Why food processors spell clean with a “K”

“K” is the eleventh letter in the alphabet . . . but for modern cleaning and sanitizing in food processing plants it tells the whole story from A to Z. When you add the “K” from Klenzade you add a new concept in completely controlled sanitation programs. The Klenzade Complete Plant Quality Program makes food processing simpler, quicker and safer and includes all necessary detergents, sanitizers and application equipment. From Vega-Kleen to Klenz-Mate, there’s a Klenzade product formulated to efficiently solve each and every one of your sanitation problems.

A Klenzade technical representative will be happy to help you improve your products. Write today. We’ll reply promptly.

For Completeness, Quality and Cost-Cutting, it’s KLENZADE PRODUCTS, Dept. 63, Beloit, Wisconsin.
materials for microbiologic examination of dairy products

B-B-L Products—
dehydrated media / dilution bottle shaker / products for detection of penicillin in milk / animal blood products

FALCON Sterile Disposable Plastic Labware—
Petri dishes / test tubes / covered containers
INTEGRID counting dishes / plastic pipettes

everything but the moo

We can't supply the sound effects, but we can provide the dairy bacteriologist with just about everything else needed for routine microbiologic examination, antibiotic assays, and plate counting. For example, 46 different B-B-L dehydrated media are available for procedures recommended in "Standard Methods For The Examination Of Dairy Products," 11th ed.*

Increasing workload? Short of time and personnel?
Most of these media are also available in sterile, prepared form—bottled, tubed, or as RIMSEAL plates. Others available on special order.

Products of B-D LABORATORIES are available through your local distributor. Further information on request.

*Published by the American Public Health Association, New York, 1960.

B-D LABORATORIES, INC., RUTHERFORD, NEW JERSEY

In Canada: Becton, Dickinson & Co., Canada, Ltd., Toronto 10, Ontario Overseas: Becton, Dickinson & Co., S.A., P.O. Box 1773, Colon, Free Zone, Panama

B-D, B-B-L, FALCON, INTEGRID, RIMSEAL, AND SEPCO ARE TRADEMARKS.
CLENESCO is a total cleaning and sanitizing concept

Today, CLENESCO offers a complete line of products for fast, efficient cleaning and sanitizing of all areas of potential contamination. Cowles research technicians have carefully formulated and tested CLENESCO products so you will get hygienically clean tanks, pipelines, and processing equipment with minimum effort and expense.

These products are supplemented by Cowles Technical Men, who can help the dairy industry meet new standards in sanitation control. For complete information about CLENESCO general cleaners, sanitizers, can-washing compounds and milkstone removers, write to —
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You can get in there and scrub it, scour it, scald it. But by the time you’re ready to use it, those invisible little buggers are crawling all over it again.

Is there anything you can do about that?

There are two possibilities.

Scrub it, scour it and scald it again.

Or, immediately before you use any equipment, apply a Lo-Bax® Special solution to every part that comes in contact with the milk. (A good idea for your farmers, too.) Lo-Bax will kill bacteria before they get a chance to do any contaminating. And it won’t add taste, color or odor of its own or change the milk in any other way.

Consider this, too: Lo-Bax is easy to use. And it’s cheap: a single 1%-lb. bottle of Lo-Bax makes over 1000 gallons of sanitizing solution. Now decide.
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  Microbiological Assay Media
  Tissue Culture and Virus Media
  Bacterial Antiserum and Antigens
  Fluorescent Antibody Reagents
  Endotoxins Lipopolysaccharides
  Clinical and Serological Reagents
  Sensitivity Disks Unidisks
  Peptones Hydrolysates Amino Acids
  Enzymes Enrichments Dyes Indicators
  Carbohydrates Biochemicals

DIFCO
over 65 years' experience
in the preparation of Difco products assures

UNIFORMITY STABILITY ECONOMY
Complete Stocks Fast Service
Descriptive literature available on request

DIFCO LABORATORIES DETROIT 1 MICHIGAN USA

Why is the ADVANCED MILK CRYOSCOPE the recognized leader in cryoscopy?

Here are a few user reasons:

1. First-in Sales.
2. Most Official & University users.
3. Easiest and most Accurate to operate.
4. Only Advanced Milk Cryoscopes follow the AOAC & APHA Methods (details on request).
5. First “Hot-Line” Customer service:
   - Collect telephone — user to factory expert
   - Largest stock of parts for same-day shipment
   - Only modular design for unplug-&-replace service
   - Largest team of local sales and service engineers
   - Most complete User’s Guides
   - First and Most Regional Schools and Workshops—continued technician training and certification

For 15 other exclusive features, write or call collect today.

43 Kenneth Street / 617 DEcatur 2-8200
Newton Highlands, Massachusetts, 02161
"Tuffy" is the plastic 1/2 gallon milk container formed at the dairy only seconds before it's filled with milk. Both operations are done by a fully automatic, completely sanitary machine... American Can Company's exclusive new "TUFFY" 1/2 Gallon Machine. Together, the "Tuffy" container and Form n' Fill machine will advance sanitary milk handling and packaging procedures.

The "TUFFY CONTAINER" is plastic-laminated and plastic-coated inside and out for superior strength. Both bottom and platform top resist leaks even under severe abuse. The platform top is absolutely tight, yet is easily opened. The unique "Flexispout" delivers milk which remains uncontaminated when it is poured.

The "TUFFY MACHINE" forms, sterilizes, fills and seals containers automatically. Ultra-violet lamps sterilize the inside of containers seconds before they are filled with milk. Unique bottom filling design eliminates foaming (No need for a defoamer!)

Heated air is directed only to those areas of the top and bottom which are to be sealed. This and the use of a supplementary adhesive produce the strongest and most sanitary closures of any 1/2 gallon on the market!

A REVOLUTIONARY MILK PACKAGING CONCEPT
Nothing ever touches a "Tuffy" container but sterile equipment, filtered air, and fresh, clean milk. That's why a "Tuffy" milk container, formed and filled at any dairy, by a "Tuffy" Form n' Fill 1/2 Gallon Machine is a SUPER-sanitary milk package, another advance from

MILK CONTAINER
Division
AMERICAN CAN COMPANY
100 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK 17, N.Y.
COMPARATIVE DESTRUCTION OF LACTIC STREPTOCOCCUS BACTERIOPHAGES ON VARIOUS SURFACES BY GERMICIDAL AEROSOLS* 

E. L. SING, P. R. ELLIKER AND W. E. SANDINE

Department of Microbiology, Oregon State University, Corvallis

(Received for publication November 21, 1963)

SUMMARY

A comparison was made of destruction by various germicidal aerosols of lactic streptococcus bacteriophages on a number of different types of representative building surfaces and stainless steel. With the exception of the stainless steel the surfaces were coated either with enamel or epoxy resin. Surfaces were contaminated by fogging with a phage suspension in a test chamber. After 15 min, germicide was fogged into the chamber and phage recovered from surfaces by scrubbing with inactivator solution.

Chlorine compounds as represented by dichloroisocyanuric acid (DCCA) and sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) were markedly superior to quaternary ammonium compound (QAC) and phosphoric acid wetting agent (PAWA). Porosity of surface greatly influenced rate of phage inactivation. Aerosols appeared to be most effective on surfaces in the horizontal as compared to the vertical position. Complete inactivation of phage was not realized with either QAC or PAWA at all concentrations tested. However, fogging levels of 2000 ppm DCCA and NaOCl attained 100% destruction of bacteriophage on horizontal building surfaces and 500 to 1000 ppm accomplished this on stainless steel.

Dairy plants, particularly those that manufacture cultured products, have many surface areas where viable bacteriophage particles may accumulate. These may include rooms constructed of various building materials, large vats, process and holding tanks and utensils. Presently, sanitizing of large surfaces for bacteriophage destruction is accomplished by spraying or fogging with germicidal solutions; however, there has been no effort to determine the type or concentration of germicide most suitable for the particular surface to be sanitized.

Two previous reports present the methods for infecting and sampling air of a test chamber and comparison of effectiveness of different germicides applied as aerosols (2, 3). The present study represents a series of tests using different germicidal aerosols on typical representative surfaces by a modification of a method described in a previous paper (2). The surfaces were tested in various positions in respect to spatial relations. Surfaces of building materials were coated with either typical dairy enamels or special epoxy resin coatings. Comparative results were determined using varying concentrations of representative types of germicides with Streptococcus cremoris 144F phage as the test agent.

EXPERIMENTAL

Selection of surfaces was based on what would typically be found in a dairy plant. Wood, plaster, cement block, floor tile and glazed tile were used as representative building materials. Stainless steel in the form of a stainless tray 10x14x2 in. was used as the material that would be representative of vats, process and holding tanks and utensils. The building surfaces were cut to a dimension of 6x9x¾ in. and had an exposed surface of approximately 134 in². These surfaces were painted with either a dairy enamel or coated with an epoxy resin (Epi-Gard 60, Detroit Graphite Co.) finish. In preparation for a given germicidal aerosol run, the surfaces were either sterilized by autoclaving or sanitized by chemicals. The latter involved aseptically dipping the surface into a 25 ppm chlorine solution for 5 min, rinsing with a thiosulfate inactivator solution, then purging with generous amounts of sterile water and finally drying with sterile gauze. The surfaces then were positioned either upright or placed flat on sterile glass rod supports (Figure 1) in a sterile stainless tray. Trays containing the surfaces then were placed in a definite area in the test chamber. These positions were maintained in all ensuing trials with experimental germicides.

Application of the infection mixture of phage and of germicide was as described in an earlier paper (2), except the timing sequence was altered; after infection, a 15-min period was permitted to allow the phage to settle upon the surfaces. This was followed by a 45-sec application of the experimental germicide. Another 5-min period then was permitted to insure contact of the aerosol with the infected surfaces before their removal from the chamber. The tray containing the test surface then was aseptically removed from the test chamber. Any manipulations of the test surfaces outside of the test chamber were done with sterile rubber gloves. Using a sterile stiff-bristled brush, the viable phage was dislodged from the entire test surface into the tray by gently scrubbing while inactivator solution was being applied to

---

*Technical Paper No. 1746 Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station.

#This work was supported in part by a grant from Klenzade Products, Incorporated.
Effect of surface texture or finish on virucidal activity of germicidal aerosol was also a factor considered. These ranged from a porous rough surface in the case of cement and floor tile, a nonporous semi-rough surface represented by glazed tile, a semiporous semismooth surface represented by painted wood and plaster and finally to a nonporous smooth surface represented by stainless steel. Coating surfaces with epoxy resin finish greatly diminished the porosity of many of these surfaces. Figure 2 illustrates types, texture and coating of some representative surfaces used.

Dichloroisocyanuric acid (DCCA) and sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) were used in this study as representative chlorine-releasing compounds; other germicides used were quaternary ammonium compound (QAC) and phosphoric acid wetting agent (PAWA).

To promote adverse conditions in favor of phage survival, USDA water of 500 ppm hardness was used to dilute the experimental germicide (5). Phages to be inoculated on surfaces were suspended in sterile whey and necessary dilutions made in sterile whey to insure phage survival.

Results

Destruction of 144F phage on surfaces by various concentrations of chlorine-containing aerosols.

DCCA and sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) were used as representative chlorine-releasing compounds in this series of experiments. A control run using USDA water of 500 ppm hardness instead of germicide was used as the reference standard. Table 1 indicates the results obtained using varying concentrations of germicide. Effective inactivation of 144F phage was noted at all concentration levels using chlorine-releasing compounds. A definite advantage was noted, however, with smooth surfaces that were the least porous. Stainless steel being nonporous and smooth offered the best surface for inactivation of surface-borne phage. Aerosol applied from a 500 ppm solution of DCCA or 1000 ppm NaOCl was effective in inactivating 144F phage on stainless steel. Floor tile, the most porous surface, was found to retain the greatest numbers of viable phage. Coated wood and plaster which had a texture porosity between floor tile and stainless steel retained decreasing numbers of particles with increasing concentrations of aerosol. Complete inactivation of phage particles was not obtained on floor tile, coated wood and coated plaster until a 2000 ppm solution of DCCA or NaOCl was applied as an aerosol.

Positioning of the various surfaces to simulate actual conditions where surfaces are to be found was felt to be important. Table 2 illustrates the effect of DCCA aerosol on upright surfaces. These building surfaces included wood, plaster, cement and glazed tile. With the exception of glazed tile, all surfaces were coated with an epoxy resin finish. The rough side of glazed tile was covered with aluminum foil.
TABLE 1. DESTRUCTION OF 144F PHAGE ON SURFACES BY VARIOUS CONCENTRATIONS OF CHLORINE-CONTAINING AEROSOLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Germicide</th>
<th>ppm solution</th>
<th>Wood (No./surface)</th>
<th>Plaster (No./surface)</th>
<th>Tile (No./surface)</th>
<th>Stainless steel (No./surface)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.95x10⁶</td>
<td>7.35x10⁶</td>
<td>1.70x10⁷</td>
<td>2.65x10⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCA</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.50x10⁵</td>
<td>2.50x10⁵</td>
<td>1.65x10⁵</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
<td>(99.990)</td>
<td>(100.000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1.35x10⁶</td>
<td>1.25x10⁵</td>
<td>4.50x10⁵</td>
<td>1.50x10⁵</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOCl</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.00x10⁴</td>
<td>1.00x10⁴</td>
<td>1.22x10⁴</td>
<td>3.50x10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
<td>(99.928)</td>
<td>(90.999)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4.00x10⁴</td>
<td>1.75x10⁴</td>
<td>5.00x10⁴</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(100.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(100.000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: phage inactivation in parentheses.

Surfaces were positioned horizontally.

Total phage particles collected when USDA water 500 ppm hardness was used instead of germicide.

TABLE 2. EFFECT OF DCCA AEROSOL ON 144F PHAGE CONTAINED ON UPRIGHT SURFACES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Germicide</th>
<th>ppm solution</th>
<th>Total number and percent inactivation of phage particles following treatment of different inoculated surfaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(No./surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCA</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>(99.864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>(99.911)</td>
<td>(99.959)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>(99.891)</td>
<td>(99.992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOCl</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
<td>(99.999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exposed surface 130.5 in² 131.3 in² 137.6 in² 51.75 in²

Surfaces coated with epoxy resin enamel.

Total phage particles collected when USDA water 500 ppm hardness was used instead of germicide.

Note: % phage inactivation in parentheses under each total phage particles collected.

so that the only exposed part was the glazed surface. The results indicate that 99% of the phages residing on the above mentioned surfaces were inactivated with DCCA aerosol applied from a 500 ppm solution; however, viable phages were found even after application of an aerosol from a 2000 ppm solution. A level of 2000 ppm DCCA approached the soluble limits of this compound, therefore, higher concentrations were not attempted. In comparing the destruction of 144F phages by DCCA aerosols in different positions, the results further indicated that aerosols were most effective on surfaces in the horizontal position.

