# International Association for FOOD Protection<sub>®</sub> WEBINAR

# PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF MICROBIAL MODELLING -WEBINAR SERIES

3:00 p.m. EST

March 5, 2018

#### Practical Applications of Microbial Modelling Webinar Series

Webinar Series:

Part II of III





#### Practical Applications of Microbial Modelling Webinar Series

Webinar Series:

Part II of III

- ...and by the following Professional Development Groups:
   Microbial Modelling and Risk Analysis
  - Meat and Poultry Safety and Quality



#### Practical Applications of Microbial Modelling

Webinar Series: Part II of III



# Dr. Peter Taormina



President Etna Consulting Group Cincinnati, OH



# WEBINAR HOUSEKEEPING

For best viewing of the presentation material, please click on 'maximize' in the upper right corner of the 'Slide' window, then 'restore' to return to normal view.

Audio is being transmitted over the computer so please have your speakers 'on' and volume turned up in order to hear. A telephone connection is not available.

Questions should be submitted to the presenters during the presentation via the **Q & A section** at the right of the screen.



# WEBINAR HOUSEKEEPING

It is important to note that all opinions and statements are those of the individual making the presentation and not necessarily the opinion or view of IAFP

This webinar is being recorded and will be available for access by IAFP members at <u>www.foodprotection.org</u> within one week.



- Introduction
  - Dr. Peter Taormina
- Modelling of inactivation: models and metaanalysis
  - Dr. Marcel Zwietering
- Practical Use of Tertiary Models: I'm having a challenging food safety day....now what?
   Dr. Betsy Booren
- Questions and Answers

# Dr. Marcel Zwietering

Professor Wageningen University

Laboratory of Food Microbiology Wageningen, NETHERLANDS



# Dr. Betsy Booren



Senior Policy Advisor Olsson, Frank, Weeda, Terman, and Matz PC Washington, DC

#### Modelling of inactivation: models and metaanalysis

**Dr. Marcel Zwietering** 

# Modelling of inactivation: models and meta-analysis

- Marcel Zwietering & Heidy den Besten
- Webinar March 5







#### For modelling chains inactivation is relevant



RSITY & RESEARCH

looyears

Abee et al., 2016

#### Primary inactivation models





#### Inactivation models: Is inactivation linear ?

$$\log_{10} N(t) = \log_{10} N(0) - \frac{t}{D} \quad \text{Bigelow (1921)}$$
  

$$\log_{10} N(t) = \log_{10} N(0) - \frac{1}{2.303} \left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta} \quad \text{Weibull (1951)}$$
  

$$\log_{10} N(t) = \log_{10} N(0) - \left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)^{\beta} \quad \text{Mafart (2002)}$$
  

$$\log_{10} N(t) = \log_{10} N(0) - \Delta \left(\frac{t}{\Delta D}\right)^{\beta} \quad \text{Metselaar (2013)}$$
  

$$\log_{10} N(t) = \log_{10} N(0) - 6 \left(\frac{t}{t_{6D}}\right)^{\beta}$$

100 yea

UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

#### Thermal inactivation kinetics: summary (Tom's slide from webinar part I)

#### **D-value**

time required at given temperature to reduce microbial load by a factor of of 10

#### z-value

temperature increase required to reduce Dvalue by a factor of 10

Analogous terms  $(D_p, Z_p, Z_p, Z_{pH})$  proposed for other lethal factors







#### Secondary inactivation models

 $D = D_{ref} \ 10^{\frac{T_{ref} - T}{z}}$ 

#### Mafart (2000)

$$D = D_{ref} \ 10^{\frac{T_{ref} - T}{z}} \cdot 10^{\frac{pH_{ref} - pH}{z_{pH}}} \cdot 10^{\frac{a_{w,ref} - a_{w}}{z_{a_{w}}}}$$





#### Effect of influencing factors

experimental error reproduction non-linearity T, pH, a<sub>w</sub> product population diversity strain diversity history

What are main effects?

Compare and Prioritize!





