd, P. O. Box 80, Huntingdon, Pa. Treas., Clark D. Herbster, ...Selinsgrove

Spencer GeorgeTillamook

Kenneth CarlSalem

Pres., Ross Coleman

Farmers Creamery

Directors

Sec.-Treas., C. W. Weber, 18 Dove St., Albany 6, New York. Members of the Board: Fred E. Uetz Brooklyn William O. Skinner, William D.

RHODE ISLAND ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., Vincent P. Boylan Providence Vice-Pres., Dr. James W. Cobble

Sec.-Treas., Dr. R. M. Parry, 158 Greenwich Avenue, Warwick, R.I.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., Wayne W. Stell Albuquerque, N. M.

- Pres.-Elect, William E. Polzen Denver, Colo.
- 1st. Vice-Pres., Carl B. Rasmussen Sheridan, Wyo.
- Sec.-Treas., John E. Quinn, Wyoming State Dept. of Health, Cheyenne, Wyo.

Auditors:

Carl J. Yeager Greely, Colo. Paul Freebarin..Salt Lake City, Utah.

SANITATION SECTION TEXAS PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION

Chairman, Carl H. Scholle Dallas Vice-Chairman, Don Shaddox Fort Worth Secretary, David H. Evans Austin

Section Council:

L. M	. 110mai		U yr
W. W	V. Clarkson		(2yr)
Lige	Fox		(lyr)
SOUTH	CAROLINA	ASSOCIATION	OF

SANITARIANS, INC. Pres., T. P. Anderson Columbia Vice Pres., Marshall Hildebrand

Sumter Sec.-Treas., C. W. Harrell, P. O. Box 5302, Five Points Station, Columbia. Directors:

James H. Moore	. Charleston
J .D. Kirby	Greenwood
W. W. Vincent	Conway
Alan Kolb	. Columbia
Rudolph McCormack	. Ridgeland
J. P. Still	Orangeburg

South Dakota Association of Sanitarians

Pres., Howard FroilandAberdeen Pres.-Elect. Charles HalloranPierre Sec.-Treas., Louis E. Remily

......State Dept. of Health, Pierre Executive Board

Past Pres., Ira DeHaaiSpearfish Elected member, Ray Kallemeyn Sioux Falls

TENNESSEE ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS

Pres., E. C. SeatonJonesboro Pres.-Elect, John P. Montgomery

Sec.-Treas., Elmer Glenn Smith, 1321 Catawba Street, Kingsport

Auditors:

T. Carl MartinNashville Raymond B. MedleyKnoxville

VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

Pres., M. K. Cook Roanoke

First Vice Pres., E. H. Phillippe Danville

SecVice Pres., T. L. Anderson
SecTreas., J. F. Pace, State Dept. of
Health, State Office Bldg.,
Richmond
Auditors:
A. L. Lewis Richmond
J. W. Moschler Richmond
And Street W. M. David According to the
WASHINGTON MILK SANITARIANS

Association Pres., C. R. O'Connor Bellingham Pres.-Elect, James C. Greenway, Seattle Sec.-Treas., Frank W. Logan, City Health Dept. Public Safety, Bldg. Seattle

Dept., Public Safety Bldg., Seattle. Auditors:

Howard Copenhaver Pullman George Andrews Seattle

WISCONSIN ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS

James T. Judd Shawno Alvin Noth Reedsburg Sec.-Treas., L. Wayne Brown, 421 Chemistry Bldg., U. of Wis. Madison

Total Sanitation In a Spoon

OWD Ritespoon is unique and revolutionary in its field because it provides the shape and utility of metal ware in a spoon that retains appearance and serviceability through one use only. Both sanitarian and patron know when they see it that it meets all requirements of "Sanitary Single Service".

"Single-service" Spoons that can be used several times without revealing prior use by altered appearance or utility produce a problem in food service sanitation and a suspicion in the public mind.

SELL ...