Destriction of 144F phage on surfaces by various concentrations of PAWA and QAC aerosols.

To compare the virucidal activity of germicidal aerosols on surface-borne phage, a series of trials
was run using different concentrations of PAWA and QAC. It was of interest in this section to determine whether PAWA and QAC aerosol, found ineffective on air-borne phage, would be effective on surface-borne phage. Since contact between germicide and the agent to be destroyed was facilitated in these surface studies, it was felt that virucidal activity would be enhanced. The surfaces used in this study were identical with those used in surface trials with chlorine compounds, and testing was done in the same manner.

Table 3 gives the results of a series of trials using PAWA and QAC aerosols applied from solutions of varying concentrations. Surface-borne phage 144F on wood and plaster was quite resistant to QAC aerosol; however, 99% inactivation was noted on tile and stainless steel using 2000 ppm QAC. The merits of a smooth nonporous surface were again illustrated with QAC aerosols. When 500 ppm QAC was administered on 144F phage contained on stainless steel, a 99% inactivation was attained. PAWA was found to be a better inactivator of surface-borne phage than air-borne phage. Results indicated that 99% inactivation of 144F phage on all surfaces occurred using 500 ppm PAWA. However, viable phage was collected from all the surfaces tested even when PAWA aerosol was increased four times. Complete inactivation of phage was not realized with either QAC or PAWA at all concentrations tested.

**Table 3. Destruction of 144F Phage on Surfaces by Various Concentrations of PAWA and QAC Aerosols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Germicide</th>
<th>ppm solution</th>
<th>Wood (No./surface)</th>
<th>Plaster (No./surface)</th>
<th>Tile (No./surface)</th>
<th>Stainless steel (No./surface)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.95x10⁷</td>
<td>7.35x10⁷</td>
<td>1.7x10⁷</td>
<td>2.65x10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAWA</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.60x10⁴</td>
<td>2.30x10⁴</td>
<td>3.30x10⁴</td>
<td>2.50x10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.10x10⁴</td>
<td>9.00x10⁴</td>
<td>4.90x10⁴</td>
<td>9.05x10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>9.85x10⁴</td>
<td>1.40x10⁴</td>
<td>3.15x10⁴</td>
<td>2.75x10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAC</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.52x10⁴</td>
<td>5.85x10⁴</td>
<td>1.30x10⁶</td>
<td>4.85x10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.20x10⁴</td>
<td>3.80x10⁴</td>
<td>3.45x10⁴</td>
<td>1.85x10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3.30x10⁴</td>
<td>1.15x10⁴</td>
<td>5.00x10⁴</td>
<td>2.05x10⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exposed surface: 76.5 in.², 74.0 in.², 76.5 in.², 161.0 in.²

---

*a*phage inactivation in parentheses.

*b*Surfaces were positioned horizontally.

*c*Total phage particles collected when USDA water 500 ppm hardness was used instead of germicide.
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tact with the phage particle on the surface of a given material. Results suggested that greater inactivation occurred on horizontal surfaces similar to floors and bottoms of vats, rather than the same types of surfaces that are vertical such as sides of tanks and walls. In considering these results, it must be recognized that germicidal aerosols have a tendency to fall out and accumulate on surfaces that are horizontal with respect to the fall out cloud. Aerosols undoubtedly deposit on all other surfaces; however, the quantity of germicide on vertical surfaces might be considerably less than on horizontal surfaces.

The effects of different textures were illustrated by increased virucidal activity on stainless steel (a smooth nonporous surface) in contrast to the reduced activity on porous surfaces such as floor tile. Stainless steel exhibited the best characteristics for effective phage inactivation, however, the coarseness of these surfaces apparently influenced the retention of organisms. This also was demonstrated by Futschik (1) who found that coarse finished steel retained more organisms than smooth, hot or cold rolled stainless steel. Thus it appears that porous floor tile, coated wood and plaster retained viable phages and provided more protection against all germicidal aerosols. An important aspect related to practical control of phage contamination has been suggested by Stedman et al. (4) who indicated that the routine practice of disinfecting porous surfaces would increase the efficiency of subsequent disinfections. If such a practice were maintained, as for example by routine chlorine application, it would be conceivable that phages could be controlled on these porous surfaces.

Although no comparative study was made on inactivation of phage on the same structural materials with different coatings, the results with surfaces of different porosity (Table 1) suggest that the smooth surface provided by epoxy resins and similar coatings should facilitate phage destruction. The epoxy coating of the cement block, definitely showed porosity advantages over uncoated cement. Wood and plaster surfaces also were improved by epoxy coating. Special coatings should therefore be considered for walls and ceilings of culture propagation and cultured products manufacturing rooms.

References

This paper describes the development of the New York State Health Department milk program leading to the present cooperative in-state official agency-industry supervision of milk quality. The control program in-state is decentralized; out-of-state it is centralized. The part played by the State, a state district office and a county health department is described.

### The State Health Department Program

**Program development**

In 1913 the Public Health Council of New York State adopted a Milk Code to control the milk supplies of the State. Seven grades of milk were established based upon the dairy farm score and bacterial count of the milk.

Routine inspection of pasteurization plants began in 1917. A peak number of 1475 pasteurizing plants was reached in 1941. In 1936 the State Legislature enacted the Import Milk Inspection Law and out-of-state milk inspection began July 1, 1937. A major change in the State Sanitary Code, effective January 1, 1959, instituted a cooperative program of official agency-industry prepasteurized milk control within the State. Today there is only one grade of pasteurized milk and all milk is required to come from herds free from tuberculosis, brucellosis and mastitis.

Table 1 shows that roughly 15 million quarts of milk from 50,000 dairy farms are processed for all purposes in New York State each day. Of this, 52% comes from New York City supervised in-state farms, 27% comes from out-of-state farms under the New York State Health Department import program, 14% comes from farms supervised by city and county health departments, and 7% from farms supervised by State district offices. The milk is processed in 307 pasteurization plants and 658 pasteurization plants. About half of the milk is used for manufactured products.

Making this huge supply of milk safe and wholesome is primarily the responsibility of the New York State Department of Health including the city and county health departments. Reaching this goal requires that the Department concern itself with milk at three points: (a) as delivered to the consumer, (b) at the pasteurizing plant, and (c) at the dairy farm.

The Department's success in its mission is best shown by the fact that since 1949 there have been no outbreaks of milk-borne disease in New York State. The public today correctly assumes that the milk they drink is safe and that the era of disastrous milkborne epidemics is past. Public support for the almost universal application of pasteurization has helped achieve this goal. Practically speaking, nearly all milk consumed in New York State today has been pasteurized and is in conformance with standards established in the public interest.

### Survey of operational practices

Recent technological advances have introduced new hazards of undetermined potential to milk. Chief...
among these are pesticides, radioactive particles, antibiotics, detergents and other exotic chemicals. These newer contaminants must be prevented from entering milk at the dairy farm. Automation on farms and in dairy processing plants has increased, making inspection work more exacting. Small dairy farms and pasteurization plants are being replaced by larger farms and plants; thus many more consumers are served from a single processing plant than formerly. Breakdowns in sanitation at a large farm or plant could affect thousands more consumers than previously.

In view of these developments, a decision was made in 1956 to resurvey the then existing system of sanitary milk control. Primary responsibility for inspecting farms had been placed on city and county health departments and on town and village part-time health officers.

The survey revealed that 41% of the dairy farms in counties served by State Health Department district offices had substandard facilities for milk quality control. Fewer farms had substandard facilities in areas served by city and county health departments; these percentages were 25% and 19%, respectively.

The low performance rating of farms in areas served by district offices was not too surprising. Milk inspection in these areas was ostensibly handled by part-time health officers, but these persons, usually practicing private physicians, seldom had time to inspect dairy farms. A few communities in these areas hired a local inspector to do this work.

Another index of quality control on dairy farms is the bacterial count of milk before it has been pasteurized. In 1956, bacterial counts of mixed prepasteurized milk produced on farms in state health district areas showed only 59% compliance with established standards. Considerably better compliance was reported in city and county health department jurisdictions.

A new approach indicated

The 1956 survey clearly indicated the need to develop a new control system which would produce milk, which was not only safe but was of topmost quality. After thorough study of the problem, the Department’s milk sanitation experts developed a joint health department-industry program which included the following major points:

1. Inspection of pasteurization plants by health department personnel to ensure continued safety of New York’s milk supply.
2. Inspection of dairy farms by health department staff and qualified inspectors employed by industry to ensure the quality of milk reaching pasteurization plants.

As the system developed, industry played an expanding role in the routine inspection process with department personnel conducting annual spot-checks and follow-up inspections. In support of the cooperative program, the New York State Public Health Council amended Part 3 of the State Sanitary Code to provide for this new and expanded quality control role by industry. The new system went into effect January 1, 1959.

Progress under the cooperative health department-industry inspection system

Five years of experience have accumulated using the cooperative system of inspections of dairy farms. Today’s program requires the processor to inspect at least annually each dairy farm supplying milk directly to his plant and to followup on items not in compliance with the State Sanitary Code. Industry inspectors, whose qualifications are approved by the health department, must be used. It is also required that the milk from each dairy farm be sampled at not less than 3-month intervals, with follow-up inspections where indicated. Prepasteurized milk from other processing plants and from each tank truck collecting milk from farms having bulk milk installations are sampled monthly. The result is that fewer official agency man hours are needed to control prepasteurized milk. The official agency can now devote greater attention to special problems at the pasteurization plant. It can provide more consultation and training service to industry, more effectively supervise industry inspection personnel and review sanitation records, exercise greater surveillance made necessary by technological development, and continue its evaluations of the entire milk supply. New York’s milk supply.

As the system developed, industry played an expanding role in the routine inspection process with department personnel conducting annual spot-checks and follow-up inspections. In support of the cooperative program, the New York State Public Health Council amended Part 3 of the State Sanitary Code to provide for this new and expanded quality control role by industry. The new system went into effect January 1, 1959.

Progress under the cooperative health department-industry inspection system

Five years of experience have accumulated using the cooperative system of inspections of dairy farms. Today’s program requires the processor to inspect at least annually each dairy farm supplying milk directly to his plant and to followup on items not in compliance with the State Sanitary Code. Industry inspectors, whose qualifications are approved by the health department, must be used. It is also required that the milk from each dairy farm be sampled at not less than 3-month intervals, with follow-up inspections where indicated. Prepasteurized milk from other processing plants and from each tank truck collecting milk from farms having bulk milk installations are sampled monthly. The result is that fewer official agency man hours are needed to control prepasteurized milk. The official agency can now devote greater attention to special problems at the pasteurization plant. It can provide more consultation and training service to industry, more effectively supervise industry inspection personnel and review sanitation records, exercise greater surveillance made necessary by technological development, and continue its evaluations of the entire milk supply. New York’s milk supply.
A State Health Department District Office Program

Prepasteurized Milk control

In the Binghamton District of the New York State Health Department, the milk processing plant operators have the primary responsibility for the routine inspection of the dairy farms of their producers. This work is performed by qualified industry inspectors. It includes all regular semi-annual or annual inspections and such re-inspections as may be necessary to secure improvement in conditions at the farms revealed by the results of bacterial tests, sediment tests, Modified Whiteside Tests and tests for antibiotics. Reports of such inspections are placed on file at the respective plant.

Official inspections are made of all new dairies or transfer dairies coming from markets not under health department supervision. Annual inspectional surveys are also made with each industry inspector of some of the producers for each processing plant. In the case of larger plants, a proportionately larger number of dairy farms are surveyed. However, plants having 1 to 9 producers have all their farms officially inspected at present. The work of each industry inspector is judged by the level of compliance of the dairy farms under his inspection.

Samples for quality control tests are taken by the plant operator. The operator usually hires a private laboratory to make bacterial tests and tests for detection of antibiotics, unless the milk company has its own laboratory. Although bacterial tests of producers' milk are required only once every 3 months, industry samples all plants in the Binghamton District at least monthly; the large plants check producers' samples semi-monthly. Samples are collected for the producer-dealer and small processor by the District Office representative. Copies of reports of all bacterial, Whiteside and antibiotics tests are sent to the District Office and the sanitarian checks to see that unsatisfactory results are followed up promptly in accordance with the Sanitary Code requirements. The total work load and quality of prepasteurized milk in 1962 are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 3 shows the level of quality control work performed by the industry on producers' and prepasteurized milk supplies as compared to official sampling. The limited official prepasteurized milk sampling has been adequate to show the true compliance or noncompliance of milk supplies with Code requirements and the need for improved industry laboratory work. Repetitious routine sampling has been discouraged as being a waste of money. Effort is being redirected toward higher quality and more dependable industry laboratory work supplemented by intelligent follow-up.

A number of meetings have been held in cooperation with industry quality control personnel and county farm extension representatives on various topics pertinent to improving milk production methods and milk quality. In an 18-month period, five such meetings were held in the Binghamton area on the following topics:

Table 3. Official Agency and Industry Prepasteurized Milk Quality, Binghamton District Office, New York State Department of Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Official</th>
<th>Industry*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tests</td>
<td>No. of samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Microscopic Counts</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw-Standard Plate Counts</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurized-Standard Plate Counts (Thermoduric)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified Whiteside tests, sediment tests and antibiotic tests are also routinely made.

Demonstration and Explanation of the Modified Whiteside Test.

Discussion of Sediment Testing of Farm Bulk Milk Using New Methods.

School for Farm Bulk Tank Operators and Pick-up Truck Drivers.

Discussions and Demonstrations on Proper Milking Methods and Practices.

Flavor School for Industry Inspectors & Farm Co-op Leaders.

The meetings were promoted by the District Office for the producer segment and industry fieldmen. Each meeting was well attended by a representative number of the interested groups for which the respective topics were planned.

For 1962, nine qualified industry inspectors, in addition to their other duties, collected the required samples and made the inspections of 638 dairy farms serving 18 processing plants under permit in the District.

An estimated 86 man-days of District Office time were devoted to field work in the overall control of prepasteurized milk samples. This included spot-check inspectional surveys of dairy farms, receiving

Table 2. Dairy Farm Supervision, Binghamton District, New York State Health Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dairy farms under supervision</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-inspection by industry inspectors</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(in addition to 638 routine annual inspections)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official inspections</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including 30 special refrigerated bulk milk farm tank surveys)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarts of milk produced per day</td>
<td>265,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
deck test at processing plants, examination of new refrigerated bulk milk farm tank installations, and review of the results of various tests made on pre-pasteurized milk at the processing plant. In addition, an estimated 55 man-days were used in the administrative office work portion of the program.

Pasteurized milk control

There are 20 processing plants under the supervision of the Binghamton District Office, including 2 institutional (county) and 7 producer-dealer plants. In addition to making complete annual inspections, partial inspections and sampling surveys are made routinely at each processing plant during each quarter of the year by the sanitarians of the District Office. An effort is made to combine special inspections with partial inspections as far as possible. Each partial inspection includes examination of pasteurization charts as well as operational items affecting proper pasteurization depending on the time of a visit. Follow-up inspections of all unsatisfactory samples or plant conditions are made as promptly as possible.