#### Laboratory conditions: practical conditions

#### Research Article

#### Extreme Heat Resistance of Food Borne Pathogens *Campylobacter jejuni, Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella typhimurium* on Chicken Breast Fillet during Cooking

Aarieke E.I. de Jong,<sup>1,2</sup> Esther D. van Asselt,<sup>1,3</sup> Marcel H. Zwietering,<sup>4</sup> Maarten J. Nauta,<sup>1,5</sup> and Rob de Jonge<sup>1</sup>





#### Meta-analysis: D and z values micro-organisms

-			
10	b	0	
1.4	U)		
14	Ο.	~	

Mean log D-values at reference temperature T<sub>ref</sub>, upper 95% PI for log D<sub>ref</sub>- and z-values for various pathogens

Micro-organism	Product	$T_{\rm ref}$ (°C)	z (°C)	Log $D_{ref}$ (mean) (1	Log <i>D</i> min) (95%	ref σ PI) (min)	n
Bacillus cereus	Various	120	12.8	-1.38	-0.28	0.56	465
Listeria monocytogenes		Vario	ous		70	7.0	- 1.06
Listeria monocytogenes		Salte	ed (10	%)	70	9.2	0.18
Clostridium botulinum proteolytic type G	Various	120	34.0	-0.60	-0.22	0.18	24
Salmonella spp.	17	Vario	ous	<u>,0 50</u>	70	9.1	-0.83
Salmonella spp.		Choc	olate		70	20.4	2.65
Listeria monocytogenes	Salted (10%)	70	9.2	0.18	0.78	0.29	27
Salmonella spp.	Various	70	9.1	-0.83	0.59	0.72	1141
Salmonella spp.	Chocolate	70	20.4	2.65	3.04	0.19	20
Staphylococcus aureus	Various	70	8.8	-0.59	0.33	0.47	204
Streptococcus pyogenes	Various	70	9.2	-1.45	-0.15	0.57	11
Vibrio cholerae	Crabmeat	70	16.7	-0.25	0.34	0.19	5
Vibrio cholerae	Peptone water	70	21.8	-0.72	-0.48	0.05	4
Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Vibrio vulnificus	Various	70	8.5	-2.24	-1.30	0.46	34
Yersinia enterocolitica	Various	70	6.2	- 1.80	-0.91	0.44	63

#### Meta-analysis: D and z values micro-organisms



Esther D. van Asselt<sup>1</sup>, Marcel H. Zwietering\*

#### Meta-analysis: Bench marking



# 2008-09: Peanut butter

Salmonella typhimurium in peanut butter and peanut paste.

Salmonella sickens more than 500 people in 43 states and possibly kills eight. The outbreak is linked to contaminated peanut butter and peanut paste shipped from the Blakely, Ga., plant of the Peanut Corporation of America. A Food and Drug Administration preliminary investigation found that it had products that tested positive for salmonella retested until they were negative and then shipped them to customers on at least

#### Thermal Inactivation of Salmonella in Peanut Butter

#### LI MA,<sup>1</sup> GUODONG ZHANG,<sup>1</sup> PETER GERNER-SMIDT,<sup>2</sup> VIJAYA MANTRIPRAGADA,<sup>1</sup> IFEOMA EZEOKE,<sup>1</sup> AND MICHAEL P. DOYLE<sup>1\*</sup>

TABLE 1. D-values and z-values of Salmonella in peanut butter at 71, 77, 83, and 90 °C

Temp (°C)	Outbreak isolates
71	29.3 ± 2.9 (0.85) A a
77	21.0 ± 4.2 (0.98) в а
83	$16.0 \pm 0.7 (0.93)$ c ab
90	13.4 ± 0.9 (0.91) c a
<i>z</i> -values (°C)	55.9 (0.97)









#### Comparison of variability sources



- Why quantification of variability?
  - Rank importance
  - Realistic prediction







#### Variability between strains



ELSEVIER

International Journal of Food Microbiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijfoodmicro





Quantifying variability on thermal resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* D.C. Aryani <sup>a,b</sup>, H.M.W. den Besten <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, W.C. Hazeleger <sup>b</sup>, M.H. Zwietering <sup>a,b</sup>

#### Modelling and investigating mechanisms



Good fitting of biphasic model points to population heterogeneity







Contents lists available at ScienceDirect International Journal of Food Microbiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijfoodmicro

Isolation and quantification of highly acid resistant variants of *Listeria monocytogenes* 



Karin I. Metselaar <sup>a,b,c</sup>, Heidy M.W. den Besten <sup>b</sup>, Tjakko Abee <sup>a,b</sup>, Roy Moezelaar <sup>a,c,1</sup>, Marcel H. Zwietering <sup>a,b,#</sup>