- MADE OF HARDWOOD ONLY.
- FASHIONED AND FINISHED BY AUTOMATIC MACHINES.
- PACKED UNDER STRICT SANITARY CONTROL.
- UNIQUE CHARACTER OF PACKAGING AVOIDS CONTAMINATION IN THE STORAGE AND HANDLING.
- ONE USE ALONE SO ALTERS ITS APPEARANCE AND UTILITY THAT IT CANNOT BE SERVED A SECOND TIME.
- THERE CAN BE NO SUSPICION OF MULTI-USE AFTER SUPERFICIAL WASHING.

SODA TEA SOUP DEMI

OWD Ritespoons and OWD Ritefork are available from responsible wholesalers everywhere. They are served by the better fountains, driveins, curb and send-out food service establishments. We will gladly send you samples and data.

NT BE SEMI-SANITARY...SERVE OWD RITESPOONS

Write for samples ... Ask your wholesaler. OVAL WOOD DISH CORPORATION Tupper Lake, N. Y. • Graybar Bldg., New York 17, N.Y. 506 So. Wash Ave, Chicago 5. III. The Oval Wood Dish Company of Canada, Lid., Industrial Center No. 5—Quebec, P. Q.

CLASSIFIED ADS

FOR SALE: Single service milk sampling tubes. For further information, please, write: Bacti-Kit Co. 2945 Hilyard Street, Eugene, Oregon.

FOR SALE: New fiberglass insulated sample case with individual compartment for each bottle and a convenient carrying bail. Bacti-Kit Company, Box 101, Eugene, Oregon.

POSITIONS AVAILABLE

SANITARIAN I - Positions available in generalized program including environmental health, milk and food inspection, housing hygiene, etc.; comprehensive inservice training program. Starting salary \$4500 per year. Civil Service benefits include 2 weeks vacation, 20 days of sick leave per year and health and welfare payments. Requirements are as follows: (1) Bachelors degree with major in sanitary science, food technology, dairy technology, engineering, or public health with specialty in sanitary science; or (2) Bachelors degree with major in chemistry, bacteriology, or biological sciences and one year of experience in a generalized environmental sanitation program or a Masters degree. Dept. of Public Health, Room 503, City Hall Annex. Phila. 7, Pa.

WANTED: Milk Inspector. \$320.00 to \$370.00. Permanent work, City of Des Moines, Iowa. Contact Personnel Office, City Hall. Our DESIGN gives you more Cooling Surface!

The Steinhorst curves give you more cooling surface under less milk ... more efficient cooling, faster cooling and more economy in operation. It may cost you a little more to own a Steinhorst Bulk Cooler because they cost more to produce, but the little extra brings you many returns year after year! HIGHEST COOLING EFFICIENCY WITH ANY MILK LOAD!

DIRECT EXPANSION SELF-CONTAINED COOLER Available in 150, 200, 300 and 400 gal. sizes

Also available for Remote Unit installation in 150 to 1000 gallon sizes.

Steinhorst

EMIL STEINHORST & SONS, Inc. DEPT. 76-N • UTICA 3, NEW YORK Since 1908!

BULK MILK Coolers

WRITE FOR DETAILS!



CYSTINE TRYPTICASE AGAR

for maintenance of stock cultures. Brucella Identification Card sent on request

BALTIMORE BIOLOGICAL LABORATORY, INC.

A Division of Becton, Dickinson & Co. BALTIMORE 18, MD.



milk sanitation hits a new high . . .

Stainless Steel cans in a refrigerated dispenser

Thousands of restaurants have found that milk sales and profits go up when milk is served from a refrigerated bulk milk dispenser. The milk tastes better since it is always kept ice-cold.

To further protect milk quality, many dairies are now stocking the dispensers with Stainless Steel bulk milk cans. Stainless cans are much more durable. But most important: Handling of milk in Stainless Steel containers is the most sanitary method known to man.