The pasteurization plant workload, work done and results are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Approximately 108 man days are devoted by two District Office sanitarians to the inspectional and sampling coverage of pasteurization plants.

Plant operators are encouraged to practice effective self-inspection and to keep maintenance records for equipment. These objectives are, of course, more often fully achieved in the case of large plants where the duties of personnel are more clearly defined.

### Table 4. Pasteurization Plant Supervision, Binghamton District Office, New York State Health Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of pasteurizing plants</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarts processed per day</td>
<td>124,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inspections, including quality control record review</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling visits (includes sampling visits to 5 distributing plants)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A visit at each plant is completed as soon as the duties of the sanitarian permit. A record is kept for future reference of follow-up inspections and any non-conformities found. Sampling of finished products is required as often as necessary and at least monthly for the smaller plants except those operated by producer-dealers. In the case of large plants, sampling is made semi-monthly or more often as needed. Details of sampling are given in Table 5.

### Table 5. Official and Industry Sampling, Binghamton District, New York State Health Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurized milk</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>2118</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurized cream</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1457</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurized milk products</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*standard plate count; *coliform count; *phosphatase test
and methods on the farms have made it necessary that the producer also operate on a large volume. The number of producing farms is being constantly reduced while the production of milk on the remaining farms is constantly increasing. This is well exemplified in Rensselaer County where in 1956 there were over 450 dairy farms producing milk for the Rensselaer County Milk Shed as compared to 218 at the end of 1962.

Prepasteurized milk control

The official farm inspection work as shown by Figure 1 and Figure 2 has been drastically reduced since 1956 but approximately 20 to 25% of the raw milk sources are still inspected. Industry's participation in the control of the pasteurized milk supply is also utilized by having all official high counts investigated by the industry inspector and re-check samples collected by them. Only on rare occasions do official agency sanitarians work on high counts at farms. Every effort is made to have industry improve the quality of the milk which they purchase. Through an effective liaison set up between the health department and the industry representatives, and the coordination of field follow-ups, samplings, and related activities, the industry quality control programs has taken a firm hold in Rensselaer County.

Pasteurized milk control

Monthly street samples from each pasteurization plant in the county are collected routinely. In addition to this, and not included in Table 6 are process samples at each plant collected at least quarterly. Until 1961, additional sets of process samples were collected at plants if results from street samples indicated that a problem existed. However, the present approach is to call in the quality control representative to follow up any problems that may be indicated from results of sample analyses. The objective is to extend the original intent of the State Sanitary Code Part 3 changes to include the processing operations as well. This explains the reduction in the 1962 street samples; although official sampling is reduced, industry sampling is stepped up, especially when problems develop. Thus, the plant operator assumes greater responsibility for the quality of his pasteurized milk. Quality control services relative to raw milk production and pasteurization operations are also maintained by two processors who are receiving pasteurized milk exclusively from their own herds. Under Regulation 14 and 16 of the State Sanitary Code such processors are exempt from the requirements. As can be seen, a good deal of the control activity is concentrated on the control of pasteurization plant practices. These practices provide for the final line of defense against unsanitary milk processing practices.

Private laboratory reports—a problem

The chief weakness of the county program has been the lack of confidence in reports by some private laboratories. The success of the quality control program by industry depends greatly on the reliability and accuracy of bacterial determinations by their laboratory. The laboratory and the industry qualified inspector reports on the flavor, odor, bacteriological quality, temperature, and sediment of the raw product. Laboratory reports guide the field men to locating conditions that may be responsible for an unsatisfactory raw milk quality.

On several occasions industry reports from out-of-county have been found to conflict with official county health department laboratory reports on samples collected at about the same time. Although the correlation on direct microscopic counts and standard plate counts cannot be expected to be perfect, invariably the industry counts were low. This has
been a serious impediment to the success of the program. The processor receiving such industry reports is unaware of the poor quality of the milk he is purchasing; he becomes complacent and labors under a false sense of security. Inevitably, a state of confusion results when official reports on similar samples are at variance with the industry report. For example a private laboratory examined 206 milk samples from three plants and reported 93% of the samples in compliance with the standard plate count (SPC) standard. Seventy-four official milk samples collected from the same plants showed 78% compliance.

The health department's 1960 Annual Report on all official producer samples collected for the year showed 80% compliance on SPC which compares favorably with the 78% compliance indicated above. As a matter of fact, from 1956 to 1960 percentage compliance on SPC has only varied from 74 to 80% (80 to 84% in 1961 and 1962), yet this same out-of-county laboratory showed an exceptional 93% compliance. It can only be concluded that the private laboratory’s reports were questionable on all work done from 1956 to 1960. This resulted in processors seeking more reliable laboratory services.

On another occasion the county health department caused another out-of-county industry laboratory to change its procedures and techniques because its results were misleading and incorrect. In a third instance, an out-of-county processor changed its laboratory service because results reported were shown to be always unrealistically low.

The county milk control program

The county health department milk control program is summarized as follows:

1. The quality control supervision by industry as required by changes in the State Sanitary Code effective January 1, 1959 is valuable as a supplement, not a substitute, to official control. The program must be a joint health department-industry cooperative effort if true quality control in milk production is to be realistically maintained.

2. The success of a milk quality control program is seriously jeopardized by inferior work of some private laboratories. It is imperative that substandard laboratories be eliminated.

3. The success of a quality control program depends upon: (a) qualified, reliable fieldmen, (b) a close liaison between industry and the health department to promote a good working relationship to accomplish the common objective of producing high quality raw milk, and (c) a proper field audit program by state and local health departments.

4. To further the intent of these changes, the next logical step would be to extend the program to include processing operations. This would be the ultimate goal of the milk sanitation program.

Discussion

As noted before, new potential hazards to milk have been introduced since 1955. The effect on health of these relatively new potential hazards are still being assessed by the Public Health Service and other health agencies. Meanwhile, the only safe course of action is to maintain control over the milk supply from the dairy farm to the consumer. The State Health Department together with 30 city and county health departments and 13 district health offices maintain continual control and surveillance over the milk supply. The staff in these offices includes professional full-time health officers, sanitary engineers, veterinarians, and sanitarians. This trained manpower is continually on the alert to detect and promptly eliminate or control any significant dangers to the public health through milk.

The absence of recognizable disease, effective pasteurization, and low bacterial counts are effective indicators of high quality milk supplies. Supplemental surveillance measures include tests for chemicals, toxins, antibiotics, viruses, radioactive contaminants, and quality of field inspection and laboratory work.

Experience to date has turned up some weaknesses in the industry inspection system that need to be overcome. Closer cooperation among dairy plants, better laboratory work, greater industry support of their qualified inspectors, and more technical assistance to the producers will do much to achieve progress.

The training of industry inspectors is being intensified by having health agency personnel work closely with these persons. The Health Department helps to train industry inspectors engaged in the Interstate Milk Shippers Program; this also improves industry inspection in general throughout the State. The first of a series of 11 one-half day annual training sessions conducted by the State Health Department in cooperation with Cornell University was completed in 1963. A second series has been scheduled for the Fall of 1964 in addition to other special training courses.

Industry inspectors need refresher courses to keep them interested in their work and up-to-date on acceptable standards. To train and supervise industry inspectors on a continual basis, health agencies need to maintain staffs of adequate size and skill.

Conclusions

A safe milk supply for New York State is ensured by the almost universal pasteurization of milk consumed within the State. There have been no milk-
borne disease outbreaks in New York State since 1949.

Careful review of experience to date indicates that the present cooperative system of health department-industry inspection and sampling of prepasteurized milk, in addition to pasteurized milk, is effective in providing a safe supply of wholesome milk for the people of New York State.

To strengthen the cooperative health department-industry inspection system without adding to its cost, the State Health Department will:

1. Continue to train and certify qualified industry milk inspectors.
2. Make clear that certified industry inspectors who fail to perform up to standard will have their certification revoked.
3. Assist and evaluate more intensively the State district office and local health department total milk programs including industry farm inspection and laboratory work.
4. Keep state district health offices properly staffed to ensure continued improvement of the milk quality control program; city and county health departments are generally staffed satisfactorily, and these staffs should be kept strong enough to handle new problems in milk quality control.
5. Continue to provide official laboratory service for milk and water analyses in the Department's Division of Laboratories and Research, and support approved local health department laboratories.
6. Routinely carefully survey and assist private laboratories to ensure reliable reporting.

Eventually, the inspection system can, with the concurrence of the dairy industry, be broadened to include greater industry self-inspection in pasteurization plants. This is now being done on a voluntary cooperative basis in some local health units.

The net result of the cooperative official agency-industry supervision is a stimulation of interest in milk quality control which produces a greater return than the sum of individual efforts operating separately. This relatively new approach to milk control is fostered by the fact that in New York State there is basically only one milk control sanitary regulation.

---

### INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS, INC. COMMITTEE REPORTS

#### REPORT OF THE 3-A SANITARY STANDARDS SYMBOL ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL—1963

The International Association of Milk, Food, and Environmental Sanitarians constitutes one of the three members of the 3-A Sanitary Standards Symbol Administrative Council. It names four of the eight members of the Board of Trustees of the Council. It is in order, therefore, that a report of the activities and affairs of the Council be presented at annual business meetings of the Association.

Only one meeting of the Board of Trustees (March 11, 1963) has been held since the 1962 Annual Meeting of this Association, but decisions on several matters of policy were reached by mail balloting.

In the course of its nearly seven-and-one-half years of active functioning, the 3-A Symbol Council has issued 144 serially-numbered authorizations to use the 3-A symbol on specifically-named models and capacities of sixteen of the eighteen types of dairy equipment for which 3-A Sanitary Standards have been published. (No applications for authorizations covering weigh-cans and can-type strainers have ever been received).

Of these 144 serially-numbered and annually-renewed authorizations, 27 have been relinquished or have not been renewed upon expiration, as is indicated in the following tabulation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Code</th>
<th>Number Issued</th>
<th>Number In Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0100 Storage Tanks</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0200 Pumps</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0300 Weigh Cans</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0400 Homogenizers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0500 Automotive Tanks</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0600 Electric Motors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0700 Can-Type Strainers</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0800 Piping Fittings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0900 Thermometer Fittings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 Pipe-Line Filters</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100 Heat Exchangers—Plate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200 Heat Exchangers—Tubular</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300 Farm Tanks</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400 Leak Protector Valves</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500 Bulk Milk Dispensers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600 Evaporators</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700 Fillers and Sealers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800 Freezers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 144

*3-A Sanitary Standards rescinded.*

In all instances the relinquishments of authorizations have been voluntary on the part of the holders, because of discontinuation of fabrication of the type of equipment covered, because of merger with another concern making similar equipment, or because of discontinuation of business.

The adoption of 3-A Sanitary Standards for "silos" tanks, ice-cream and cottage cheese package fillers, and batch pasteurizers and processors, which may be expected to become effective late in 1964, will unquestionably restore the average number of authorizations in effect to 120 or above.
The adoption of 3-A Sanitary Standards for Multiple-Use Rabbited and Rubber-Like Materials Used as Product-Contact Surfaces, and of 3-A Sanitary Standards for Multiple-Use Plastic Materials Used for Product Contact Surfaces, has been assumed, by many sanitarians, to presage the appearance of the 3-A symbol on all equipment parts, and presumably on other forms and shapes, made of materials conforming to the provisions of these two sanitary standards.

The complexities of the mechanics of such an undertaking, by an organization staffed by one individual, serving on a voluntary, part-time basis, and the potentially misleading implications of the appearance of the 3-A symbol on one or several small parts of a unit of equipment, or on a tube, container, or other substitution of rubber or plastic for glass or 18-8 stainless steel, are of magnitudes unrealized by those sanitarians who look for and anticipate a package take-over of certain of their administrative responsibilities. Several serious obstacles to the extension of the identification by the 3-A symbol, to rubber and plastic parts which conform to the respective 3-A Sanitary Standards for material composition and physical characteristics, present themselves:

1. An obvious and purpose of the 3-A Symbol Council, stated in its By-Laws, is: "To grant authority for the use of the said symbol on dairy equipment which is approved, upon application, as complying with applicable 3-A Sanitary Standards." For over seven years we have interpreted this to mean units of equipment covering which standards of design, conformance, dimensions, and, various pertinent sanitation features, have been adopted.

2. It has been reported above that 117 authorizations are now in effect. These are renewable annually, and the certificates of the authorizations list the model numbers covered. In a number of instances the models exceed 25 in number, and models of fittings may number several hundred. Most of the holders of authorizations are members of the Dairy Industries Supply Association, or of the National Association of Dairy Equipment Manufacturers, and have participated, directly or indirectly, in the drafting of 3-A Sanitary Standards, and are familiar with and support the 3-A program. That relationship is not shared by manufacturers of rubber and plastics, and the numerous molders of parts made of these materials. The number of applicants for authorization to use the 3-A symbol on rubber or plastic dairy equipment parts cannot accurately be estimated at this time; but the potential catalogs of models to be covered by each authorization issued, and renewed annually, is appalling. Listing of models is merely stenographic mechanics; but checking of ingredients and their F&DAA, at least on initial applications, is not a function, once undertaken, which may become perfunctory. Such checking, however, can and will be conducted on rubber and plastic pieces included in equipment covered by authorizations covering equipment now in effect, and to be issued.

3. It is conceivable that rubber or plastic parts, identified by the 3-A symbol, might be installed in equipment which does not conform to the pertinent 3-A Sanitary Standards. This could readily occur in equipment for which 3-A Sanitary Standards have not yet been adopted. In either instance, the obvious inference would be misleading.

4. To avoid confusion resulting from such situations it has been proposed that a modification of the 3-A symbol be developed to indicate only conformance to 3-A Sanitary Standards for Material.

Negotiations for acquiring and registering the 3-A symbol extended over a period of more than three years. Design and registration of a suitable modification for use to indicate only material composition and characteristics may be expected to require at least several years. It is obvious, therefore, that certifications of conformance of such parts to sanitary standards for materials by means of such a modified 3-A symbol is not effectable to be applicable to rubber, the 3-A Sanitary Standards for which became effective on April 18, 1963, nor to plastics, the 3-A Sanitary Standards for which became effective July 24, 1964.

Consequently, the Board of Trustees of the 3-A Symbol Council has adopted the policy that the Council is not in position — at least currently — to undertake the identification of materials which conform to 3-A Sanitary Standards limited to provisions for composition and/or physical characteristics. This decision has been reached after careful review of the concept of identification of conforming materials, and the By-Laws have been amended, by adding to the provision quoted above, the following text: "... but not to grant authority for the use of the said symbol solely because of conformance to 3-A Sanitary Standards for material."

Fiscally, the 3-A Symbol Council is in a sound position, as has been reported to the Executive Board. This is due to the fact that there are no expenditures for office furniture and equipment, except two steel filing cases, and stenographic and mimeographing service involves no expense other than the stationery and paper.