#### Modelling and investigating mechanisms









Contents lists available at SciencaDirect International Journal of Food Microbiology journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijfoodmicro

Isolation and quantification of highly acid resistant variants of Listeria monocytogenes



Karin I. Metselaar <sup>a,b,c</sup>, Heidy M.W. den Besten <sup>b</sup>, Tjakko Abee <sup>a,b</sup>, Roy Moezelaar <sup>a,c,1</sup>, Marcel H. Zwietering <sup>a,b,#</sup>

#### Benchmarking



Van Asselt & Zwietering, 2006





#### Benchmarking



Van Asselt & Zwietering, 2006

20 strains





#### Benchmarking



History: pH, aw, low T, growth phase LO28 and variants

All variability as found in literature: fail-safe extremes

Indeed, these extremes can be easily encountered





#### Predictive modeling tool example

- Foundation for Meat and Poultry Research and Education's Process Lethality Determination Spreadsheet (Formerly AMI Foundation)
  - <u>http://meatpoultryfoundation.org/content/process-</u> <u>lethality-spreadsheet</u>
- PMP
  - https://pmp.errc.ars.usda.gov/default.aspx
- Combase
  - https://www.combase.cc











#### Conclusion

model reparameterisation and comparison

#### meta-analysis

experimental error reproduction non-linearity T, pH, aw product population diversity history strain diversity



"All models are wrong ..... some are useful" Many models are correct ..... but they are not perfect



# <sup>35</sup> Practical Use of Tertiary Models

I'm having a challenging food safety day....now what?

**Dr. Betsy Booren** 

## Why Have We Chosen This Approach?

- Discussions after the last webinar led organizers to develop this "practical example".
  - This is a completely fictional situation.
  - Any similarity from actual food safety events is purely coincidental.

The intent to demonstrate how predictive modeling can be used by the food industry.

# **Review: Types of Tertiary Models**

- Bacterial Transfer
- Survival
- □ Growth
- Inactivation

# **Review: Available Tools**

- Baseline Software Tool
- Bioinactivation SE
- ComBase Predictor
- Dairy products safety predictor
- DMRI predictive models for meat
- E. coli Inactivation in Fermented Meats Model
- EcSF E. coli SafeFerment
- $\Box \quad \underline{\mathsf{FDA-iRISK}^{\mathbb{R}}}$
- Food Spoilage and Safety Predictor (FSSP)
- □ <u>FISHMAP</u>
- □ <u>GroPIN</u>
- Listeria Control Model 2012
- Listeria Meat Model

- <u>Microbial Responses Viewer (MRV)</u>
- MicroHibro: Predictive Models
- MLA Refrigeration Index Calculator
- PMM-Lab
- Process lethality determination spreadsheet
- Perfringens Predictor
- Praedicere
- Salmonella predictions
- Shelf Stability Predictor
- □ <u>SWEETSHELF</u>
- □ <u>Sym'Previus</u>
- □ <u>Therm 2.0</u>

## Some Examples of Predictive Models



<u>https://pmp.errc.ars.usda.gov</u>

□ ComBase

<u>https://www.combase.cc</u>

DANISH MEAT RESEARCH INSTITUTE PREDICTIVE MODELS FOR MEAT

http://dmripredict.dk

#### Food Company XYZ produces the following product:

Fully cooked breaded stuffed pork cutlet

- Comminuted pork meat
- Stuffed with Swiss cheese and spinach mixture (pH 5.6)
- Breaded
- Cooked on a continuous impingement oven
- Temperature at geometric center reaches 170°F (73.8°C)
  - Actual product temperature at geometric center is 170°F (73.8°C) for 1.5 minutes.
- Frozen individually, packaged
- Has validated reheating instructions
- Frozen shelf-life of 8 months.

- Establishment has determined their cooking process provides a 5-log reduction of Salmonella
  - The establishment based this determination on supplier history of pork products and ongoing verification activities support that heating process will provide 5-log reduction of Salmonella during the cooking process.
- Company has conducted oven validation studies to determine critical parameters for oven settings to achieve the 5-log reduction of Salmonella
  - Using FSIS's Appendix A as additional scientific support the Time/Temperature parameters indicate that a greater than 5 log lethality of Salmonella is achieved

This is a FSIS regulated product, so RTE products are considered adulterated if they are contaminated with L. monocytogenes.