Careful research* shows that Stainless Steel has the lowest bacteria retention of any commercially practical metal, and it's the most easily cleaned of all the metals.

Mail the coupon, and we'll send more information on bulk milk dispensers.

*Source and data will be mailed upon request.

and the state of

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION, PITTSBURGH AMERICAN STEEL & WIRE DIVISION, CLEVELAND COLUMBIA-GENEVA STEEL DIVISION, SAN FRANCISCO NATIONAL TUBE DIVISION, PITTSBURGH TENNESSEE COAL & IRON DIVISION, FAIRFIELD, ALA.



UNITED STATES STEEL SUPPLY DIVISION, WAREHOUSE DISTRIBUTORS UNITED STATES STEEL EXPORT COMPANY, NEW YORK

STEEL STAINLESS USS

SHEETS · STRIP · PLATES · BARS · BILLETS · TUBES . WIRE . SPECIAL SECTIONS

_	
, , ,2	Agricultural Extension United States Steel Corporation, Room 5324 525 William Penn Place, Pittsburgh 30, Pa.
	Please send me information about Stainless Steel bulk milk dispensers.
	Name
	Title
	Company
	Street
	City Zone State
1	INITED STATES STEEL
[s	INTED STATES STEEL

ero

T-20

...the shape of tanks to come!

In-Place Cleaning Is Testing Out Good In Farm Tanks

Help The Dairyman Visualize What Will Be Required In The Future



Only A Round Tank Can Have All These Advantages:

- 1. In-place cleaning
- 2. The strength to hold calibration with the simplicity of vacuum
- 3. Pour in milk or use Super Strainer
- 4. Stamped lids, dust and bug tight,

that will fit in wash vat 682-I Duncan Avenue, Washington, Missouri

Zero SALES CORPORATION

682-1 Duncan Avenue Washington, Missouri



"At no other time, at no other place, has there ever been quite such a comprehensive Dairy Industries Exposition "

20thDAIRYINDUSTRIESEXPOSITIONATLANTIC CITY, N. J.OCT. 29-NOV. 3

SUPERIOR Pails and Strainers

Milk

House

Utility Pail

Standard

Open Pail with Bottom Band

last longer

Seamless, solderless, easily cleaned Superior pails stand up under hardest wear. Gleaming smooth surfaces provide easier, more thorough cleaning and sanitation. Available with or without sturdy welded steelhoop for added bottom protection. 8, 10, 12 and 14 quart sizes. Milk House Utility Pails are designed for easy handling of milk from milker to farm holding tanks.

Dairy barn tested and approved Superior strainers assure thorough, fast straining and filtering. Low cost, easy cleaning and sterilizing make these preferred by dairies from coast to coast.



Visit us at the Traymore Hotel or Booth M-9, Dairy Industries Exposition, October 29 — November 3, Convention Hall, Atlantic City



INDEX TO ADVERTISERS

American Seal-Kap Corp XVI
Baltimore Biological Laboratories X
Bowey's, Inc
Creamery Package Mfg. Co Inside Back Cover
Cherry-Burrell, Corp II
Dairy Industry Supply Association XII
Difco Laboratories Back Cover
Diversey Corp XIV
Emil Steinhorst & Sons, Inc IX
Johnson & Johnson I
John Wood Co XII
Klenzade Products, Inc XVI
Lazarus Laboratories – Division West
Disinfectant Co XIII
Mojonnier-Dawson Co VIII
Oakite Products, Inc X
Oval Wood Dish Corp
Penn Salt Mfg. Co IV
Rohm & Haas, Inc VI
Schwartz Mfg. Co VII
Sparta Brush Co XIII
Standard Steel Works V
The Hiel Co XIV
Tri-Clover-Div. of Laddish Co Inside Front Cover
U. S. Steel Corp X
Zero Sales Corp XI

The Industry's "Best Buy" For Wear



No. 358RB ALL-WHITE NYLON "BULKER" Heavy hefty tufts of stiff Du Pont nylon. Specal "hi-flare" end tuft design provides complete circle of working bristles.