This report is concluded with a statement appended with some degree of self-conscious embarrassment. The only reports concerning misuse of the 3-A symbol received in over two years pertained to two silo-type storage tanks, for which 3-A Sanitary Standards were not adopted until early this month. Both 3-A symbols were affixed due to prevailing custom in the fabricating shops, and both symbols were promptly removed when the occurrence was called to the attention of the fabricators. But these were the only complaints of non-conformance of equipment bearing the 3-A symbol registered since the 1962 Report was presented. That statement is embarrassing, because it may be interpreted as self-praise for the administrative efficiency of the Council. It is, instead, a compliment to the seventy or more holders of authorizations, who abide by their obligations. Or, and this may be the true explanation, sanitarians are not carefully checking new equipment against the pertinent 3-A Sanitary Standards. Perfection, with respect to conformance to 3-A Sanitary Standards, among some 3000 models, marketed throughout a whole year, if substantiated, is a real achievement!

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION REPRESENTATIVES ON THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES:

K. G. Weckel
D. C. Cleveland
M. R. Fisher
C. A. Abele, Secy.-Treas.

INTERIM REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON APPLIED LABORATORY METHODS—1963

GOALS FOR THE PRESENT 2-YEAR COMMITTEE

1. To provide assistance wherever possible in the preparation of the 12th Edition of Standard Methods and to serve as a sounding board for the IAMFES membership in regard to the nature and organization of material to be included in Standard Methods.

2. To be well informed on the subject of media certification and the programs suggested for implementing certification, and to provide an expression of the feelings of IAMFES where and when pertinent.
3. To evaluate the needs of IAMFES for an Applied Laboratory Methods Committee and establish if possible the areas where the best opportunities for committee contributions now exist. To restate the charge to the committee and provide some specificity to the general objectives if necessary.

PROGRESS

Most of the members of the Applied Laboratory Methods (ALM) Committee are serving in some capacity in the preparation of the 12th Edition of Standard Methods. Two are co-chairmen of the APHA Reference Methods Subcommittee and others are members of the reference subcommittees responsible for the various chapters, including chapters 1, 4 and 5, 10, 12, 18 and 20. Other members of IAMFES who currently are not on the ALM Committee are also serving on the above and other chapter reference subcommittees. Consequently the views of IAMFES should be reflected, however unofficially, in the preparation of the new edition.

Media certification is proceeding slowly on the basis of APHA committee action. The course of developments in this program is well described in the 1962 and 1963 reports of the Public Health Committee of the American Dairy Science Association (J. C. Olson, Jr., Chairman). There has been no evident need for the ALM Committee to represent the International in this regard.

In the coming year, it is hoped that some consideration will be given to the nature of activities of the ALM Committee and what the responsibilities of the committee should be in terms of present day circumstances. Difficulties encountered in the past few years in establishing a well organized and sustained program have not been due to lack of interest or effort by the chairman of this committee. Apparently the problems are more complicated. There are some basic questions as to how this committee should serve. Should it be an action or referee group that actually engages in gathering data to evaluate laboratory procedures? Or, should the group serve in an advisory-interpretive role? In this latter capacity, should the committee be summoned ad hoc, regularly appointed as it now is, or a standing committee available to officers and board as necessary? It is hoped that the present ALM Committee can come to some agreement as to the purposes of the group and to a delineation of the bounds of responsibility. This could result in a suggestion for changes in the composition and organization of the committee, in the statement of committee objectives, and in the mechanics of committee action.

The committee solicits the comments of IAMFES members relative to any of the above described activities.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

J. J. Jezeski, Chairman
Dept. of Dairy Industries
University of Minnesota
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

A. R. Brazis
Robert A. Taft Sanitary Eng. Center
4676 Columbia Parkway
Cincinnati, Ohio

P. R. Elliker
Dept. of Bacteriology
Oregon State University
Corvallis, Oregon

J. L. Henderson
Foremost Dairies
425 Battery Street
San Francisco, California

A. C. Maack
Swift and Company
Union Stockyards
Chicago 9, Illinois

J. C. McCaffrey
Illinois Dept. of Health
611 Lakeview Terrace
Glen Ellyn, Illinois

L. G. Harmon
Dept. of Food Science
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan

B. Heinemann
Producers Creamery Company
Springfield, Missouri

F. E. Nelson
Dept. of Dairy Science
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona

D. I. Thompson
Wisconsin Laboratory of Hygiene
Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNICABLE DISEASES AFFECTING MAN—1963

This is submitted as an interim report. In accordance with the report of the committee for 1962 and instructions from the president IAMFES, this committee is in the process of preparing a revised edition of the booklet "Procedure for the Investigation of Foodborne Disease Outbreaks". Since it has not been feasible for the committee to meet as a group to discuss the project collectively, there has been considerable individual effort. The committee chairman has utilized travel for other reasons to have individual conference with the president, executive secretary, Associate Editor of the journal IAMFES as well as with several members of the committee.

Letters have been written to a large number of persons outside our membership soliciting their comments and recommendations regarding revision of the "Procedure". The response to our letters has been outstanding and many suggestions have been received. It seems significant that no one has questioned the usefulness of the booklet.

Work on the revision is being continued. Association members and others who have used the "Procedure" are invited and urged to submit suggested changes to the committee.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Stanley L. Hendricks,
Chairman
State Department of Health
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Robert K. Anderson
School of Veterinary Medicine
University of Minnesota
St. Paul, Minnesota

John Andrews
State Board of Health
Raleigh, North Carolina

H. L. Bryson
Vancouver Health Department
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Charles Hunter
Hillcrest Medical Center
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Dwight D. Lickty
Palm Beach Health Department
Palm Beach, Florida

E. R. Price
Mo. Dept. of Public Health
Jefferson City, Missouri

Calvin E. Sevy
Milk & Food Program, H.E.W.
Washington, D. C.

P. N. Travis
Jefferson County Health Dept.
Birmingham, Alabama

INTERIM REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON FROZEN FOOD SANITATION—1963

This Committee has selected two projects for study during the 1963-1964 period. A full report will be made at the 1964 Annual Meeting.

The public is exposed to a great deal of misinformation
regarding the safety, wholesomeness and nutritional value of our food supply. Much of this material is presented in a sensational manner calculated to raise serious doubts as to the effectiveness of the programs and activities of the regulatory agencies. This Committee proposes to develop a pamphlet or an appropriate informational document which will emphasize the respective roles of the sanitarian and the industry in building up and maintaining the quality and wholesomeness of our food supply. We believe that it is important to give emphasis to the positive actions that contribute to the safety of our foods to counteract some of the adverse publicity and misinformation that is being peddled to the consuming public.

The new freeze-dry method of food preservation is bringing more new and special problems. This method of preparation actually concentrates the bacterial population in the food and little or no killing action occurs during the preparation steps. While there is a great deal of technical information available concerning this process, there is little or no inspectional information in the literature. We will attempt to collect direct inspectional information concerning the actual operation of freeze-drying plants and the bacterial loads in the finished product together with any other collateral information available.

Frank E. Fisher, Chairman  
Eaton E. Smith
Glen C. Slocum  
A. C. Leggatt
H. P. Schmitt  
J. L. Adame
G. L. Hays  
George E. Prime

INTERIM REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON FOOD EQUIPMENT SANITARY STANDARDS—1963

The objectives of the International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians Committee on Food Equipment Sanitary Standards are to participate with health organizations and industries in the formulation of sanitary standards and educational materials for the fabrication, installation, and operation of food equipment and to present to the membership those standards and educational materials which the Committee recommends be endorsed by the Association.

This Committee has been instructed by the Executive Board to prepare an interim report for 1963 and develop a comprehensive one for presentation at the 1964 meeting. Therefore, the following progress report will only briefly outline the Committee's activities this year in working with two health and industry organizations (National Sanitation Foundation's Joint Committee on Food Equipment Standards and the National Automatic Merchandising Association's Automatic Merchandising Health-Industry Council) and progress in meeting its objectives. It is expected these organizations will be the two groups that the Committee will work with during the coming year.

The NSF Joint Committee on Food Equipment Standards as well as the NAMA Automatic Merchandising Health-Industry Council are to be commended, as they both reserved approximately one-half day prior to the start of their official annual meetings for the public health representatives to review technical aspects of the programs and to discuss public health objectives and policies to be followed in their work with the entire membership of the Committee and Council. This was a valuable experience for the Association's representative and enabled the public health members to coordinate and clarify their views, thereby expediting the work of the two groups.

NATIONAL SANITATION FOUNDATION (NSF)

The members of this Committee, believing that the NSF Joint Committee on Food Equipment Standards would function better and more efficiently under a set of written policies and procedures, recommended to the NSF that such be developed. The first draft of a proposed set of policies and procedures for the Joint Committee was reviewed by this Committee and comments were submitted to the NSF. The second draft was presented for discussion at the 1963 meeting of the Joint Committee and with minor changes was given tentative approval at that time.

The NSF requested that the public health member organizations of the Joint Committee take a definite position on incorporating safety features in the Standards. These organizations generally agreed that the Standards and Criteria should relate specifically to sanitary features; but if safety devices were installed in or on food equipment, they should comply with applicable sanitary provisions of these guidelines.

The Joint Committee recommended that Standard No. 7 on Food Service Refrigerators and Freezers be revised changing the floor area of walk-in refrigerators and freezers from product zone to splash zone. The public health organizations represented on the Joint Committee are presently studying the advisability of continuing to designate walls and ceilings of walk-in boxes as product zone, as is now specified by Standard No. 7.

At the Joint Committee meeting, preliminary drafts of the proposed revision of Standard No. 1 and the proposed new Standard for Ice-Making Equipment were discussed. Suggestions for alterations, revisions, and additions were incorporated prior to mailing the second drafts to the member organization's committees. This Committee has reviewed the second draft of the proposed standard for Ice-Making Equipment and submitted comments to the NSF. It is hoped that this Standard will stimulate the ice-making equipment industry to develop sanitary equipment which will dispense measured amounts of ice mechanically thereby minimizing manual handling of ice. Comments on the proposed revision of Standard No. 1 will also be offered to the Foundation staff after the Committee has completed its review of the proposal.

Since the Joint Committee meeting, a preliminary draft of a criterion for silverware washer-dryer was submitted to the members of this Committee for review, and comments were offered to the Foundation staff on this particular type of equipment. It provides for a special machine to wash, sanitize and dry silverware.

It is anticipated that all of these proposals will be reviewed at least once more by the Committee prior to being presented at the 1964 Joint Committee meeting for final review and, with necessary modifications, adoption.

Some minor changes in wording and definition were made in the Basic Criteria C-1, Vending of Foods and Beverages; Standard No. 2, Food Service Equipment; Standard No. 7, Food Service Refrigerators and Freezers; and Standard No. 8, Powered Food Preparation Equipment. Authorization was given in 1963 for the first time by the NSF to use the Seal of Approval on equipment complying with Standard No. 8.

NATIONAL AUTOMATIC MERCHANDISING ASSOCIATION (NAMA)

The Committee participated in two annual meetings of the NAMA Automatic Merchandising Health-Industry Coun-
CoMiTTEE for rev ision of the Council's Vending Machine Evaluation Manual and on suggestions to the Public Health Service for amending the 1957 Recommendations of the Public Health Service on the Vending of Foods and Beverages. Several current and previous recommendations of this Committee for improvement of the Manual and items complying with the applicable provisions of the Manual.

A few changes were made in the NAMA-AMHIC Vending Machine Evaluation Program and Policies including plans for evaluation of auxiliary equipment such as ice-making or other components and awarding a letter of approval on those items complying with the applicable provisions of the Manual.

The vending industry and the NAMA machine evaluation agencies are planning a self-policing program to evaluate new and used machines for the purpose of determining compliance with the NAMA-AMHIC Vending Machine Evaluation Manual. This procedure will include spot checking of vending machines in the field to determine if production models continue to be in compliance with the Evaluation Manual. Implementation of this program should be of interest to all public health personnel as well as the members of the industry.

Most of the vending machines are sold in interstate commerce and many are also operated in interstate commerce so that proper and uniform labeling of the product is recognized as essential for free flow of trade. Therefore, a special committee composed of public health and industry representatives of the Council has been instructed to prepare a Report on Product Labeling for the Vending Industry and have it reviewed by appropriate authorities prior to submitting it to the Council at the 1964 meeting. This report should prove to be of much value to the vending industry and regulatory agencies.

At the 1963 meeting of the AMHIC, the Chairman of IAMFES Food Equipment Committee was elected Co-Chairman of the Council to represent the public health group.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Association reaffirms its support of the National Sanitation Foundation and the National Automatic Merchandising Association and continue to work with these two organizations in developing acceptable standards and educational materials for the food industry and public health.

2. The Association urges all sanitarians to obtain a complete set of the National Sanitation Foundation's Food Equipment Standards and Criteria and a copy of the National Automatic Merchandising Association-Automatic Merchandising Health-Industry Council's Vending Machine Evaluation Manual; to evaluate each piece of food equipment and vending machine in the field to determine compliance with the applicable sanitation guidelines; and to let the appropriate agency know of any manufacturer, installer, or operator failing to comply with these guidelines.

3. The Association urges all sanitarians and regulatory agencies to support the work of the Association's Committee and subscribe, by law or administrative policy, to the Standards, Criteria, and Evaluation Manual for food equipment and vending machines.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Karl K. Jones, Chairman
(Indiana Association), Indiana State Board of Health, Indianapolis, Indiana

James W. Bell
(International Association), National Canners Association, Washington, D. C.

R. L. Cooper
(Kentucky Association), Calloway County Health Department, Murray, Kentucky

Martin Donovan
(Florida Association), Dade County Health Dept., Miami, Florida

Edward J. Puscas
(Tennessee Association), Oak Ridge Health Dept., Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Jerome Schoenberg
(New York Association), New York Health Dept., New York, New York

Eaton E. Smith
(Connecticut Association), State Dept. of Consumer Protection, Hartford, Connecticut

Harold Wainess
(Illinois Association), Harold Wainess and Associates, Chicago, Illinois

E. M. Causey, Jr., South Carolina State Department of Health, Columbia, South Carolina

Carroll E. Despain, State Sanitarian Supervisor, Engineering and Sanitation Division, Idaho Department of Health, Boise, Idaho.

John Patillo, Richmond City Health Department, Richmond 34, Virginia

Raymond Summerlin, Director, Food Division, Georgia Department of Agriculture, Atlanta, Georgia

Darold W. Taylor, Sanitarian Director, Sanitarian Liaison Officer, Office of the Surgeon General, PHS, Washington, D. C.

J. E. Watt, D.V.M., D.V.P.H., Supervisor, Environmental Sanitation, The Local Board of Health, City of Oshawa, Ontaria, Canada.
PLAN NOW to attend the Sanitarian’s Convention in PORTLAND – City of Roses OREGON!