- Food Company XYZ was notified by Supplier ABC that Swiss cheese and spinach mixture produced on Day XX may be exposed with *Listeria monocytogenes*.
  - Food Contact Surface was found to be positive for L. monocytogenes
- Food Company XYZ's Food Safety Team begins process of identifying product that may have contained the exposed Swiss cheese and spinach mixture.
  - Identifies 1 day of production potentially affected, but only 1 shift is currently in commerce.



#### Disclaimer

In this scenario, I am only focusing on the scientific thought process...there are other regulatory requirements that may need to be met, but are not being discussed during this webinar. I am only focusing on the use of predictive modeling with that limited view of information.

# Next Steps

- Food safety team has notified customer with the potential contaminated product and has them hold the product.
  - The in-commerce product is at a customer's 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Cold Storage Facility
  - Food Safety Team has product on hold brought back to their establishment.
- Food safety team determines modeling, among other activities, is needed to provide scientific evidence that product is safe and wholesome, and meets regulatory RTE requirements.



Actual product temperature at geometric center is 74°C for 1.5 minutes.

#### Used Heat Inactivation Model

- L. monocytogenes ground beef
  - No sodium lactate or sodium diacetate

MODELED INACTIVATION	_
Temperature (°C)	D-value (min)
73.7	0.06
73.8	0.06
73.9	0.06



- Assume 5-log reduction is adequate for safety, approximately 0.3 minutes are needed to achieve a 5 log reduction.
  - Actual product temperature at geometric center is 74°C for 1.5 minutes.

#### Used Heat Inactivation Model

- L. monocytogenes simulated beef gravy
  - pH: 5.6; Temp Range: 65°C; No salt or phosphate;
  - Log Reduction: 5.0

MODELED DECLINE		Listeria monocytogenes in Beef Gravy
Log Decline	Minutes	3 2.5
1.00	0.35	2
2.00	0.69	∑ 1
3.00	1.04	
4.00	1.39	Log Decline
5.00	1.73	

- Used Heat Inactivation Model
- Assume 5-log reduction is adequate for safety
  - Approximately 1.73 minutes at 65°C with pH at 5.6 are needed to achieve a 5 log reduction.
    - Actual product temperature is 74°C for 1.5 minutes.
    - Would need to extrapolate the model



# **Model Selection**

- In my experience, this is a common process taken as the food product type doesn't fit exact model parameters
- Intent was to demonstrate challenges of selecting the right model.
- Another approach, would be to select a model that doesn't specify a food matrix and use other critical parameters of the food (e.g. pH, water activity, salt concentration, fat level) for modeling parameters



# Food Company XYZ - Now What?

- □ Confusing, conflicting data.
- Food safety team engages with a process authority to review modeling data, internal oven validation studies, and formulation data.
  - Conclusion, the food matrixes in the predictive modeling tools were not precise to the food being evaluated.
  - Other modeling using intrinsic properties of food were conducted.
  - Oven validation studies demonstrated internal temperatures were accurate under conditions the study were conducted.
    - Food Safety Team has reviewed records and oven conditions during production were the same as oven validation study.

# Food Company XYZ - Now What?

- Food Safety Team believes evidence supports cooking process did eliminate any potential contamination from the Swiss cheese and spinach mixture.
- In abundance of caution, Food Safety Team has decided to do product testing.
  - International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods (ICMSF) Sampling Plans for L. monocytogenes: Case 11
    - Testing Results were all negative.

# Food Company XYZ - Now What?

Product is deemed safe and could enter commerce

Reminder: In this scenario, I am only focusing on the scientific thought process...there are other regulatory requirements that may need to be meet, but are not being discussed during this webinar. I am only focusing on the use of predictive modeling with that limited view of information.

### 57

# Food Company XYZ - Closing Out the Internal Investigation

# **Review of Food Safety Event**

- Food Company XYZ internal policy is to bring together Food Safety Team to do a review of food safety event and do a "lessons learned"
- During review, questions are raised regarding favorable conditions regarding staphylococcal enterotoxin growth in batter for the breading
- Currently, batter temperature is monitored and following critical limits are set:
  - Hydrated batter mix should not be held for more than 8 hours, cumulatively, at temperatures between 50°F (10°C) and 70°F (21.1°C); and
  - Hydrated batter mix should not be held for more than 3 hours, cumulatively, at temperatures above 70°F (21.1°C).