Will Outlast Up to Several **Dozen Fiber** Brushes



SPARTA

All White Nylon With Indestructible White Plastic Blocks

white plastic block ma-terial is satin smooth, completely impervious to water and cleaning chem-

icals. Won't chip, split, or crack the perfect block for a perfect brush.

Sparta

"Exclusive"

No. 45 "Hercules" All White Clean-Up

Sturdiest most rugged brush ever designed for general cleaning. It's proof against practically everything including wear. Made of the perfect combination of Sparta "Exclusive" white plastic-like block and genuine Du Pont white Nylon "Tynex" bristles. Thick heavy tufts are set at the correct power angle and "deep-anchored" — never a danger of loose or straggly strands. Contour grip handle is balanced for maximum work power and manipulation. Saves many dollars annually over ordinary brushes.

Featured By Jobbers Everywhere



Sparta, Wisconsin

SPARTA BRUSH CO. INC.



Manufactured by Lazarus Laboratories Inc. Division of West Disinfecting Co., 42-16 West St., Long Island City 1, N.Y. YOUR REGULAR SUPPLIER AVAILABLE FROM OUR BRANCHES IN PRINCIPAL CITIES OR THROUGH



One of the 160 million reasons why we like our job

The constant search for better sanitation in dairy and other food products is helping build healthier Americans. And here at Diversey, we consider it a privilege to be associated with these increasingly higher standards of purity and quality.

It's a fact that today your high professional standards of sanitation are achieved more efficiently than was the case just a relatively few years ago. Diversey's constant research and product improvement in cooperation with sanitation authorities is helping to make possible *better* sanitation at lower costs. That's why farmers, dairymen, food processors everywhere depend on a wide range of Diversey products for hundreds of specialized jobs.

Our experience in "the science of sanitation" is always available to sanitarians and food technologists. Call on your nearby Diversey D-Man, one of our trained corps of sanitation specialists. Or write if we can be of help to you. The DIVERSEY Corporation, 1820 Roscoe Street, Chicago 13, Ill.









3-COMPARTMENT CABINET HELPS HEIL TANKS DELIVER PURE, CLEAN, COLD MILK

The separate sample compartment is closed except when taking samples. Outside air and dust are sealed out. All three compartments are lined with stainless steel. Doors are of airtight, non-warping FRIGID-LITE* plastic with snap-on gaskets for easy cleaning. Heat from the electric motor never reaches the milk pump or sample compartments because the walls are insulated.

Sanitary Design is Another Reason Why More Milk Goes To Market in HEIL Tanks Than in All Others Combined!

ROUTE-PROVED HEIL FEATURES

Dent-proof stainless steel manhole and stainless steel dust cover last for the life of the tank. Only one flat, easy-toclean gasket.

Insulated plastic sample chest opens from the top—keeps cold air from running out—no sweating.

Exclusive triple-dished, die-formed heads for tank strength lightweight . . . added payload.

Nationwide stainless steel service facilities.

Backed by Heil-builders of the first stainless steel transport.

55 years of transport tank leadership.



MTF-61

Dept. 3136, 3031 W. Montana Street, Milwaukee 1, Wis. Factories: Milwaukee, Wis. • Hillside, N. J. • Lancaster, Pa.

Other HEIL products for the Dairy Industry—FRIGID-LITE* Plastic Farm Bulk Pickup Tanks. Stainless Steel and FRIGID-LITE* Plastic Milk Trailerized Transport Tanks. Rectangular and Cylindrical Milk Storage and Cooling Tanks.

Thanks! Inspector... FOR THE JOB YOU HAVE DONE...AND FOR YOUR CONTINUING EFFORTS TO KEEP QUALITY FIRST!