COOL WATERS of Spring Creek add to the refreshing setting at Collier State Park 33 miles north of Klamath Falls on U. S. 97 in Southern Oregon. There are picnic facilities and a logging museum for you to enjoy in the park.

You and Your Family ARE INVITED
Every detail has been planned to give you a stimulating program. Every effort has been made to give you and your family a never-to-be-forgotten stay in the cool green wonderland of Oregon. Send in your reservation now!

ATTEND THE 51st ANNUAL MEETING
I. A. M. F. E. S. for 1964
HILTON HOTEL Portland, Oregon—Aug. 18-21
PROGRAM
FIFTY-FIRST ANNUAL MEETING
INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS, INC.
In Cooperation With
THE OREGON ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS, INC.
August 18-21, 1964
Hilton Hotel
Portland, Oregon

REGISTRATION
Tuesday, August 18—1:00 P.M.—5:00 P.M.
Wednesday, August 19—8:00 A.M.—6:00 P.M.
Registration Fee—$5.00
Ladies Registration—$5.00

IAMFES OFFICERS
President: J. H. Fritz, Washington, D. C.
President-Elect: Dr. W. C. Lawton, Minneapolis, Minnesota
First Vice-President: F. E. Uetz, New York, New York
Second Vice-President: Dr. P. R. Elliker, Corvallis, Oregon
Secretary-Treasurer: K. K. Jones, Indianapolis, Indiana

EXECUTIVE BOARD
C. E. Walton
R. A. Belknap
J. H. Fritz
K. K. Jones
Dr. W. C. Lawton
F. E. Uetz
Dr. P. R. Elliker
H. L. Thomasson, Ex-Officio

JOURNAL OF MILK AND FOOD TECHNOLOGY
Associate Editor: Dr. J. C. Olson, Jr., St. Paul, Minnesota
Managing Editor: H. L. Thomasson, Shelbyville, Indiana

OREGON ASSOCIATION OF MILK, FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITARIANS
President: K. E. Carl
Vice-President: A. W. Blanding
Secretary: G. Brody
Executive Committee: A. E. Parker, V. N. Simmons, J. J. McAllister, E. L. Sing

PROGRAM COMMITTEE IAMFES
Dr. W. C. Lawton, Chairman
F. E. Uetz
Dr. P. R. Elliker
K. K. Jones

CHAIRMEN OF LOCAL ARRANGEMENTS COMMITTEES
General — A. E. Parker, A. Larsen, Co-Chairmen
Registration — J. Gray
Finance — J. Nudelman

Arrangements — V. N. Simmons
Publicity — C. H. Crandall
Door Prizes — J. F. Nesbitt
Entertainment — J. J. McAllister
Ladies Activities — Mary Curry and Mary Cole

SUNDAY, AUGUST 16, 1964
3:00 p.m.—Executive Board Meeting, President’s Suite
6:00 p.m.—Dinner
8:00 p.m.—Executive Board Meeting, President’s Suite

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 1964
8:00 a.m.—Executive Board Meeting, President’s Suite
12:00 Noon—Lunch
1:30 p.m.—Executive Board Meeting, President’s Suite
6:00 p.m.—Dinner
8:00 p.m.—Executive Board Meeting, President’s Suite

TUESDAY, AUGUST 18, 1964
1:00 - 5:00 p.m.—Registration, Convention Lobby

SPECIAL MEETINGS
8:00 a.m. — 12:00 Noon—Executive Board, President’s Suite
1. Report on Local Arrangements
2. Report of Executive Secretary
3. Report on Sanitarians Joint Council
12:00 Noon—Lunch
1:30 - 5:00 p.m.—Executive Board, President’s Suite
1. Report of Journal Management Committee
2. Regular Agenda

1:30 - 5:00 p.m.—Individual Committee Meetings (See Bulletin Board)
5:00 p.m.—Dinner
7:00 - 8:30 p.m.—Affiliate Council Meeting — Parlor C
7:00 p.m.—Executive Board, President’s Suite
1. Meet with Past Presidents
2. Committee Chairmen and Committee Members
3. Report of Affiliate Council Chairman

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1964
MORNING—GENERAL SESSION
BALLROOM B
Dr. W. C. Lawton, President-Elect, IAMFES, Presiding
8:00 a.m.—REGISTRATION
9:30 a.m.—INVOCATION
9:35 a.m.—WELCOME
Mr. T. D. Schrunk, Mayor of Portland
9:50 a.m.—PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS
Mr. J. H. Fritz, President
10:15 a.m.—THE SANITARIANS’ ROLE IN THE FUTURE
Dr. J. J. Jezeski, Professor, Department of Dairy Industries, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota
11:00 a.m.—INDUSTRY AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT COOPERATION FOR BETTER SANITATION
Mr. E. L. Peteason, Executive Director, Milk Industry Foundation, Washington, D. C.
11:45 a.m.—CHARGE TO THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE
President Fritz

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1964
AFTERNOON—MILK SANITATION SECTION
BALLROOM B
Mr. Cameron Adams, Presiding
1:30 p.m.—Door Prize Drawing
1:45 p.m.—THE REVISED MILK ORDINANCE AND CODE
Mr. E. L. Ruppert, Chief, Milk and Food Branch, U.S.P.H.S., Washington, D. C.
2:30 p.m.—A PRACTICAL CONTROL PROGRAM FOR MASTITIS
Mr. R. T. Olson, Sanitarian Supervisor, Spokane Health Department, Spokane, Washington
3:15 p.m.—Break
3:30 p.m.—PREPASTEURIZATION STANDARDS FOR FLUID MILK AND CREAM
Mr. M. E. Held, Chief, Milk and Food Section, Regional Office, U.S.P.H.S., San Francisco, California
4:15 p.m.—ADAPTATION OF AUTOMATION TO DAIRY PROCESSING AS RELATED TO SANITATION
Mr. L. J. Fox, Manager, Milk Department, Safeway Stores, Inc., Oakland, California
5:00 p.m.—SANITATION IN CULTURED PRODUCTS PRODUCTION
Mr. B. M. Mayer, Research Department, Knudsen Creamery Company, Los Angeles, California

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1964
AFTERNOON—ENVIRONMENTAL SANITATION SECTION
PARLORS D AND E
Mr. C. E. Walton, Past President, Presiding
1:30 p.m.—Door Prize Drawing
1:45 p.m.—AIR POLLUTION CONTROL
Mr. P. W. Hildebrandt, Technical Director, Air Sanitation and Radiation Control Section, State of Washington Department of Health, Seattle, Washington
2:30 p.m.—HOSPITAL AND REST HOME SANITATION
Dr. T. L. Meador, Health Officer, City of Portland, Oregon
3:15 p.m.—Break
3:30 p.m.—AN EFFECTIVE HEALTH DEPARTMENT HOUSING PROGRAM
Mr. J. H. Beck, Advisory Sanitarian, State of Washington Department of Health, Olympia, Washington
4:15 p.m.—ENVIRONMENTAL SANITATION IN NATIONAL PARK AREAS
Mr. G. F. Whittworth, Regional Chief of Maintenance, National Park Service, San Francisco, California

5:00 p.m.—THE SANITARIANS’ RESPONSIBILITY IN TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SANITATION PROGRAMS
Mr. V. C. Reierson, Chief, Environmental Sanitation, Oregon State Board of Health, Portland, Oregon

WEDNESDAY EVENING, AUGUST 19, 1964

7:30 - 9:30 p.m.—EVENING DISCUSSION GROUPS
These discussion groups are for the benefit of our members who have special questions or problems which they wish to discuss informally with others. Selected individuals have agreed to answer questions and otherwise assist in discussions.

7:30 p.m.—MILK SANITATION — LABORATORY AND MILK PROCESSING
Parlors D and E—L. O. Tucker, Chairman; E. L. Sing, B. M. Mayer, W. E. Sandine, and W. K. Moseley

7:30 p.m.—EQUIPMENT STANDARDS AND SANITARY REQUIREMENTS FOR MILKING MACHINES

7:30 p.m.—FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL SANITATION
Parlor C—K. K. Jones, Chairman; V. C. Reierson, G. G. Slocum, R. D. Bovey

THURSDAY, AUGUST 20, 1964

MORNING—GENERAL SESSION
BALLROOM B
Mr. F. E. Uetz, Presiding

8:15 a.m.—Door Prize Drawing

8:30 a.m.—BIO-DEGRADATION OF SYNTHETIC DETERGENTS
Mr. G. M. Cook, Assistant to the President, Oronite Division, California Chemical Company, San Francisco, California

9:15 a.m.—WATER QUALITY CONTROL PROBLEMS IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST
Mr. C. M. Everts, Director, Pacific Northwest Water Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon

10:00 a.m.—Break

10:15 a.m.—Door Prize Drawing

10:30 a.m.—ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING
1. Report of Executive Secretary
2. Report of Secretary-Treasurer
3. Committee Reports
4. 3-A Symbol Council Report
5. Sanitarians Joint Council Report
6. Report of Resolution Committee
7. Old Business
8. New Business
9. Election of Officers
   Announcements

AFTERNOON—GENERAL SESSION

1:30 - 5:30 p.m.—TOUR SAFEWAY PLANT

THURSDAY EVENING, AUGUST 20, 1964

6:30 p.m.—Social

7:00 p.m.—ANNUAL AWARDS BANQUET
Ballroom B, Mr. J. H. Fritz, Presiding

INVOCATION

INTRODUCTION OF OREGON DAIRY PRINCESS
Master of Ceremonies, Mr. A. Larsen

PRESENTATION OF AWARDS
1. Past President’s Award
2. Citation Award
3. Honorary Life Membership
4. Sanitarian’s Award*

INSTALLATION OF OFFICERS
BANQUET SPEAKER
“Professor” M. D. Gustafson

*The Sanitarian’s Award is sponsored jointly by the Diversey Corporation, Klenzade Products, Inc., Oakite Products, Inc., Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation, and Pennsylvania Salt Company; and is administered by the International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians.
FRIDAY, AUGUST 21, 1964
MORNING—GENERAL SESSION
BALLROOM B
Dr. W. C. Lawton, Presiding

8:30 a.m.—Door Prize Drawing
8:45 a.m.—U.S.D.A. MILK GRADING STANDARDS—INTERRELATIONS BETWEEN GRADING METHODS
Dr. J. C. Olson, Jr., Professor, Department of Dairy Industries, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota
9:30 a.m.—SYMPOSIUM—FOOD INTOXICATION AND INFECTION
Moderator—Dr. J. J. Jezeski, Professor, Department of Dairy Industries, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota
STAPHYLOCOCCI IN FOOD
Dr. Thomas Bartram, Chief, Bacteriological Branch, Division of Microbiology, Food and Drug Administration, Washington, D.C.
SALMONELLAE IN FOOD
Dr. E. J. Bowmer, Director, Health Branch, Division of Laboratories, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
CLOSTRIDIUM BOTULINUM FOOD POISONING
Dr. E. M. Foster, Professor, Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin
LABORATORY METHODS FOR ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF ORGANISMS ASSOCIATED WITH FOOD INTOXICATION AND INFECTION
Dr. R. Angelotti, Chief, Food Microbiology, Robert A. Taft Sanitary Engineering Center, Cincinnati, Ohio

FRIDAY, AUGUST 21, 1964
AFTERNOON—FOOD SANITATION SECTION
BALLROOM B
Mr. J. H. Feitz, Presiding

1:30 p.m.—Door Prize Drawing
1:45 p.m.—SANITATION IN FROZEN FOOD PRODUCTION AND HANDLING
Mr. R. P. Elliot, Chemist, Poultry Laboratory, U.S.D.A., Albany, California
2:30 p.m.—SANITATION IN SEAFOOD PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION
Dr. J. Liston, Associate Professor, Food Science, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
3:15 p.m.—Break
3:30 p.m.—SANITARY DESIGN OF FOOD PRODUCTION EQUIPMENT
Mr. E. S. Doyle, Head, Sanitation and Technical Education, National Canners Association, Berkeley, California
4:15 p.m.—SANITARY DESIGN AND EVALUATION OF FOOD SERVICE EQUIPMENT
Mr. C. A. Farrush, Executive Director, National Sanitation Foundation, Ann Arbor, Michigan
5:00 p.m.—U.S.P.H.S. RECOMMENDED PROCEDURE FOR THE EVALUATION OF FOOD SERVICE SANITATION PROGRAMS
Mr. W. F. Bower, Food Consultant, Milk and Food Branch, U.S.P.H.S., Washington, D.C.
6:00 p.m.—SALMON BAKE
Courtesy Oregon Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians, Inc.

ENTERTAINMENT

Evening Entertainment for Men and Women
Entertainment for Women during Meetings

TUESDAY, AUGUST 18, 1964
7:00 p.m.—“No Host” social hour

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1964
9:30 p.m.—Cheese Smorgasboard after evening meeting

THURSDAY, AUGUST 20, 1964
6:30 p.m.—Social
7:00 p.m.—Banquet

FRIDAY, AUGUST 21, 1964
6:00 p.m.—Salmon Bake

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1964
10:00 a.m.—“No Host” Brunch — Mrs. Ivan Park-in — Report on World Tour
1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.—Trip to Bonneville Dam and Columbia River Gorge

THURSDAY, AUGUST 20, 1964
10:00 a.m.—Tour of Lloyd Center
Noon Luncheon at Pacific Room, Sheraton Hotel and Jantzen Style Show

All the women’s entertainment listed above except the “No Host” Brunch will be included in the $5 registration fee.
AFFILIATES OF
International Assn. of Milk, Food & Environmental Sanitarians

ARIZONA ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS
Pres., Perry Klump __________ Phoenix
Pres.-Elect, Mason Lang __________ Phoenix
Sec.-Treas., Hiram House __________ Room 430, State Office Bldg., Phoenix
Executive Board:
O. V. Cooper __________ Phoenix
O. G. Bridgeman __________ Phoenix

ASSOCIATED ILLINOIS MILK SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION
Pres., John Dean __________ Chicago
Pres.-Elect, Henry Ellsworth __________ Chicago
1st Vice-Pres., Joseph Peterson __________ Winnetka
2nd Vice-Pres., Harold Jensen __________ Winnetka
Sec.-Treas., James A. Meany __________ 8949 S. Laffin St., Chicago 20, Ill.