# **Review of Food Safety Event**

- Hydrated batter is held in jacketed tank during production day and them pumped for application to meat product.
- Concern was raised that could the temperature of certain equipment surfaces may cause a situation where hydrated batter mix was held in conditions favorable for staphylococcal enterotoxin development.
  - Could lead to contamination of product prior to cooking.

- Growth Model: Staphylococcus aureus (Broth Culture, Aerobic)
  - Aerobic conditions; Temperature: 21.0°C (69.8°F); pH: 6.0; Sodium Chloride: 2.5 %; and Initial Load: 3 log (CFU/mL)

Modeled Growth Parameters Lag Phase Duration: 5.18 (hours) Generation Time: 2.30 (hours) Growth Rate: 0.131 (log(cfu/ml)/h) Max Population Density: 9.57(log(cfu/ml))



- Growth Model:
   Staphylococcus aureus
   (Broth Culture, Aerobic)
  - Aerobic conditions; Temperature: 21.0°C (69.8°F); pH: 6.0; Sodium Chloride: 2.5 %; and Initial Load: 3 log (CFU/mL)

MODELED GROWTH			
Hours	log(CFU/ml)		
	Lag	No Lag	
13.60	4.17	4.79	
13.80	4.19	4.81	
14.00	4.22	4.84	
14.20	4.24	4.86	
14.40	4.26	4.89	
14.60	4.28	4.91	
14.80	4.31	4.94	
15.00	4.33	4.96	
15.20	4.35	4.99	
15.40	4.37	5.01	

- Growth Model:
   Staphylococcus aureus
   (Broth Culture, Aerobic)
  - Aerobic conditions; Temperature: 29.4°C (85°F); pH: 6.0; Sodium Chloride: 2.5 %; and Initial Load: 3 log (CFU/mL)

MODELED GROWTH		
Houro	log(C	FU/ml)
TIOUIS	Lag	No Lag
3.60	3.86	4.39
3.80	3.91	4.46
4.00	3.97	4.53
4.20	4.03	4.60
4.40	4.09	4.67
4.60	4.15	4.74
4.80	4.22	4.81
5.00	4.28	4.88
5.20	4.35	4.96
5.40	4.41	5.03

- Growth Model:
   Staphylococcus aureus
   (Broth Culture, Aerobic)
  - Aerobic conditions; Temperature: 42°C (107.6°F); pH: 6.0; Sodium Chloride: 2.5 %; and Initial Load: 3 log (CFU/mL)

MODELED GROWTH		
Llaura	log(C	FU/ml)
Hours	Lag	No Lag
0.00	3.06	3.43
0.20	3.08	3.50
0.40	3.10	3.57
0.60	3.13	3.65
0.80	3.16	3.74
1.00	3.19	3.83
1.20	3.23	3.93
1.40	3.28	4.03
1.60	3.33	4.14
1.80	3.39	4.25
2.00	3.45	4.36
2.20	3.52	4.48
2.40	3.59	4.61
2.60	3.67	4.73
2.80	3.76	4.86
3.00	3.85	4.99

# Now what?

- Predictive Modeling indicates in certain scenarios a possibility that staphylococcal enterotoxin may develop.
- Food Safety Team is reanalyzing Food Safety Program to address this issue.

# Summary

- Predictive Modeling is a valuable tool for the food industry to use.
  - It can be used in a variety of situations to access food safety risk.
  - It is important to understand the limitations of predictive modeling to make the best food safety assessment.



**Dr. Peter Taormina** 



**Dr. Marcel Zwietering** 



**Dr. Betsy Booren** 

# QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

# Acknowledgements

Organizing Committee Dr. Yuhuan Chen
Dr. Tom Ross
Dr. Bala Kottapalli

IAFP

- David Tharp
- Sarah Dempsey
- Tamara Ford

# Acknowledgements



#### Practical Applications of Microbial Modelling Webinar Series

#### Future Sessions

 Part I – Overview & Practical Applications
 November 29, 2017 (Q&A Document

#### Now Available!)

https://www.foodprotection.org/upl/downloads/library/qa-11-29webinar.pdf

#### ✓ Part II – Inactivation

March 5, 2018

- Part III Risk Modeling
  - Spring 2018