In our business, sanitation is a most vital aspect of quality. While we as manufacturers undertake the necessary research and inspection to keep DARI-RICH at the top in quality . . . it is your important function to *maintain* such standards in the field.

And these efforts over the years have greatly increased the quality of dairy products, including the nationally-famous DARI-RICH Chocolate Flavored Milk and Drink. For your help, we thank you—and endorse your constant vigilance to protect the health of our nation.



AS LONG AS THE MILK LASTS... SO DOES THE PROTECTION

• Seal-Hood and Seal-Kap closures positively protect milk *before*, *during*, *and after* delivery. Both cap and seal are combined in one sturdy unit that resists all contaminants.

With Seal-Hood and Seal-Kap, the pouring lip of the bottle is completely protected from the moment the bottle leaves the filling bowl. And this full sanitary protection continues in delivery. In the home, these practical, attractive closures open easily and snap tightly back on the bottle. That means freshness right down to the last drop, plus easy handling convenience.

Dairymen, too, find that Seal-Hood and Seal-Kap closures bring savings impossible to gain with ordinary caps ...single-operation savings in time, milk loss and maintenance.

AMERICAN SEAL-KAP CORP. 11-05 44th DRIVE LONG ISLAND CITY 1, N. Y.



IN BACTERICIDES

KLENZADE X-4 SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE SOLUTION

New and improved — nearly 40% more bactericidal : power at no increase in price.

Sodium Hypochlorite content increased from 4.62% to 6.4%.

Saves money — goes one-third again as far.

Lower pH, kinder to hands — use dilutions are noninjurious to equipment.

Leaves no film, sediment, or deposits — rinses clear and free — easily diluted — always ready for use.

One of America's most popular and widely used safe bactericides for dairy farms and plants.

KLENZADE PRODUCTS, INC. Branch Offices and Warehouses Throughout America BELOIT WISCONSIN





The CP Series 60 Pressure Wall Tank gives you multi-tank flexibility with single tank operating economy. You can "adjust" operations instantly to changing demands in capacity or rate of processing. Large or small batches can be processed efficiently with minimum heating or cooling medium. The heavy duty, sectionalized stainless steel pressure jacket permits circulation of water for heating or cooling as you need it, through one or more zones or throughout the tank. The Series 60



MFG. COMPANY General and Export Offices: 1243 W. Washington Blvd., Chicago 7, III. DAIRY PROCESSING **Branches in 23 Principal Cities**



EQUIPMENT

is also adaptable for heating with steam. Capacities, 200 to 1000 gallons.

In the Series 60 you get the skill and craftsmanship in working and fabricating stainless steel that has been identified with CP for generations. It's an extra quality feature you get when you choose CP. Ask your CP Representative for full facts on the CP Series 60 Pressure Wall Tankor write for Bulletin B-1129.



Select ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS with BACTO-SENSITIVITY DISKS

DIFCO

years' satisfactory clinical response to therapeutic agents selected with Bacto-Sensitivity Disks has demonstrated their *accuracy*, *dependability* and *rapidity*.



SULFONAMIDES



OTHER AGENTS

SPECIFY BACTO-SENSITIVITY DISKS to assure

- Maximum freedom of selection of therapeutic agents
- Broadest selection of concentrations
- Accurate readings without cross reaction
- Packaging for convenience of laboratory workers
- Greatest economy

Bacto-Sensitivity Disks are sterile and prepared in three concentrations of each therapeutic agent making it pos-/ sible to determine relative sensitivity of the organism under investigation very sensitive, sensitive, slightly sensitive or resistant.

Bacto-Sensitivity Disks are especially valuable in selecting the therapeutic agents effective against persistent infections refractory to primary therapy.

AVAILABLE ON REQUEST

- BOOKLET 146 discusses the new, improved and expanded series of Bacto-Sensitivity Disks
- NEW REPORT FORM to record microbial sensitivity

DIFCO LABORATORIES DETROIT 1, MICHIGAN