AUDITORS:
Don Coduto __________ Chicago
Wm. Waterman __________ Woodstock

EXECUTIVE BOARD:
Lou Pickels __________ Peoria
Robert Mannenberg __________ Delevan, Wis.
John Dean __________ Chicago
Henry Ellsworth __________ Chicago
Joseph Peterson __________ Chicago
Harold Jensen __________ Winnetka
James Meany __________ Chicago

CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY AND MILK SANITARIANS
Pres., Walter Wilson __________ Los Angeles
1st Vice Pres., M. A. Cairns __________ San Francisco
2nd Vice Pres., Leland H. Lockhart __________ Glendale
Sec.-Treas., Loren E. Pine __________ San Bernardino County Health Dept., 13271 Central Ave., Calif.
Past Pres., E. L. Samuel __________ San Jose

AUDITORS:
William P. Nixon __________ San Francisco
Wayne Huff __________ Los Angeles

CENTRAL ONTARIO MILK SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION
Pres., Dr. J. E. Watt __________ Oshawa
Vice-Pres., Herman Cathers __________ Barrie
Secretary, Thomas Dickison __________ 57 Alder Ave., Creesh
Treasurer, Jack Raithby __________ Toronto

DIRECTORS:
Glen White __________ Acton
F. S. Whitchcock __________ Burlington
R. B. Brown __________ Gormley
Dr. A. N. Myhr __________ Quelpel
Ray Bowles __________ Toronto

CONNECTICUT ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY AND FOOD SANITARIANS, INC.
Pres., W. W. Buckingham __________ Wethersfield
Vice-Pres., Albert R. Pernice __________ Hamden
Secretary, Dr. R. M. Parry, Dept. of Agric., State Office Bldg., Hartford
Treas., Curtis W. Chaffee __________ Bloomfield
Asst.-Treas., Raymond F. Anderson __________ Middletown
Secretary Emeritus, H. Clifford Goslee __________ Hartford

DAIRY SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION OF THE DEL-MAR-VA PENINSULA
Pres., A. I. Shepard __________ Trenton, Del.
Vice-Pres., Ward Meredith __________ Dover, Del.
Secretary, William Hastings __________ Centerville, Md.
Treasurer, William Erb

FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS
Pres., Lewis Willis __________ Jacksonville
Pres.-Elect, B. C. Pafford __________ Gainesville
Lab. Section Chairman:
Dave Fry __________ Orlando

DIRECTORS:
Lewis Willis __________ Jacksonville
B. C. Pafford __________ Gainesville
K. L. Smith __________ Gainesville
Richard Jolley __________ St. Petersburg
Howard Young __________ Sebring
Robert Pryor __________ Tampa
T. H. Delaney __________ Sebring
Laird Mireau __________ Orlando
Hugh Burton __________ Jacksonville
Dave Fry __________ Orlando

GEORGIA SOCIETY OF REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SANITARIANS
Pres., R. L. Tindol __________ Valdosta
Vice-Pres., C. M. Graham, Jr. __________ Jesup
Sec.-Treas., J. J. Sheuring, Dairy Dept., U. of Georgia __________ Athens

DIRECTORS:
N. E. Region __________ Craig Gay
N. W. Region __________ Lee Zusan
S. E. Region __________ Sherman Comings
S. W. Region __________ Raymond Billings
C. E. Region __________ Charles Canno, Jr.
C. W. Region __________ Ralph Herndon

IDAHO SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION
Pres., Robert Olson __________ Boise
Vice-Pres., Huey Reed __________ Nampa
Sec., Newell Mangun, City-County Health Dept., 209 Sherwood St., Boise

INDIANA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS
Pres., Ray Gauthier __________ Hammond
Pres.-Elect, L. C. Lukemeyer __________ Huntington
1st Vice-Pres., Karl K. Jones __________ Indianapolis
2nd Vice-Pres., J. M. Nix __________ Rochester
Treasurer, James Good pasture __________ Bloomington

SEC., John M. Schlegel __________ 1330 W. Michigan St., Indianapolis 7, Ind.

AUDITORS:
Eugene Blades __________ Muncie
William H. Teague __________ Evansville

EXECUTIVE BOARD:
Ray Gauthier __________ Hammond
L. C. Lukemeyer __________ Huntington
Karl K. Jones __________ Indianapolis
James Good pasture __________ Bloomington

JOHN M. SCHLEGEL __________ Indianapolis
Tom Snider __________ Fort Wayne
Robert Nelson __________ Muncie
J. M. Nix __________ Rochester
H. L. Thomasson __________ Shelbyville

IOWA ASSOCIATION OF MILK SANITARIANS
Pres., Earl Wright __________ Ames
Pres.-Elect and Acting Sec-Treas., H. A. Bayes, State Dept. of Health, Des Moines
1st Vice-Pres., Edwin H. Wegerman __________ Marion
2nd Vice-Pres., Ernest Smith __________ Hayfield

FACULTY ADVISOR:
Dr. M. P. Baker __________ Ames

KANSAS ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH SANITARIANS
Pres., Lawrence Cassidy __________ Pratt
1st Vice-Pres., Lowell Venis __________ Lyons
2nd Vice-Pres., Perry Uhl __________ Topeka
Sec.-Treas., Frank L. Kelley __________ Lawrence

KENTUCKY ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS
Pres., R. L. Cooper __________ Murray
Vice-Pres., Ralph Jones __________ Paducah
Sec.-Treas., Dudley J. Conner __________ 275 E. Main St., Frankfort, Ky.

DIRECTORS:
Lyman C. Kneier __________ Louisville
R. V. Fiers __________ Paducah
John H. Hall __________ Somerset
J. A. Phillips __________ Lexington
G. H. Hicks __________ Louisville
Henry Randolph __________ Lexington

MASSACHUSETTS MILK INSPECTORS ASSOCIATION
Pres., Eugene Reilly __________ Worcester
Vice-Pres., J. Joseph Kilmartin __________ Lowell
Sec.-Treas., John J. Curtin __________ 57 German Ave., Quincy

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:
Eugene Reilly __________ Worcester
J. Joseph Kilmartin __________ Lowell
John J. Curtin __________ Quincy
Christopher Sheehan __________ Lawrence
Frank J. Lynch __________ Holyoke
Kenneth Dorman __________ Gilletteville
Charles Drake __________ Shrewsbury

MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS
Pres., Roger Lewis __________ Muskegon
Past Pres., Ralph Florio __________ Pontiac City
Pres.-Elect, Frank Peabody __________ East Lansing
Secretary, Norm Pardapoi, 3500 N. Logan __________ Lansing
Treasurer, Robert Lyons __________ Lansing

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:
Eri Irwin __________ Flushing
Armin Roth __________ Dearborn
Bruce Reynolds __________ Lake City
Kenneth Cotter __________ Detroit

MINNESOTA SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION
Pres., Lyle Beamish __________ Fergus Falls
Vice-Pres., J. C. Olson __________ St. Paul
**Affiliates of IAMFS**

**OREGON ASSOCIATION OF MILK SANITARIANS**

Pres., Kenneth Carl  
Vice-Pres., Arthur Blanding  
Sec.-Treas., Glenn Brody, 12090 S. E. King Rd., Portland

**Executive Committee:**

Virgil Simmons  
Ed Sing  
Jim McAllister  
Art Parker

**Auditors:**

Ellis Raccellof  
Loren Edlund  
Salem

**PENNSYLVANIA DAIRY SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION**

Pres., Edwin Wagner  
Vice-Pres., Paul Dougherty  
Vice-Pres., L. F. Dubele  
Sec.-Treas., Homer G. Young, 202 Willow Rd., Glenshaw, Pa.

**Association Advisor:**

Ivan E. Parkin  
University Park

**RHODE ISLAND ASSOCIATION OF DAIRY AND FOOD SANITARIANS**

Pres., Fred Federici  
Vice-Pres., Clifford Cosgrove  
Sec.-Treas., Sidney Shepard, Box 22, 1985 M. Street,  
Warwick, R. I.

**NEW YORK STATE ASSOCIATION OF MILK SANITARIANS**

Pres., Caryl H. DuMont  
Post-Pres., Harvey G. Turner  
Sec.-Treas., R. P. March, 118 Stocking Hall, Cornell Univ.  
Ithaca

**Executive Committee:**

Robert F. Holland  
Edward Jensen  
Walter Taghagh  
Nelson J. Holh  
Rensselaer

**MISSOURI ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS**

Pres., Robert Wehner  
Vice-Pres., Floyd Copenhaver  
Sec.-Treas., Joe Edmondson, University of Missouri  
Gulfport

**Executive Board:**

Richard Brown  
A. Z. Tonerlin  
Kansas City  
Popular Bluff

**MISSISSIPPI ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS**

Pres., J. L. Lary, Jr.  
Vice-Pres., J. L. Knight  
1st Vice-Pres., Floyd Copenhaver  
2nd Vice-Pres., Eugene Viets  
Sec.-Treas., Joe Edmondson, University of Missouri  
Columbia

**AUDITORS:**

Richard Brown  
A. Z. Tonerlin  
Kansas City  
Popular Bluff

**NEW YORK STATE ASSOCIATION OF MILK SANITARIANS**

Pres., Caryl H. DuMont  
Post-Pres., Harvey G. Turner  
Vice-Pres., Donald H. Race  
Sec.-Treas., R. P. March, 118 Stocking Hall, Cornell Univ.  
Ithaca

**Executive Committee:**

Robert F. Holland  
Edward Jensen  
Wantagh  
Nelson J. Holh  
Rensselaer

**NEW ENGLAND ASSOCIATION OF MILK SANITARIANS**

Pres., C. J. Peloquin  
Vice-Pres., Robert D. Leiferman  
Past-Pres., Robert S. M. King  
Sec.-Treas., George J. Cooley  
25th Avenue, 1000 W. Street,  
Manchester, N. H.

**Executive Board:**

Robert A. Kay  
Spartanburg  
C. G. Dourman  
Pickens  
Albert R. Taber  
Dillon  
Ernest V. Altman  
Horry  
J. F. Still  
Orangeburg  
Joe Owns  
Berkeley

**SOUTH DAKOTA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS**

Pres., Harold C. Pengra  
Vice-Pres., Robert Leiferman  
Sec.-Treas., Edward P. Michalewicz  
State Office  
Pierre

**EXECUTIVE BOARD:**

Casey Anderson  
Aberdeen

**VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS**

Pres., G. D. Shelor  
Vice-Pres., C. M. Cooley  
2nd Vice-Pres., Jay S. Taylor  
Sec.-Treas., G. S. Kennedy, 6000 Crestwood Ave.  
Richmond

**CHAIRMAN:**

IAMFS Section, W. H. Gill  
Richmond

**AUDITORS:**

L. Z. Horne  
Norfolk  
L. A. Huff  
Roanoke

**WISCONSIN ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS**

Pres., Dan G. Jindra  
Vice-Pres., and Vice-Pres.-Elec, Donald G. Raffel  
Sec.-Treas., L. Wayne Brown, 421 Chem Bldg., Univ. of Wis.  
Madison

** DIRECTORS:**

A. I. Negus, Jr.  
Madison  
Clyde J. Brunner  
Shawano

**WASHINGTON MILK SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION**

Pres., C. O. Johnson  
Vice-Pres., Syd Schueller  
Past-Pres., Howard Copenhaver  
Sec.-Treas., Ben Luce, Box 1122,  
Olympia, Wash.

**AUDITORS:**

Clayton Gustafson  
Vancouver  
Robert Freimund  
Seattle

**EXECUTIVE BOARD:**

Robert A. Kay  
Spartanburg  
C. G. Dourman  
Pickens  
Albert R. Taber  
Dillon  
Ernest V. Altman  
Horry  
J. F. Still  
Orangeburg  
Joe Owns  
Berkeley

**SOUTH DAKOTA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS**

Pres., Harold C. Pengra  
Vice-Pres., Robert Leiferman  
Sec.-Treas., Edward P. Michalewicz  
State Office  
Pierre

**EXECUTIVE BOARD:**

Casey Anderson  
Aberdeen

**VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS**

Pres., G. D. Shelor  
Vice-Pres., C. M. Cooley  
2nd Vice-Pres., Jay S. Taylor  
Sec.-Treas., G. S. Kennedy, 6000 Crestwood Ave.  
Richmond

**CHAIRMAN:**

IAMFS Section, W. H. Gill  
Richmond

**AUDITORS:**

L. Z. Horne  
Norfolk  
L. A. Huff  
Roanoke

**WISCONSIN ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS**

Pres., Dan G. Jindra  
Vice-Pres., and Vice-Pres.-Elec, Donald G. Raffel  
Sec.-Treas., L. Wayne Brown, 421 Chem Bldg., Univ. of Wis.  
Madison

**DIRECTORS:**

A. I. Negus, Jr.  
Madison  
Clyde J. Brunner  
Shawano

**WASHINGTON MILK SANITARIANS ASSOCIATION**

Pres., C. O. Johnson  
Vice-Pres., Syd Schueller  
Past-Pres., Howard Copenhaver  
Sec.-Treas., Ben Luce, Box 1122,  
Olympia, Wash.

**AUDITORS:**

Clayton Gustafson  
Vancouver  
Robert Freimund  
Seattle

**EXECUTIVE BOARD:**

Robert A. Kay  
Spartanburg  
C. G. Dourman  
Pickens  
Albert R. Taber  
Dillon  
Ernest V. Altman  
Horry  
J. F. Still  
Orangeburg  
Joe Owns  
Berkeley

**SOUTH DAKOTA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS**

Pres., Harold C. Pengra  
Vice-Pres., Robert Leiferman  
Sec.-Treas., Edward P. Michalewicz  
State Office  
Pierre

**EXECUTIVE BOARD:**

Casey Anderson  
Aberdeen

**VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS**

Pres., G. D. Shelor  
Vice-Pres., C. M. Cooley  
2nd Vice-Pres., Jay S. Taylor  
Sec.-Treas., G. S. Kennedy, 6000 Crestwood Ave.  
Richmond

**CHAIRMAN:**

IAMFS Section, W. H. Gill  
Richmond

**AUDITORS:**

L. Z. Horne  
Norfolk  
L. A. Huff  
Roanoke

**WISCONSIN ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS**

Pres., Dan G. Jindra  
Vice-Pres., and Vice-Pres.-Elec, Donald G. Raffel  
Sec.-Treas., L. Wayne Brown, 421 Chem Bldg., Univ. of Wis.  
Madison

**DIRECTORS:**

A. I. Negus, Jr.  
Madison  
Clyde J. Brunner  
Shawano
NEWS AND EVENTS

CONNECTICUT ASSOCIATION HOLDS SPRING MEETING

The Connecticut Association of Dairy and Food Sanitarians, Inc. held their spring meet May 13 at the Ambassador Restaurant, Hamden, Conn. Separate sessions were held for food and milk in the morning and a joint session was held in the afternoon.

In the food session meeting the following topics were presented: Changes in State Sanitary Code regarding Eating and Drinking Establishments, by Theodore Willerford; Handy Pantry, A New Method of Frozen Food Merchandising by Carl Strand; Food Additives, Nevis Cook; Freeze Drying of Foods, Dr. Philip Stiles.

Milk session; Panel Discussion; Connecticut Mastitis Control Program, John McGeeber, Moderator; Field Operation, Jean Smith; Laboratory Practices and Procedures, Leander Williams; Consequences on Milk Quality Control, B. M. Parry; Summary of effect on herd health, Economics and Quality, Albert Pernice; Proposed Changes in the U.S.P.H.S. Ordinance and Code, Russell W. Waldo; Standard Methods, Earl Borman; 3-A Sanitary Standards, R. M. Parry; Promotion of Sales of Dairy Products, Robert D. C. Hughes.

Joint session; Regulations of the Board of Pesticide Control, Anthony Wallace; Chemical Compatibility of Water Supplies, A. K. Saunders.

INDIANA ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS HOLD FOURTEENTH ANNUAL MEETING

The fourteenth annual meeting of the Indiana Association of Sanitarians was held June 2, 3, 4 at the Indiana State Board of Health with about 200 in attendance.

The program was generally considered to be the best ever held and was highlighted by the banquet speaker, Dr. Carl Byers, General Motors staff speaker. Dr. Byers' topic was, "What's Showing Through Your Window". Indication of the tremendous impact Dr. Byers had on his audience was the standing ovation accord him at the close of his talk.

The meeting was divided into four sessions; General, Food, Milk and Environmental. Topics presented were: Public Relations, Howard B. Morris; Disposable Refuse Containers, A. B. Roebuck; Sanitarians Role in Radiation Control, James C. Everett, Jr.; Geologic Aspects of Water and Sewage Sanitation, William J. Wayne; Status of Water Pollution in Indiana, B. A. Poole; Coordination of Planning Commission and Environmental Sanitation, Albert Klatte and F. Ross Vogelgesang; Recent Developments in Insect and Rodent Control, Harry D. Pratt; The Fundamentals and Application of Food Storage Equipment, Jack Haber, An Industry-Health Department Evaluation of Food Industry Advisory Committee, Siegel Osborn and Ferd Doll; Current Status of Vending Machine Sanitation, David E. Hartley; Sanitarians Responsibilities in Seizures, Embargoes and Condemnation, Frank Fisher; Effective Methods to Train Food Service Personnel, Hugh E. Eagan;
One Approach to Effective Food Service Sanitation, W. J. (Bill) Long; Free Stall Housing of Dairy Cattle, John C. Reed; Finger Printing Quality by Sediment Testing, Richard E. Vaughn; How Types of Bacteria Have Affected Dairy Sanitation, C. A. Able; Thermophilics and Thermodurics in Dairy Products, W. K. Moseley; Some Recent Experiences With Added Water, John E. Abele; Interstate Milk Shipper Program, Karl Mohr.

Officers elected at the business meeting were: President, Ray Gauthier; President-Elect, L. C. Luke meyer; First Vice-President, Karl K. Jones; Second Vice-President, J. W. Nix; Secretary, John M. Schlegel; Treasurer, James Goodpasture; Auditors, Eugene Blades, Muncie and William T. Teague, Evansville.

Ronald O. Brown senior President automatically retired from the Executive Board. Robert C. Nelson became immediate Past President and Tom Snider, Senior Past President.

MISSISSIPPI ASSOCIATION OF SANITARIANS
HOLD THIRD ANNUAL MEETING

The third annual meeting of the Mississippi Association was held May 7, 8 at the King Edward Hotel, Jackson. The attendance was very good and an excellent program was presented consisting of the following topics: Public Relations, Clarence Jackson; Food Sanitation, Joseph F. O'Brien; Radiation and Milk, Dr. Melvin Carter; Responsibilities of Association Affiliates, H. L. Thomasson; Environmental Sanitation, Gladwin O. Unrau. Dr. A. L. Gray presided at the Banquet. The Honorable Ray Black, State Senator and a member of the Public Health Committee of the State Legislature was the banquet speaker. Officers of the Association are: President, J. L. Lary, Jr.; President-Elect, J. L. Knight; First Vice-President, P. L. Bradshaw; Second Vice President, L. J. Butler; Secretary-Treasurer, J. T. Mason; Past President, A. K. Monroe. All officers automatically move up to the next office and John T. Miller, Jr., Greenwood, was elected Second Vice President; James T. Mason was re-elected Secretary-Treasurer.

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE TRAINING COURSES

The Public Health Service will present consecutive one-week training courses beginning with Microbiological Examination of Milk and Milk Products, October 19-23, 1964, followed, October 26-30, by Chemical Analysis of Milk and Milk Products, both at the Robert A. Taft Sanitary Engineering Center in Cincinnati. They are offered for personnel in responsible positions in laboratories engaged in milk analysis and dairy products examination. Instruction in the first course enables the trainee to select the proper tests for measuring the sanitary quality of milk supplies and to interpret results; in the analysis course, to interpret dairy literature and to identify the chemical and physical procedures used to evaluate the quality of milk and milk products. They are conducted by personnel of the Division of Environmental Engineering and Food Protection.

More complete descriptions of the courses are given in the new Bulletin of Courses which is available on request. Applications or requests for information should be sent to the Director, Training Program, Robert A. Taft Sanitary Engineering Center, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio, 45226, or to an appropriate PHS Regional Office. No tuition or registration fee is required.

HAROLD S. ADAMS RECEIVES AWARD

Harold S. Adams receives Tim Sullivan Award which is an award presented to an outstanding sanitarian each year by the Indiana Public Health Association. Left to right, Malcolm McLelland, Pres.-Elect I.P.H.A., Frank Fisher, Chairman, Awards Committee, Harold S. Adams, Dr. Brockmole, Pres. I.P.H.A.

AMERICAN SANITATION INSTITUTE
ADDS TO STAFF

Leo Cramer, Roscoe Jordan, and Robert Stanley, retired from the Food & Drug Administration, have recently joined the Staff of the American Sanitation Institute, a division of the huge Company in St. Louis. Working in the capacity of Staff Sanitarians, they will consult with the Institute's Clientele, providing periodic unannounced sanitation audits aimed at helping the Client comply with Federal Regulations, and helping to correct any sanitation hazards encountered by developing preventive sanitation programs.

Having had a total of 92 years of FDA experience,
they are well qualified for just such work, and are expected to augment significantly the already professional services of the Institute's Staff of inspectors-consultants.

Mr. Leo Cramer began his service in 1925 with the Division of Food & Dairies for the state of Nebraska. In 1931 he joined the Federal Food & Drug Administration in Chicago as an inspector and served in this capacity also in the Kansas City District from 1934 to 1938, when he returned to Chicago as Assistant Chief in the old District Food & Drug office there. In 1952 he became the Food & Drug Resident Inspector in Omaha, and in 1953 moved to St. Louis as the Chief Inspector. In 1957 he was transferred out to Denver as Chief Inspector and retired in 1960 with 30 years' service.

Mr. Roscoe Jordan graduated from the University of Missouri in 1928 with a Master's Degree in Agriculture. In 1929, he joined the FDA (then the Food, Drug, & Insecticide Administration, under the Dept. of Agriculture) as a Jr. Inspector, working in the Cincinnati District. He was transferred to Missouri in 1930, where he stayed until 1936, when he opened the Omaha, Nebraska Resident Inspection Station. 1939 found him again in Missouri, where he worked, concentrating on Dairy Products, until his retirement in 1959 after 30 years service.

Mr. Robert Stanley graduated from the University of Montana with a major in Chemistry and began his FDA service in Washington, D. C. in 1929. He was engaged in the analysis of insecticides and fungicides at the Insecticide Control Laboratory and was transferred to the St. Louis branch later that same year. From 1934 to 1959 he sojourned in Chicago where he became a drug specialist, after which he was transferred to the Denver District. Here he was named Import Officer. In addition he did all the work on Narcotics for the Bureau of Narcotics, which resulted in his appearance in several significant Narcotics cases. In 1961, after 32 years as a Food and Drug Chemist, he received his retirement.

The American Sanitation Institute's Staff of over 20 Sanitarians are located all over the U. S. and Mr. Cramer will be covering Denver and the surrounding area; Mr. Stanley will consult with clients in Idaho, Montana, and Utah's Salt Lake City area; Mr. Jordan's area includes New Mexico and Arizona.

---

**WHAT ROLE CAN "SOFT" DETERGENTS PLAY?**

*by Henry Yuen, Babson Bros. Co.*

During the past few months, newspapers in many parts of the country have been talking about "soft" detergents. Some of you milk and food technologists probably have read that some people can't use anything except a "soft" detergent because there will be a ban on the hard detergents.

What is a "soft" detergent? This is a natural question together with the question, "Why do we have to use something different than what we already have?" Here is the story in a nutshell.

The modern synthetic detergents are super surface-active chemicals. They provide wetting, penetrating and dispersing and detersive action, a lot of plus values in cleaning and sanitizing. They also make excellent washing compounds, but they do have one fault.

This is a lingering foam and they continue to give suds long after the washing solutions have gone down the drain. They are resistant to breakdown by the bacteria and sewage system.

As a result, the billowing foam on the stream surfaces has sparked a furor over the healthfulness of our water suppliers and possible pollution of our water resources. Authorities now want to do away with these hard detergents and are asking for "soft" detergents that will decompose readily and not leave a residue that remains active very long.

It is important to note that it is generally recognized that these detergent residues are not a health hazard at low concentrations.

The continued sudsing of the long-lasting detergents is, however, regarded as a nuisance and a headachy problem to the sanitation engineer.

Water is widely distributed in nature, but it is not abundant everywhere. There are actually water shortages in many areas of this country, and industrial and residential areas, and in urban and suburban areas.

This water shortage problem has become so critical in the last two decades that the Department of the Interior is currently spending some $10,000,000.00 for developing methods to desalt sea water and convert brackish water on a commercial basis for irrigation, industrial and domestic use. Heavy industries are recycling the water they use for processing and manufacturers of chemical and allied products are told not to dump chemical wastes into nearby creeks and streams.

All these things are being done in a concerted effort to preserve our water resources. In response to this call, the detergent industry has committed itself to make and sell only "soft" detergents after December, 1965.

All told, millions of dollars would have been spent on the conversions of plant facilities for making the new detergents. These will be readily digested by natural bacteria and will not pass into water supplies. They will further rid the streams and sewage systems of the foam nuisance for the engineers.
RESEARCH IN PROGRESS

Effect of Surface Active Agents On the Rate of Oxygen Transfer

At the meeting of the Water Pollution Control Federation in Seattle this past October, Dr. K. H. Mancy, who last year received his Ph.D. in the Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, reported on studies made under the supervision of Dr. Daniel A. Okun concerning the effect of anionic surfactants (Aerosol O.T.) on the rate of oxygen transfer. Reported studies on the effect of surface active agents (SAA) on aeration processes have given conflicting results, highly dependent on the aeration system. Early investigations in bubble aeration systems showed that the rate of gas transfer was greatly dependent on the hydro-dynamic activity of the bubbles. In this study, however, gas transfer processes were considered to be composed of two consecutive steps, the transfer across the liquid surface and the transfer in the bulk of the liquid. It was possible then to visualize a total resistance to gas transfer $R_t (R_t = 1/K_t)$, composed of two resistances in series, the liquid surface resistance $R_s$ and the liquid bulk resistance $R_b$. SAA were conceived to influence only $R_s$ while $R_b$ is dependent on the liquid hydro-dynamics characteristics.

Experimentally, it was possible to recognize three sets of conditions. At low rates of liquid mixing, $R_b$ is much greater than $R_s$ and the effect of SAA on $R_s$ is masked so that there was no change in $R_t$. At higher rates of mixing, $R_s$ is much greater than $R_b$ and SAA causes a considerable increase in $R_t$. At even higher mixing conditions, the liquid surface is disturbed and $R_t$ is dependent on surface renewal.

This study was made possible by the development of a galvanic cell oxygen analyzer in this laboratory. The analyzer was placed in a deaerated solution and the rate of oxygenation across a quiescent surface was determined for various conditions of mixing at various concentrations of SAA.

The above studies have been carried out with the aid of a research grant from the Division of Water Supply and Pollution Control of the Public Health Service.

Development of a Field Test for Free Chlorine

A new project, the development of a field test specific for free chlorine, is being pursued by Paul Lefcourt, Graduate Research Assistant, formerly associated with the Norwegian Institute of Water Research, and Robert Mosesman, Research Trainee, under the direction of Dr. J. Donald Johnson, Assistant Professor of Environmental Chemistry. This research is being sponsored under a contract with the Army. The primary method of attack to date is to remove the interference of the chloramines from the common colorimetric methods while maintaining or improving their simplicity. In the coming months, new methods of attack on this problem will be used, such as color masking or screening as is done with acid-base mixed indicators such as methyl purple. With these and other techniques, a yes-no answer to water safety in terms of free chlorine residue should be given by this test.

Evaluation of the Performance of Ventilating Systems in Hospital Operating Rooms

A technique for the evaluation of the performance of the ventilation system used in hospital operating rooms has been developed by Dr. David Fraser, Associate Professor of Industrial Hygiene. The method consists of generating an aerosol of small uniformly sized sodium chloride particles at strategic points in the room to be tested. An aerosol generator suitable for this purpose was constructed. The distribution of the aerosol throughout the room as a function of time was determined, using a specially designed portable flame photometer. This instrument was sensitive to the mass concentration of sodium ions present in the atmosphere. The fallout pattern of the aerosol at various points in the room was determined by means of specially prepared strips of film which were sensitive to the chloride ion.

The performance of the ventilation system was evaluated on the basis of the rate of increase of the aerosol concentration at various points of the room and the fallout pattern of the aerosol particles which settled during the period of the test. Particular attention was given to these factors in the area occupied by the operating table. These observations were repeated after alterations had been made in the existing ventilation system. A comparison of these observations made it possible to determine which alterations of the ventilation system produced a minimum of deposition and the lowest concentration of the aerosol at the site of the operating table.

This test system was used by the author to evaluate the performance of the ventilation system installed in one operating room in the new operating wing of the clinical center of the National Institute of Health. The procedure has been used subsequently by personnel of the research services branch of the National Institutes of Health to evaluate the performance of the ventilation systems in several other operating rooms.

Work on this project was sponsored by the Environmental Services Branch, Division of Research Services, National Institutes of Health.

Nutritional Requirements of Enterotoxigenic Strains of Staphylococcus Aureus

Little is known about the nutritional conditions necessary for enterotoxin production by food-poisoning...
strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. As a preliminary step in the investigation of enterotoxin production, the nutritional requirements of enterotoxigenic strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* were investigated.

Generally no essential nutritional differences were found between enterotoxigenic and non-enterotoxigenic strains. In a synthetic medium containing glucose, ammonium sulfate, other inorganic salts, thiamine and nicotinic acid, the minimum amino acid requirement was satisfied by cystine and arginine. Good growth comparable to that in non-synthetic media was attained with a synthetic medium containing eight amino acids, *viz* arginine, aspartic acid, cystine, glycine, valine, proline, histidine, and phenylalanine.

Glucose concentrations from 1 to 50 grams per liter were favorable for growth. A pH range from 5.5 to 8.0 was suitable.

Investigations on enterotoxin production in synthetic media are in progress. Immunological methods are used for the detection and quantitative estimation of enterotoxin (type B).

These investigations are supported by the U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command, Department of the Army, and conducted by Mr. Howard Peters, a doctoral candidate, under the direction of Dr. Fritz Sulzer, Associate Professor of Microbiology.

**Effect of Combustion Variables on the Production of 3,4-Benz[a]pyrene**

A study was undertaken to determine what effect various conditions had on the production of benzo(a)pyrene during the burning of different fuel compounds. Benzo(a)pyrene is a cancer-causing chemical which is emitted to the air in smoke and exhaust from combustion processes. It has been found in the air wherever it has been sought, even in "clean" country air.

A special combustion apparatus was constructed, and in addition, a sensitive method for analysis of benzo(a)pyrene was developed in the course of this study. The variables in the experiment were type of fuel compound, the per cent of excess air, energy release rate, and rate of exhaust cooling. The flame quenching and heat release rate apparently had no effect on benzo(a)pyrene production. Only the amount of excess air and the molecular structure of the fuel compounds produced statistically significant differences in benzo(a)pyrene production.

The results of this study indicated that in any real combustion situation benzo(a)pyrene will be produced, but that an optimum air-full ratio can be found which will minimize the production of this cancer-causing substance.

This investigation, conducted by Dr. Richard W. Boubel under the supervision of Dr. Lyman A. Riperton, Associate Professor of Air Hygiene, was carried out with the aid of a Predoctoral Fellowship granted to Dr. Boubel by the Division of General Medical Sciences, United States Public Health Service.

**Anaerobiosis in the Activated-Sludge Process**

It is commonly held that in the activated-sludge process the return of sludge from secondary settling should be as rapid as possible because anaerobic conditions seem detrimental. The few publications dealing with this subject, however, are not conclusive. This investigation was undertaken to determine the effects of anaerobiosis on the activated-sludge process.

Specific oxygen uptake (milligrams of oxygen utilized per gram of volatile sludge solids per hour) was used to characterize biological activity. The oxygen consumption was measured in closed, liquid-phase respirometers designed for this purpose. In the respirometer the change in oxygen concentration was determined by means of a silver-lead, membrane covered galvanic cell electrode system. The response of the oxygen-sensitive electrode was transmitted to strip chart recorders.

Samples of activated sludge from plants operated at high-rate, conventional and low-rate loadings were tested in the laboratory. After various periods of settling (up to 24 hours) without measurable D.O., oxygen uptake was measured under conditions of endogenous respiration as well as with nutrient added. Glucose, acetate and sterile sewage were used for these substrate respiration studies.

Two parallel pilot plants were built: one was operated under completely aerobic conditions, while the other included a closed, unaerated holding tank in which return sludge was held with stirring, for a fixed period of anaerobiosis. Sludge from these plants was also studied in the laboratory as described above.

The results showed that anaerobiosis had little effect on the respiration rates. Statistical analyses of the respiration data as a function of the time period of anaerobiosis settling were made under the hypothesis that respiration rates are independent of period of anaerobiosis. For all conditions, except for the plant operated at high-rate loading without anaerobiosis, the hypothesis was confirmed, demonstrating that oxygen uptake is not affected by anaerobiosis periods up to 10 hours.

Operational data from the pilot plants showed that activated-sludge treatment at high-rate loading (above 100 pounds BOD per 1000 cubic feet of aeration tank capacity) with a 4.5-hour period of enforced anaerobiosis produced less sludge than the plant with completely aerobic conditions. Furthermore the sludge was more compact. At lower loading rates the waste sludge built up similarly in both plants, although
The above investigations were carried out by Dr. W. C. Westgarth, Research Fellow, under the direction of Dr. F. T. Sulzer, Associate Professor of Microbiology, and Dr. Daniel A. Okun. This research was sponsored by the Chicago Pump Company.

1Reprinted from ESE Notes School of Public Health, University of North Carolina.

WISCONSIN ASSOCIATION OF MILK AND FOOD SANITARIANS APPOINT COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

The Committee on Education, which was authorized at the last annual meeting and which has been designated to work with a corresponding committee of the Wisconsin Association of Sanitarians on the qualifications of sanitarians and the establishing of a course in the Sanitary Sciences at the University of Wisconsin, has now been appointed. President Dan Jindra has appointed Dr. K. G. Weckel, Mr. Edward R. Friday, and Mr. Stan Wittwer to serve on this joint committee. Dan will also represent our association in his capacity as president, coordinating his activities with those of Mr. Richard Rowley, who is President of the Wisconsin Association of Sanitarians. Clarence Luchterhand will act as Chairman of the joint committee.

Presently, a study is being carried out as requested by the Wisconsin Association of Sanitarians to determine the type of courses leading to a degree in the Sanitary Science, which are being offered by various schools throughout the United States. When this study is completed, the joint committee will meet to determine what action should be taken in developing a course toward a degree in the Sanitary Sciences. The committee hopes to present a report at the annual meeting of the Wisconsin Association of Sanitarians this spring and also the Wisconsin Milk and Food Sanitarians in the fall.

THE ROLE OF DAIRY PLANTS IN WISCONSIN’S NEW MASTITIS CONTROL PROGRAM

The basic purpose of the newly announced Wisconsin Mastitis Program is to control, on a statewide basis, one of Wisconsin dairymen’s major milk production problems—bovine mastitis.

After much research and intensive study by a statewide committee it has been determined that this control will necessitate an orderly enforcement program on a statewide basis of (1) elimination of milk from infected animals from our milk supply, and (2) prevention of movement of infected animals from farm to farm.

Enforcement action will begin where the most serious infection exists as determined by statewide Catalase testing. While this testing, from necessity, will begin in a limited way, it is planned that each herd will be tested at least once a year, and follow-up investigation and milk and animal quarantine put into effect.

Dairy plants have two important roles to play if this team effort is to be successful. One is to conduct Catalase testing and an information program to help patrons improve their herd health programs and help them avoid possible regulatory action. The other role of plants lies in assistance in carrying out the regulatory program in herds where serious infection exists.

PLANT’S ROLE IN PATRON INFORMATION

It is strongly recommended by the state committee who developed this program that dairy plants carry on the following patron information program:

(1) Run the Catalase test on all patrons’ herds. Many plants are doing this now. All other plants should begin immediately. Department representatives will assist in setting up and standardizing this test for plants.

(2) Work with farmers in their milking and herd management problems.

(3) Help inform farmers of the legal requirements of the state control program.

PLANT’S ROLE IN REGULATORY PROGRAM

Dairy plants, under this new program, have legal responsibilities under state law, and are being requested to assume these responsibilities to the best of their ability. This is necessary if the program is to be successful. These responsibilities as set forth in state law and regulations are as follows:

(1) Do not accept milk from animals found to be infected under the state control program.

This responsibility is set forth in the following excerpts from state laws and regulations:

Milk and milk products are adulterated and their sale prohibited if, as provided in Section 97.25 (2) (e) “. . . it is any part of the product of a diseased animal, or the product of an animal that has died otherwise than by slaughter.”

“Ag 30.03 Farm sanitary requirements. (1)
Cows. Milk and cream offered for sale shall be from clean, healthy cows."

"Ag 30.08 (1) Notice and rejection. Milk or cream which is found to be watery, flaky, stringy, bloody, thick, gargety or otherwise-adulterated or insanitary shall be rejected by the plant operator."

"Ag 80.07 Farm standards. (9) Milking. Abnormal milk shall be kept out of the milk supply and shall be so handled and disposed of as to preclude infection of the cows and contamination of milk utensils."

As stated before, each plant's milk supply will be sampled by our B.R.T. personnel at least once each year. These samples will be tested by the Catalase Test. Those producers having a high Catalase Test result will be visit-ed by a state-employed veterinarian and a C.M.T. Test on each cow will be run. Where one of plant's producers has been so tested, the plant operator will be notified of the results by the enclosed form, "Mastitis Quarantine Notice and Field Record."

This form will inform the dairy plant operator as to which patron was visited and the animals that were quarantined. The milk from these infected animals must be withheld from the market. When each animal within this infected herd is released from quarantine by the producer's veterinarian, the plant operator will be notified by postcard. Wisconsin State Department of Agriculture Division of Dairy, Food and Trade Hill Farms State Office Bldg., Madison, Wisconsin 53702.

LABORATORY EXHIBIT AT WORLD'S FAIR

An exhibit at the New York World's Fair of interest to everyone associated with the dairy industry is that of Chr. Hansen's Laboratory, Inc. This pioneer producer of enzymes, cultures and vegetable colorants has a colorful miniature display located in the Wisconsin Building, which has as its feature attraction, the world's largest cheese.

The Hansen exhibit highlights the world-wide network of laboratories that have been established around the globe to serve the needs of every major area producing dairy products. There are eight laboratories that carry the name Hansen's, and they are located in Argentina, Australia, Denmark, France, Great Britain, Italy, West Germany and the United States.

Hansen's Rennet and Dri-Vac Lactic Cultures were used by the maker of the world's largest cheese, and coincidentally, the New York World's Fair is being held in the same year that marks Chr. Hansen's ninetieth anniversary.
The Modern HAYNES-SPRAY Method of Lubrication
Conforms with the Milk Ordinance and Code
Recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service.

The Haynes-Spray eliminates the danger of contamination which is possible by old-fashioned lubricating methods. Spreading lubricants by the use of the finger method may entirely destroy previous bactericidal treatment of equipment.

HAYNES-SPRAY should be used to lubricate:
- Sanitary Valves
- Homogenizer Pistons — Ring
- Sanitary Seals & Parts
- Capper Slides & Parts
- Positive Pump Parts
- Glass & Paper Filling Machine Parts
- and for all other sanitary machine parts which are cleaned daily.

Haynes-Spray ingredients conform with FDA regulations and can be safely used as a sanitary lubricant for food processing equipment when used in compliance with an existing food additives regulation.

This fine mist-like HAYNES-SPRAY contains no animal or vegetable fats, absolutely neutral. Will not turn rancid — contaminate or taint when in contact with food products.

SANITARY — PURE
ODORLESS — TASTELESS
NON-TOXIC

APPLICATIONS
For Use in Dairies — Ice Cream Plants — Breweries — Beverage Plants — Bakers — Canneries — Packing Plants

SANITARY • NON TOXIC • ODORLESS • TASTELESS

All Lubri-Film ingredients are approved by F.D.A. and can be safely utilized as a lubricant for food processing equipment when used in compliance with an existing food additive regulation.
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THE HAYNES MANUFACTURING CO.
4180 Lorain Avenue • Cleveland 13, Ohio

HAYNES SNAP-TITE GASKETS

"FORM-FIT" WIDE FLANGE 
HUGS STANDARD BEVEL SEAT FITTINGS

Designed to snap into fittings

DURABLE GLOSSY SURFACE

LOW COST...RE-USABLE
LEAK-PREVENTING

NEOPRENE GASKET for Sanitary Fittings

Check these SNAP-TITE Advantages

- Tight joints, no leaks, no shrinkage
- Sanitary, unaffected by heat or fats
- Non-porous, no seams or crevices
- Odorless, polished surfaces, easily cleaned
- Withstand sterilization

Available for 1", 1½", 2", 2½" and 3" fittings. Packed 100 to the box. Order through your dairy supply house.

THE HAYNES MANUFACTURING CO.
4180 Lorain Avenue • Cleveland 13, Ohio

A HEAVY DUTY SANITARY LUBRICANT

Available in both SPRAY AND TUBE

All Lubri-Film ingredients are approved by F.D.A. and can be safely utilized as a lubricant for food processing equipment when used in compliance with an existing food additive regulation.

SPRAY — PACKED 6 — 16 OZ. CANS PER CARTON
TUBES — PACKED 12 — 4 OZ. TUBES PER CARTON

THE HAYNES MANUFACTURING CO.
CLEVELAND 13, OHIO
NOTICE TO MEMBERSHIP

It is hereby officially proposed by the Executive Board of International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians, Inc. that the By-Laws of the Association shall be amended at the 51st Annual Business Meeting, August 20, 1964, Portland Hilton Hotel, Portland Oregon, as follows:

Article I, Section 2, The annual membership dues payable to the Association, January first of each calendar year shall be ten dollars ($10.00) for each member paying dues directly to the Association, and eight dollars ($8.00) for each member paying dues through an affiliate association.

KARL K. JONES
Secretary-Treasurer

GUARANTEED UNBREAKABLE!

New RAPID-FLO® FILTER HOLDER
by Johnson & Johnson

GIVES YOU A MANAGEMENT REPORT AT EACH MILKING

With the new guaranteed unbreakable RAPID-FLO® Filter Holder, and 4-9/16" VACULINE® Filter disks, you get a visual check on sediment. You spot mastitis flare-ups—detect abnormal milk problems at a glance, without guesswork. You help insure the quality of your milk.

RAPID-FLO® Filter Holder by Johnson & Johnson complies with applicable items of Milk Ordinance and Code of U. S. Public Health Service. Meets all safe vacuum requirements.

AND NOW IT'S GUARANTEED! Johnson & Johnson will replace any RAPID-FLO® Filter Holder plastic parts that break under normal milking practices within one year of date of purchase. Order today. Only $10.45 complete.
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100 or more copies, 35 cents each.

Please do not send stamps.

Notice: Limited number in Spanish translation at 50 cents each.
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TRANSFLOW®
M-34R TUBING
meets all criteria in the
NEW 3-A PLASTICS STANDARD!

TRANSFLOW M-34R Tubing is the only tubing on the market today developed solely and specifically to meet the rigid requirements of raw milk handling. It meets or exceeds every criteria for raw milk service, including those in the new 3-A Plastics Standard. Its manufacture involves highly specialized production techniques and precise quality control measures.

To assure users of getting genuine TRANSFLOW M-34R... to make brand identification fast and easy... TRANSFLOW Tubing has a blue stripe running along its outer wall, and the name “TRANSFLOW M-34R” branded continuously along its length.

When you see the stripe and the brand, you know the tubing is TRANSFLOW M-34R — the product of trained research scientists at Chamberlain Laboratories working hand-in-hand with the experienced, practical dairymen at the TRANSFLOW Test Farms.

LOOK FOR TRANSFLOW'S "BLUE STRIPE OF QUALITY"

CHAMBERLAIN engineering corporation
AKRON, OHIO 44309
Is IMPORTANT To Udder Health And Dairy Profits!

Modern Milking Machines are actually run by AIR.

All those hoses, wires, pipes, motors, etc. are part of a system by which air pressure milks, massages, carries milk and circulates solutions.

But—it is VACUUM that makes application of that air pressure possible.

How and Why ADEQUATE VACUUM is so important to the health and well-being of the Dairy Cow . . . is a story with which everyone concerned with dairying should be familiar. That's why we are offering this FREE Booklet, “The Story of Vacuum” for your inspection and use . . . either by itself or in connection with a sound-slide film also available. Use the coupon below—TODAY!